STATE	OF]	INDIANA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY	OE	7	LAKE)	

BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION PRESENTATION BY LAKESIDE RESORTS September 1, 1994

BE IT REMEMBERED that the following proceedings were had before me, KAREN M. PRICE, Registered Professional Reporter, Indiana CSR No. 93-R-1000, on Thursday, September 1, 1994, at the Genesis Center, Gary, Indiana.

KAREN M. PRICE & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS
P.O. BOX 405
GRIFFITH, IN 46319
(219) 924-3688

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On Behalf of the Indiana Gaming Commission:
3	ALAN I. KLINEMAN, Chair
4	ANN MARIE BOCHNOWSKI, Vice-Chair
5	GILMER GENE HENSLEY, Secretary
6	THOMAS F. MILCAREK
7	DAVID E. ROSS, JR., M.D.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	l l
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. KLINEMAN: I think we're ready to go now.

The meeting will come to order. The first item on our agenda today is the presentation by Lakeside. And you may proceed.

MR. DONALD SMITH: Thank you. Good morning,
Mr. Chairman, Ms. Bochnowski, gentlemen. My name is Don
Smith. I am co-counsel along with Kightlinger & Gray,
for Lakeside Resorts. Doctor, don't worry about being
late; I have that same trouble with my wife. Anyway, we
have a brief time to explain to you this morning why we
feel we're best qualified to have this license. You've
had time to assess our documents. This morning, I'd like
for you to assess our people and our qualifications
firsthand.

Now, we have consistently, throughout our application, this presentation, taken what I call the Hoosier approach. And that's this: To assemble the most qualified members for our team. Number two, to make this a team effort with you, the City of Gary, and the State of Indiana. And the chosen like the city that we'd have to work with. And number three, to confirm to you and to the people of Indiana that we have a commitment to ethics and integrity. And we think that's clearly important. Number four, to deal with you in terms of fact and not fantasy. That is to say, to approach the process and the

problems that we're gonna be facing realistically without deluding you or ourselves as to the efficacy of our proposal and its probability of success. And we have assembled a team of strong Indiana roots and businesses' experience to become your partners and the licensees to be your partners in this venture.

As to the Hoosier approach, I grew up in southern

Indiana. And after a military career returned here. And

I find some things have changed, but some haven't.

Hoosiers haven't changed. They still have a great amount

of common sense and the ability to separate fact and

fantasy.

Now, when I say Hoosier roots and Hoosier approach, is that just a buzz word or some sort of hype to get favor with you? And I think not. I want to tell you how I think that relates to the real world. As you're gonna learn this morning, our major partners in Lakeside have done millions of dollars of business in this state. They have millions of dollars invested here now, and they will continue to do millions of dollars worth of business in Indiana. They were here before gaming came to Indiana, and at the end of the day, we'll still be here.

Now, what does that mean? Every applicant is convinced that a gaming boat in Gary is gonna be a winner. If we weren't, we wouldn't be here. But, which

of your applicants, as businesses sometimes do in a snag, which of your applicants will be here if it doesn't stay, to stand tall and continue to protect their business reputations in this state? We will not cut and run if it does hit a snag.

Now, as to promises, I don't want to turn this process into a debating contest with the other applicants, but obviously, you're gonna draw comparisons, otherwise you can't make a decision. For instance, much has been said when a boat can be put in water on the dock side and operating. See, we don't think of this in terms of the great Oklahoma land rush. Who's gonna get there first. There are problems that have to be solved and addressed.

We're gonna make you no promises that we're gonna be in there day after tomorrow with a boat. There are issues that have to be resolved. The land issue has not been resolved. That has to be addressed. And by the way, you've had some contact with Portland; we do not want to be a vicious or intermeddling in the State's business, but we have men on our team, businessmen who negotiated millions of dollars of property acquisitions. If the City wants us to, we're more than willing to help. And to help move that situation along.

Now, in forming our team, we considered that there

were four elements that are essential to this process.

And these are on this board that I have here. Gaming expertise, solid financial resources, and we have an in-house construction capability. And fourth, but not least, our strong and meaningful community programs. And I stress the word meaningful. To simply come to Gary and throw several million dollars in the holler and throw several million dollars at the property is not the solution. If we don't have common sense approaches to these problems and a humane approach to these problems, the dollars will be wasted. Gary needs some immediate assistance, and in a lot of areas. And building a Drake Hotel downtown is not to meet those immediate needs. And that's not a meaningful program.

Now, in addition to these four essential elements, we considered some other factors to be important. Let's talk about ethics and integrity. Expertise in this gaming operation without integrity is gonna spell trouble. Each member of our team, regardless of what percentage of ownership they have, or what the role their function is, I, as co-counsel, have signed an ethical statement, and we have insisted that every member of our team sign that statement, that tells us how we will proceed in the acquisition of this license, and how we will proceed and operate this facility if you see fit to

award us this license.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Partners, you're gonna have partners. The two licensees that you select will be your partners. And I will say this to you. If your partners are financially viable, and above reproach, this Commission will be able to say with pride that the gaming operations in Indiana have been a financial plus and not for moral and state. And there are people that question that it is a moral state.

Equally important for these elements is this: deal with realities objectively. We have an obligation to you and to ourselves to fairly and accurately inform you of our financial resources and our conservative financial projections and revenue projections. we've been consistent in that approach with Mr. Thar and his staff throughout. We're not pessimists, we're realists. We think it will work and work just fine. strive to be the objective applicant, not the high bidder. To approach you with unrealistic capital and revenue projections to delude ourselves. Lakeside Capital Partners and a member of our team are professional, and they're successful businessmen. wouldn't delude themselves. If we're conservative, which we have been, and there are surprises, they'll be pleasant surprises. We'll have smiles instead of frowns,

and so will you.

And you know this business is no different given a lot of respects as to how you approach any other business. Number one, will it work; and number two, how much capital can we and will we put into it. And number three, will we have a return on that investment. It's not that different than any other business. And we're not gonna come in here and tell you that we're gonna throw three or 400 million in it if this problem continues. That will be insulting that Hoosier common sense that you have.

Now, there are some problems to solve in terms of the development of the harbor. And I tell you this, any construction crew that's a part of our team know the Buffington site. We favored the Buffington site at the outset as opposed to the U.S.X. site. I won't say that to dig anybody. I just tell you we favored it. We wanted it. And our people are very familiar with the problems we have to encounter and solve. Now, the answers to the development in Buffington we don't believe are as padded as what you've been hearing; but we have done this: We have retained expert and credible consultants. You're gonna hear from them today in your Q and A period. They have addressed all these development issues. We have solutions. And there are altering

solutions. We don't minimize these problems. We have addressed them. We think we have solutions. But how do you do this now until the two licensees get their engineers together and come up with a construction plan that makes sense along with the City of Gary. But I promise you this: If we are a licensee, we will cooperate fully with the other licensee, and we will cooperate fully with the City of Gary to move this thing forward as expeditiously as possible. We're prepared to do that.

I've heard about expenditures in the pre-award phase of this thing. In a meeting with my capital partners the day before yesterday, I discovered that we've spent about five to \$600,000.00 to date. That's significant in Indiana. For Southern Indiana that's a lot of money. You can buy a lot of farms with that. But anyway, we're not the only ones in this -- we're not out of this process in a sense that we have not spent money or considered these problems.

Now, gaming is essentially an entertainment industry, isn't it? But I tell you this. We have no dog or pony show to offer you this morning; and we have not had a dog and pony show to offer you throughout the process of our application. What we have this morning is a fair and accurate statement of our qualifications and

our program.

Now, I want to introduce members of our team to you. You know who they are on paper. I want you to hear from them, see them, assess whether or not you think they have the qualifications, integrity, and the sincerity to go forward with this program and make it work.

First, here is our ownership chart. I have David
Hanlon on there because he's the first one that I shall
turn to. As to gambling expertise, Mr. Hanlon -- and by
the way, he is one of the principal reasons I'm here
today. May I tell you that story?

I left Butler University -- I came home from Butler after World War II. After that I became a career military officer. David's dad, Regular General David W. Hanlon and I served together many, many years. David's dad was my best friend in the Army. And I've known Dave since he was in grade school. I have seen him come up from grade school to college and become the leader, the expert in the gaming induction. Now, Dave asked me, when I introduced him not to embarrass him. And I think if his dad were alive today that he'd agree with me that to tell you about David credentials is not a lot of embarrassment. Dave's a graduate of Cornell University, the Horton School of Finance, and the Harvard Advanced Management program. He grew up in neighboring

Cincinnati. Served overseas with the United States Army.

And he is considered throughout the gaming industry the one expert in the education, the experience, and the integrity to put together a successful gaming operation and operate it in that fashion.

Let me tell you about some of his experiences. He is the president and CEO of Resorts International for five years prior to focusing on this Gary project. At Resorts, he had 8,000 employees, overall responsibility for the hotel and casino operations. In addition to which, he was in charge of the Paradise Island operation of Resorts International in the Bahamas. Prior to that, he was president of Paris East for four years. Prior to that, he was the vice president, executive vice president, of Caesars East Coast casino operations.

There is a wealth of experience dealing with the gaming industry, dealing with employees, dealing with customers and customer satisfaction.

Now, David, do you consider yourself embarrassed?

And by the way, as big as he's gotten, I will not take
that up with him in the parking lot. He's grown in the
last few years.

Financial resources, I'd like to tell you about the U.S. Cable team. U.S. Cable is our principal capital partner in this venture. They have strong Indiana ties,

and in addition to their national -- international interests, they've done business in Northwest Indiana for 15 years. They are the third largest cable TV company in Indiana. They have 130 local employees. And they produce significant income in Indiana, and have millions of dollars invested here. We will be here at the end of the day.

I'd like to introduce, and just briefly touch on some of the people who are members of the U.S. Cable team. And you'll hear from one of them shortly. Mr. Steve Myers. Steve is a co-founder for U.S. Cable. He holds a degree in finance from St. Louis University; and he'll speak to you concerning our financial resources and our revenue projections. And I've asked Steve to tell you more about U.S. Cable and address the fact that Lakeside and its capital partners are privately owned. We're not looking to bond markets.

Now, Mr. Jim Pearson, is the president of U.S. Cable. Jim holds his Master's Degree in Business Administration from Indiana University.

And Mr. Michael Anderson, who's our executive vice president now at U.S. Cable. This is on both sides of the house, maternally and paternally. His dad was a distinguished university professor, and on both sides of his house many physicians in the State of Indiana. His

family has been in Indiana for generations, not just for a few years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Boehm. Mr. Gary Boehm. He's been associated with U.S. Cable for 15 years. He operates three successful businesses in Indiana. He has a farm down in Lowell. He employs in his businesses in the aggregate, 350 Hoosiers. This is what I mean by Hoosier roots.

Now, I want to tell you about a combination of all four of these essential elements, and this is a happy combination. I want to introduce this morning, Mr. Patrick and Mr. Philip Kenny. They here, represent the Kenny group and the Kenny Construction Company. Kenny Construction is based in Chicago and it has been in business for 65 years. And I'm gonna use the word billions because it's true. They have constructed and developed billions of dollars in terms of projects. And they have significant experience in Indiana. us something that you need. They give us an in-house construction capability that nobody else has. We don't have to hire a contractor. We're ready to roll. the Kennys are also co-founders and partners in the casino gaming boat in East St. Louis. That equips them bring to us gaming experience in addition to that that David has. And their experiences in East St. Louis are

gonna be invaluable to us in terms of what we meet and have to resolve in Gary.

The experiences are similar. Not absolutely alike, but we're talking about two depressed areas that you come in with a gaming operation. By the way, no dog and pony show, but Tom Brokov had a thing on NBC News a few weeks ago back, a little two-minute clip I'm gonna show you this morning; and Tom Brokov had an investigative reporter address the issue what does gaming do to a community. What does it do? Does it bring bias or corruption, or can it be an economic plus. I'll wait and let you see what the conclusions were. And that's not us on our role in the casinos. It's a large part of the news program. Here's a third party that assesses what can be done in an operation like this.

The Kennys also have great financial strength and stability. Isn't that what you're looking for? The U.S Cable group and the Kenny family to have the financial stability to do what they say they'll do and see this thing through.

I'd like to introduce to you Ms. Schell. This is our -- I scared her to death this morning. Last thing I told her before I came up is, "I have laryngitis." She didn't like that. But anyway, Sue was assistant general manager for the Casino Queen. And along with the Kennys,

she developed and implemented meaningful, meaningful community assistance programs. She's a valuable member of our team. Her experience in St. Louis, East St. Louis, brings to us an experience that we can use here to implement -- to have a meaningful program for the people of Gary.

By the way, she was also deputy director of the Illinois Racing Board for eight years. And as Mr. Hanlon's gonna tell you in a few minutes, the regulation in this industry, we think it falls on us as well as you. So we bring Sue's experience as a gaming manager.

I'd like to introduce Mr. Bob Spolyar. Bob is a

Lakeside member we're proud of. He was instrumental in
helping to bring gaming to Indiana and gaming to Gary.
He grew up in this town. He grew up in Gary. Bob would
like to see this community regain its prosperity and get
back on the road to help. He's a former marine fighter
pilot, and he's a retired army officer. There's
something I could say about him. He graduated United
States Naval Community and he got his law degree at
University of Indiana. And he practices law down in
Lebanon.

And I'd like to tell you about Mr. Lloyd McClendon.

A hometown boy of Gary. He's a member of the Pittsburgh

Pirates professional baseball team. He grew up in Gary.

And in the off season, he works with youth in Gary to try to keep kids in school and keep them out of trouble. can't be here this morning, but we do have about a minute or a minute and a half on video of the things that Lloyd would like to say to you. Of course, if the strike goes on, he may be here longer than we anticipated. Lloyd and met his wife and had an opportunity to chat with him at some length. He said something to me that I really thought was of consequence. Lloyd said, "I think a professional athlete has a responsibility to act as a role model." And I like that. Here's an articulate, sincere, intelligent young man who makes big bucks. And he's offering to plow some of those big bucks back into his community to help you. Pro sports means more Lloyd McClendons, and we're very happy and proud to have Lloyd as a member of our team.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now I want to tell you about Mr. Will Smith, all right. They call Mr. Smith to talk to a lot of people. Will has been a lifelong Gary resident who's retired battalion commander for the Gary firefighter department. Who is retired commander and director of our community relations program. I have come to know Will very well in the last few months, and I can tell you that this gentleman is dedicated to helping his community. Everything that we've thrown up on the board or

considered to do in Gary, he's always asked me this question. How does this help Gary? How does this help the people of Gary? And I'll tell you, his input has been invaluable to us in arriving at programs and solutions. He's a man of good common sense. And he knows how to separate the true needs of his community from big talk glitz and glitter.

Will and Sue, as a matter of fact, are working together now in this fashion. Sue generated and implemented the programs in East St. Louis. The community assistance. The economic impact, minority hiring problems. Adopting policies. They're working together to bring Sue's experience from the East St. Louis market to Gary, and implement programs here that will help Gary.

And by the way, we got the jump on the others. They started this two or three months ago called a Job Fair.

You may have seen it in the newspaper.

There are others that we have in our group. Time does not permit me to introduce all these members individually. We think the valuable Hoosier members of our team, you have their names and their backgrounds in the file, we think this is the team that will be good Hoosier partners with you, the Gary effort.

Now, before I let you hear from them individually,

I'd like to address something that came up yesterday about how the locals get in. What do they own; what do they have. And it's simply this: We feel every member that we have a local interest and minority interest in this project has something to offer, either in terms of how they can help us in the community, or with some expertise, some sweat that they've already offered or will offer to assist us in this program.

Now, without further ado, I'd like to introduce you to Mr. David Hanlon.

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Don. By now, you are pretty much experts on the Buffington site. But I would like to add parenthetically, there are issues there to be addressed. Patrick Kenny will address those for us. But we've always been proponents of that site for a couple of reasons. One is the expertise in-house. The Kennys told us that this was a site that had problems, but it had significantly fewer problems and could be addressed more quickly than the ultimate site. And my experience in this business, being the first one in the market has a long-term competitive advantage. It happens in every jurisdiction from Atlantic City to all the newest jurisdictions. So being first in the market is a real competitive edge.

In addition, even more so than the U.S.X. site, more

importantly, this site has relatively easier access than competing sites. And that, too, is a significant advantage, because ease of access and then parking are two simple but very critical elements to the success of a casino operation.

With regard to the timing of this project -- and there are complications about when this process starts -- we believe that from the time work begins, we can be starting the revenue flow within six months. That means, a temporary facility and a permanent boat. And within about six to eight months thereafter, will have completed the permanent visitor's center.

Capital expenditure for this project for Lakeside will be in the order of 95 million dollars. Our site plans were designed by Mr. Steve Wright who's with us today. Steve is a renowned architect. He is the individual who designed the Prudential Center in Chicago. It's a nationally acclaimed center. And his goal for our project here is to have obviously an efficient operation, elegant but simple design that will make a real architectural statement for the Gary project.

You can see on the site here, that we have a visitor's center in the extreme left. You can see the inside which is a sort of a clear span approach, focusing on steel and steel related to Gary. And a design that is

similar to the convention center in Chicago and the expansion down there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can see another prominent portion of that is the sky tower which would be 125 feet high. This will be one, an attraction tool. It will be the entertainment portion of our buildings, and this will be a physical issue that will be quite visible from all of the access roads coming into Gary. We think it will be quite effective.

The -- another major portion, obviously we'll have parking and a visitor center. We'll have food facilities and all of the standard things will be in there. But in terms of setting up something that is different, we have with us today a gentleman by the name of Brian Hall. Brian is the president of Sportopia. Sportopia is a new concept that is an entertainment and sports concept that's interactive. That has activities designed for all ages from kids all the way up to adults. There are some of the renderings here on the side. This concept was developed by Lucas Arts Entertainment Corporation which obviously is a world famous name for creativity, for fun, and for excitement. And Brian is working on these projects in a number of places throughout the world and is really sort of on the finishing stages of the development phase for a major Sportopia in Branson,

Missouri. And as you can see, what this does is put you in a variety situation where people can participate and hit home runs and camp in yards and climb mountains and have a museum there for sports, boxing and other sports. There are things for passive entertainment, active entertainment. There are show scan type motion machines. This will be, we think, a real exercise in creating an entertainment center here in Gary.

Now, in the casino business today, family entertainment is the cliche that everybody uses. And quite honestly, it has not been very well implemented. We think that this in fact will be something that will bring people to this destination. So that Gary -- certainly we'll have gambling; that's our main business. But there will be a major alternative entertainment focus. And we're quite excited about it. And Brian will certainly be available to answer more questions during the question and answer period.

Lakeside has a boat; the boat is a very large boat.

400 feet by 65 feet wide. It was built in 1969. Had

major improvements done in 1989. This will have about

37,000 square feet of casino space, and will be

configured for about 1200 gaming positions, which will

include 825 slot machines, 45 table games. In port, this

boat will be ready in first of June '95.

We haven't decided on a name for the boat yet, but a lot of us are leaning in the direction of Jack Thar.

(Laughter.)

MR. HANLON: In addition, we have options on another boat which is a newer boat which would have the same number of gaming positions. It would be a boat that is specifically -- had been designed for a casino boat. It would be an efficient boat, and importantly, it will be available for an earlier delivery time.

We anticipate that this casino project will employ 966 full-time employees. As you know, there will be a variety of jobs. They will be well-paid jobs. They would be jobs that would have upward mobility, that will have significantly challenging skills for people. And additionally, the Sportopia part of the project, when it's completed, will have opportunities for 450 jobs. And importantly, those jobs will start for people that are age 16 and above. So we think that besides providing an entertainment medium, this will also provide a unique opportunity for employment for kids in the Gary area.

With regard to financial projections, you know that our projections have been the most conservative that anyone has submitted to you. In my experience, I have been in situations similar to today's throughout the country where people are trying to get projects, and we

generously say that there has been a lot of blue sky out in the world, and reality often gets clouded. Our position has been that we will give what we think are realistic projections based on what we think will really happen. We are not using these projections as a sales tool. We have to live with these projections; we have to take them to the financial community. And more and more, I see a stretching of the numbers. Our numbers are realistic; and if we are wrong, we will be wrong on the up side and everyone will be quite pleased about that.

In my 16 years of experience from being -- starting as a CEO or CFO of a major hotel casino company to my last experience with regard to running Resorts

International, as Don said, 8,000 employees and over 500 million dollars of revenue, certain elements are critical to success. Operational expertise obviously is important. And I think you know from the people on our team that a combination of my experience in Atlantic City, Kennys and Sue Schell's experience in Casino Queen, we have an abundance of experience in environments that are very similar to Gary. So we have that.

Importantly, as Don said, expertise without integrity is worthless. In this industry, more than any industry that I know of, integrity must be absolute. And more importantly, the perception of integrity must be

even more absolute. Even though this is a highly regulated industry, which I will say from my own experience in New Jersey, which is extremely regulated, makes integrity easier. But it doesn't take the burden off the operators. We know how to operate in that kind of environment. We know how to set that tenor in the organization. We know how to self-regulate. And importantly, we know how to work with you, the regulatory bodies, to ensure that we establish and enhance the credibility of this industry so that the community believes that this is an industry that is absolutely honest and clean, impeccably clean, and feeling that this truly is a value added to the business community.

Guest satisfaction. If we don't provide guest satisfaction, we won't survive very long. In this business, we have millions of people losing lots of money. That's a fact of life. And they accept that. If they feel that they get true value for their entertainment dollar, that they are treated absolutely fairly and honestly, and they're treated with dignity and respect. For instance, there will be a number of occasions when we will have — the people may have had too much to drink, who may be a little out of control, who want to gamble. We may have occasions where people have had a losing streak, they're out of credit and they

want more credit. How do you handle those situations? There's no perfect way to handle it. We won't do it perfectly; but through our people, I think that we will be able to say to the person to who has had too much to drink: Why don't you take a break. Why don't you go have something to eat. Why don't you think about things. We'll be able to say to the individual who says, you know, "I'm on a losing streak. I'm out of credit; give me more credit." We'll be able to say to them, "Maybe you're too far extended right now. Why don't you just think about it and come back tomorrow." Now, I've personally done this a number of times and I can tell you that it's not well received. Their view is, "I've lost a lot of money; you guys are happy to take my money when I'm losing it, and now when I think I can make a comeback, you don't want to give me anymore." But what happens is we'll handle it professionally; we'll handle it in a sensitive way, and the next day that quy is going to be very happy that we didn't let them overextend themselves. They're gonna come back and say that this is a place, this is an environment that's safe. And that's an important point of view and important philosophy in operating something on a long-term basis both on the individuals participating, and the view of the community at large.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is going to be a situation that gives us tremendous challenges in terms of employment and training. And how do we get people to operate and do the things that I have just said. We do it through our people, obviously. We do it through the attitude that we communicate to them. We do it through the continuous training that we provide to them. We do it through the pride that we develop in that team. In my 16 or 17 years' experience in this business, the thing I'm most proud about is developing teams of people who will do the things that we said. In the times that I have been in the business, we have always been the leaders of training and development of our employees. We will make an investment in those people. We're at the beginning of basic skills jobs, basic level jobs through management jobs all the way through senior positions including college courses. We will make an investment in the people, an investment in our operation, and an investment in the community. And that's how things work, and that's how things get done on a consistent long-term basis. Because as Don said, we're here for the long-term and we're your partners for the long-term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This will be a difficult and challenging task for us. But we have the experience both in the Atlantic City environment and in the Casino Queen environment that's

very similar to here. We have the expertise and we have the attitudes to make this a project that will be competitive, financially successful, and a project that we'll all be proud of. Thank you very much.

Now, I'd like to introduce Mr. Kenny who's gonna talk about the Kenny family and the other aspects.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Thank you, David. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. What I'd like to do today is to give you a little background of the Kenny family. Who we are, our diverse interests, and how we see it being a very strong component of how successful this development can be.

The Kenny family is a 65-year-old construction company which was started by my grandfather, passed on to my father, and now on to my four brothers and myself. We work throughout the entire United States, whether it be up in Boston in the Harbor doing a 200 million dollar tunnel job underneath the Atlantic Ocean, or whether it be in Los Angeles, California where we're building 175 million dollar subway job at a famous intersection called Hollywood and Vine. Or whether we go to the nation's capital where we're currently working on building another subway job for 75 million dollars. Or whether we're in Dallas, Texas help building a water tower for the City of Dallas. But the simple fact and the most important fact

of the matter is, we are based out of Chicago. We are based out of the midwest. Our roots are here. And whether it be the fact that over those 65 years we've built any of a number of jobs in the steel mills here in Gary and on the toll ways of Indiana, and built a number of other bridges, and done private work in this community, we know what it takes to get things done. Our people live here. Our people pay taxes here. It's a very important issue to us.

I look at us being Chicago based, and I want to talk a little bit about our philosophy. I go back to a project which gave us great notoriety going back a few years ago when the City of Chicago was faced with a major crisis on an April morning. The contractor they picked up the phone and called was Kenny Construction Company. And there's a reason. And it gets down to reputation. And the simple fact, the trust and confidence that they had in picking up that phone and saying, "We have a critical situation which we need your help."

The ability that we perceive to bring to the industry and the construction industry is very simple. Our honesty and our integrity. It's a very important issue; because as you go into these communities, and whether it be a gaming operation or a construction operation, it is no different. It is a partnership. It

is a relationship. And it's critical to the success of that operation. Whether it be the relationship that we will establish or have established with the City of Gary, the State of Indiana, or very simply Fluor-Daniels, or very simply the partner that we may be asked to work with. We have done this for 65 years and felt very comfortable. We feel it is one of our strongest assets. It's an extremely important concept.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In talking a little bit about the Kenny philosophy, and where it all comes from, you can often wonder how we got into the gaming business, and I just want to relate a quick story. My father is 81 years old. He comes in to work every day. When we approached him about getting into a business for various reasons, one, we felt it was the fastest growing industry; two, it's very highly regulated. My father had said to us, "The only thing I ask of you is this, very simply. And that is over 65 years we have built a great name. Every time you stand up and make a representation, think of your integrity and honesty." And that's the most important issue here today. The very simple fact is the Kenny family does not feel that the Gary license is the most important thing in their life. Very simply our integrity and honesty that we've built over 65 years.

I look at the other aspects of this particular

development, and I use the word "development" because it's key. There are so many intricacies involved in getting this project done on time and within budget. And very simply, being a construction company, we can dedicate our resources and our assets, and if we have to work 24 hours a day, seven days a week, we make that commitment to this group for a number of different reasons.

I also look at our development experience. So that you understand that we've taken project of raw land through our real estate develop arm and developed over a thousand acres up in Lake County, Illinois which has been deemed the three most successful office parks in the midwest. We have won every single major award from every major newspaper. And the very simple fact is that when we put our name in a project, it becomes very critical to us that it becomes first class and well done. We have in excess of three million square feet of office and industrial space under management and ownership. And we've taken that from a field that was a farm going back 20 years ago along Milwaukee Avenue.

I do want to talk about the Casino Queen, because that has many variables that are going to be very similar to what happens here. And I think one of the great challenges that we continue to hear as we made our first

trips into East St. Louis is, you will not make it successful. It will not happen. On June 23rd of this year, our first-year anniversary, we had welcomed well in excess of two million passengers. And out boat has obviously been deemed one of the most successful riverboat operations in the country. And there are reasons for that. And Dave touched upon it. And the very simple fact is we treat our customers as they're a part of our operation. We've installed a tremendous amount of pride in our employees in that operation. will do the exact same here. Everyone who walks on that boat, who works on that boat, will know that it's in Gary, Indiana. And that the very simple fact our people They are all part owners. are the best. This is an operation that is going to benefit everyone.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Casino Queen was an operation where we spent in excess of 45 million dollars at a time when no one was down there competing or bidding against us. We made a commitment to the community. We've lived with that commitment. And it's very important, because as I can say the number of things that have gone on in the positive aspect in East St. Louis has been one of the great things that we've ever done in our lifetime. We took a community where they had a difficult time and didn't pick up the garbage for four years. And we're

looking at a situation today where unemployment is down drastically. Crime is even down. Things are happening in that community that has made it very positive. We feel very good about that opportunity.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We feel in Gary there are circumstances here that have some mirror images. We've had the challenge. We've done it. We've got the experience. We look forward to doing it again. It's going to be a tremendous challenge because the very simple fact is you've got competition that is going to be out there. We know how to deal with that. The one interesting thing when I look at how we've put together our marketing tool, whether it be the U.S. Cable operation which is in 60 communities cable wise throughout the Chicago and Indiana areas, or whether the Kennys' radio interest on the sports side will play a significant role in how we market this opportunity, we live here, we understand what it's going to take to get people to this site and make it a successful venture. Whether it be the fact that Sportopia will be a tremendous attraction to get people to drive out of Chicago, or whether it be the fact of what we offer in our gaming operation which is going to be critical, we promise to you today, and in the future, that this operation will be tremendously successful. with your help in a partnership, we look forward to

working with you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'd like to do at this time is basically bring up, and this is always difficult for me to say, my smarter brother, and that is Patrick Kenny, to talk about the specific land development. Patrick.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Thank you, Phil. Another point of honesty for the Lakeside group.

(Laughter.)

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the Commission, Mr. Thar and his staff, my assignment here this morning is to present to you a development team for the Lakeside Resorts Project. Mr. Hanlon already took care of that on some parts, so I'll just tear that part off when I get to it. As has been described by Mr. Hanlon, the Lakeside plan includes all aspects of a very successful riverboat facility. We've got a boat, we've got a dock, we've got a visitor's center, we've got a parking lot, we've got access. have all those aspects of this facility. What we also bring to this table, is we bring to this table the details to execute. We've been involved. We've built a facility. We've executed the details. We know that the details are what make the customer come back. And if we are anything, we are a business that needs the repeat business. We need to impress that customer. We need to

hold that customer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This gets into being very specific in its areas of expertise and the areas that we need to highlight in our execution of the project. I, from a construction background, schedules, budget, execution, those are the key words to my main business. We need to perform those. We need to execute those. We need to build a first-class facility at Buffington Harbor.

I was personally the construction manager for the Casino Queen and still serve that function in the capital developments of the Casino Queen. We look at a tight time schedule. We've built under tight time schedules. And the construction company executed the second half of the Dan Ryan project, executing almost four and a half miles of bridge structure eight lanes wide in the City of Chicago in seven months. We installed 54 million dollars worth of work in seven months in that case. We know how to build the work. We know how to do it; we know how to do it fast. But we can't just come in and construct something. We need the details. We need the commitment to go forward with a first-class project. And to do that, we put together a team of experts to get into that field.

First, let me introduce, again, Steve Wright, architect, from Loebl, Schlossman, and Hackl. Dave gave

you a little background. Dave left out a couple of the details that relate to the Kenny family involvements. Steve has been involved in over two million square feet design and architectural work for office projects that we've done throughout Lake County, Illinois. We've worked with Steve for over ten years and have a great relationship with him. Steve is a principal architect for Loebl, Schlossman.

Also on the team with Steve we have Doug Monk who's been instrumental and principal architect -- a project architect for this operation here on Buffington Harbor. He's put together what we think is a great concept and a great forward plan. But that's pictures. And that's part of it and that's not all of the difficulties of the problems.

We need to go forward with other aspects of this project. We need to discuss water side issues. On the water side issues, we've engaged Woodward-Clyde, Coastal and Marine Engineers, national and international in scope. We have a design for the Buffington Harbor site. We are proceeding forward with that design. We have at this point put it on hold with a 404 permit application filed by the City of Gary. We've reviewed the permit application filed by the City of Gary. We don't necessarily a hundred percent concur, but we're willing

to work with them to refine them into what we think will do a better job for the Buffington Harbor for Lakeside and the other licensee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Some of the experts of Woodward-Clyde came out of an engineering firm named Warzyn Engineering, Madison, Wisconsin who are probably the leading Lake Michigan coastal engineers. The experience that these gentlemen have on this particular work, they've done an excellent job. They've got an excellent reputation, and Lake Michigan is their area of expertise.

On the land side of the project, Lakeside has employed American Consulting Engineers of Indianapolis, Indiana. Mr. Jim Wurster. Jim is the CEO and founder of American Consulting. American Consulting has been involved with all aspects of permitting, operating and putting forward programs for quick execution in the State of Indiana. They know how to get through the IDEM. know how to get through DNR. They know how to get through permitting processes that need to be addressed for Buffington Harbor to be a success. Jim has been involved in the Hammond site. He's been involved in permitting over there. I think Jim has got some expertise that really brings a lot to this table and is a great asset to our team.

I hope that we can bring together a quick

run-through on this effort. We've done it fast. We've not come through all the problems that we have to solve at Buffington Harbor; but the key issue put before you today is -- because there's no point in trying to discuss details of the problems. The key to tell you is that we have the experts that -- the team to solve the problems. We don't have the answers; we do know the problems. That's where we are. That's where we need to go forward from. There are efforts that have to be made with the other licensee in the City of Gary. And we look forward to completing that to a customer user friendly facility that benefits and brings back the customer. For we are only a business that is based on customer and customer service.

We like Buffington Harbor; we've liked it since our first days here in Gary, Indiana. We think it's a great opportunity, and a great opportunity for success as we pay attention to the details that make this a special project.

I'd now like to introduce Mr. Steve Myers. Chairman of the Board of U.S. Cable Company, majority shareholder in U.S. Cable, to discuss financial aspects of this project. Thank you.

MR. MYERS: Thank you, Patrick. Good morning,
Mr. Chairman and honorable members of the Commission.

The good news is I'm gonna be brief. But the two areas
I'd like to get involved with this morning is to tell you
a little bit about our cable company. Some of the other
ventures here in the midwest, and other parts of the
country, and matters that we've been involved with. And
tell you where we're gonna get the money to do this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

U.S. Cable was founded in 1975, which makes it approximately 19 years old. It began with a little system up in Upstate New York which was 1500 subscribers. Today we've grown to 215,000 subscribers in ten states. We've personally laid over 6,000 miles of state-of-the-art fiber and coaxial cable throughout the country. We're one of the largest -- this makes us one of the largest privately held cable companies in the country. Most of these fellas have gone public by now; we're still a privately held cable company. We have over 90 million dollars in annual sales; we have a 45 million dollar annual cash flow. We have a market capitalization of approximately 500 million dollars. We have debt against that 500 million of approximately 200 million, which gives us net equity value of approximately 300 million. 50 percent of which is attributed to U.S. Cable, the other 50 percent to our partner who is Telecommunications, Incorporated, which is one of the largest -- or is the largest cable company in the world.

U.S. Cable is the managing director for all the U.S.

Cable and T.C.I. assets that we're going to effectuate.

65 percent of U.S. Cable's assets are in the greater

Chicago area here, concentrated primarily in Lake County,

Illinois and Lake County, Indiana. We're gonna get back

to that in a second.

I'd like to tell you about some of the other
businesses and ventures that we've gotten involved with.
In 1989 we decided to embark on an aggressive franchising
effort in the United Kingdom. We formed three joint
ventures with U.S. West, which is a regional Bell
operating company, 9 X Corporation, and New Brunswick
Telephone. This effort resulted in the award of over a
million homes in the United Kingdom from '89 through '90.
To put that into perspective, that's close to seven
percent of the U.K. household. We've recently merged
these assets with Bell Canada Corporation in exchange for
stock and cash, which transaction has closed.

We have a real estate division called U.S.C. Realty Corporation. U.S.C. Realty Construction owns and operates industrial, commercial, and builds residential real estate. We have an international long distance phone company called U.S. Fibercom which has really spawned to take advantage of worldwide de-regulation of telecommunications. U.S. Fibercom today has agencies in

11 countries around the world. We boast clients like the United Nations, General Electric, Exxon Corporation, and the list goes on and on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to share with you just for a moment my investment philosophy. We don't concentrate on a lot of things. The above-referenced companies that I just indicated is a result of 19 years of me personally being in business and being involved with these companies. And we really ended up in 19 years in two areas, which is telecommunications and real estate. And it's recently that we have decided to take on gaming as something that we've decided is gonna be our next venture or area of interest. We've done a lot of due diligence; we've done a lot of homework. We've looked at a lot of situations. We've been presented a lot of situations by various groups from around the country. We elected to get involved with Gary here because it's in our back yard. This is an area which we've been operating for 15 years. We know the local landscape, we know the problems, we know the opportunities. We have a good sense of what this market's about. And we have a good sense of who we're doing business with with the Kennys and Mr. Hanlon and the group that we have assembled here.

I'd like to just return to the specific Northwest

Indiana area here for a moment. Our largest cable system

is here. It's called U.S. Cable of Northern Indiana. This particular system has got over 78,000 customers on it, in 43 communities that are contiguous to Gary. makes this the third largest cable television system in this state. In the State of Indiana. Since 1979, when we began giving awards for these licenses, and through construction to date, we've spent in excess of 80 million dollars. Just in Northwest Indiana here. We continue to spend, in the form of payroll and expenditures in the local economy over 11 million dollars a year for this system alone. In addition to that, we spent over a million and a half dollars annually to local communities for franchise fees. We employ 130 people here locally in Northwest Indiana. 20 percent of those employees come from Gary.

I'd like to talk to you about our financing; and with the assumption that we're gonna be into some detailed Q and A on this, I'll keep this brief. But as Dave Hanlon indicated, we've got a 95 million dollar project here. On the equity side, 20 million dollars of equity will be contributed by the U.S. Gaming group, which I'm a majority shareholder, and the Kenny family group. That 20 million is in substantial liquid assets. You've seen that as indicated on our personal disclosure forms. We would hope that there's no issue as it relates

to the availability of those funds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the trip year side the debt, we're calling for 75 million dollars worth of debt financing which we propose in the first year. We've had talks with our existing bank group. We've had talks with several investment bankers, and as a result of these discussions, we've engaged the Chicago Corporation, which is one of the largest midwest -- midwestern investment banking firms. We've had numerous discussions with them. They've seen our proposals; they're in the process of studying these numbers right now and discussing financing alternatives with us. It's not as simple as we want 75 million dollars; we're putting 20 in. They're gonna go find the 75, but they sit down and they're in the process of helping structure how we're gonna do that. We chose them because of their track record, and particularly because of the midwest orientation and focus. They have gone so far as to actually call their clientele as to level of interest, to be involved in such a project as this.

I'll leave that for the moment on the debt side.

But the point I'm trying to make is that we think, at approximately a two and a half to three to one debt to equity ratio, that this is a fairly conservative debt leverage venture. In our projections, we had close to 18 million dollars of amortization in the first year, which

upon reflecting back on that, to go through that first year, you have to perform as we demonstrate we could. We're being advised we're probably gonna shove some of that off. So the borrowings may float around a little bit in terms of the exact needs by our group.

On the other hand, what we're saying is it's a 75 million dollar project, and as we study it from our perspective, our structure and our numbers are only getting better, not worse. Which is something that some of the other gentlemen that have spoken on our behalf alluded to. And it's nice to have those kind of surprises. When you find that you engage your investment bankers, it's nice for them to help find some of the surprises. That there's room in the deal.

In conclusion, who is better equipped to deal with Indiana business issues than substantial Indiana businessmen. We don't think it's any accident they called on the Kennys in '92 to correct the flood problems or to build the Skyway, or that 60 communities in the greater Chicago area chose U.S. Cable to service their cable and telecommunications needs. We've done projects of this magnitude before. We're doing them the way we said we were gonna do them, and that's really why the Kennys and the U.S. Cable group are still here. We think we're in the unique position today to be able to ask this

Commission to award one of the licenses to Lakeside and have them feel good about doing so. Reputations and actions speak louder than words. And U.S. Cable and Kenny group have substantial investment in the northeast — in the Northwest Indiana area which neither of us can afford to be tarnished. We're here before gaming and we'll both be here to fulfill our commitment to gaming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to turn this over now to Susan Schell and Will Smith, who will be discussing our community programs. Thank you very much.

MS. SCHELL: Good morning, Commissioners and staff. Having acted as the assistant general manager of the Casino Queen project in East St. Louis, I had the responsibility of meeting with local government officials and community groups to discuss the overall economic opportunities which the boat would generate. From the beginning, our emphasis was on having an open and fair process that the whole community could feel a part of. In fact, we spent several months prior to hiring any employees conducting job fairs, and participating in unemployment security job fairs. And at these fairs, my staff and myself would visit with those in attendance and provide detailed job descriptions to everyone. answered questions. We addressed rumors; and we got to know the concerns the residents had. In fact, Lakeside

recently held a job fair in the City of Gary and it was very similar to what was done in East St. Louis. And I'm pleased to say that in one day, we collected a thousand applications and visited with many of the residents of this community. But more importantly, we were able to get acquainted with the community in a substantive way. And furthermore, at the job fairs, we explained our hiring practicing and our drug testing programs and our background investigations which would be necessary for anyone employed in this business with our company.

In East St. Louis, we also held vendors fairs. And that was to meet with the local business operators and to go over our bid procedures and talk about what types of equipment and services we would be purchasing and when we would be needing to make those purchases. It was actually through the vendors fair that we were able to identify the types of services which were missing in the community. A lot of these businesses in East St. Louis had left. And so, you would try to be purchasing dry cleaning services, linen services, and you found that those businesses were no longer active in the community. So what we did was we created the Economic Development Foundation for the purpose of making loans to entrepreneurs of the community to try to set up these businesses which had left the community.

Next we opened, in the City of East St. Louis, a casino training center. At the center we trained over 650 individuals how to deal Black Jack, how to play roulette, how to work the table games. And this was all done free of charge to the individuals as opposed to a lot of casino companies which set up in the communities, set up in various jurisdictions and they charge for their services.

In conclusion, I would just like to say that Lakeside will employ the same hiring, training, and charitable practices in the City of Gary that were implemented in the City of East St. Louis.

As a former regulator with the Illinois Racing

Commission, I understand the difficulty of your decision,

and the issues you have to consider. And I can assure

you that if selected, we will work with this Commission

very closely in every way. Our pledge to this Commission

is that Lakeside will work with the business community

and the residents of Gary to establish a winning team.

I will now let Will Smith go into some detail about our economic programs for the community. Will.

MR. WILL SMITH: Thank you, Susan. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and honorable members of this Commission. My name is Will Smith, Jr. As I stand before you today, I can't help but reflect back to the

early months of 1993 when riverboat casinos was a major topic to our area. At that time, I was president of the Lake County Council. And to me, the prospect of new revenues to fund county government was really rather exciting. So I began to research the industry. And then suddenly, I realized that communities have to be very, very careful in the operators they were to name. I found that there were many companies who did not take the community leads to heart. Ultimately, for me, it was my decision to resign from the Council, that position, because I wanted to be involved as a manager, and an owner with some casino company. So I began to discuss various things with different casino operators. And it was my decision to be with Lakeside Resorts, because as you heard earlier, the expertise and the financial strength of Steve Myers and the U.S. Cable Corporation, the respect and integrity of a Dave Hanlon and the gaming industry, and the success and the construction development and the financial field and the success of the Kenny family, you know why I'm very, very happy to be here today. And I feel good about that enjoyment.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As community director for this company, I feel very good today standing before you about the kinds of programs that I've helped with Susan and other members of our company, to put together for the interests and the

common good of the citizens of Gary, Indiana. established a foundation and we basically modeled it somewhat after the Casino Queen's program that's recently enacted in East St. Louis, Illinois. Susan, with her expertise, has been invaluable to the kinds of things that we thought would be adequate and meet the real needs of Gary, Indiana. We are proposing to take our foundation, we'll fund it, Lakeside will, with two million dollars a year for five years. 10 million dollars. These monies will be used for charitable reasons as well as making loans to our local entrepreneurs. People in our community who want to start up new businesses. And that will commit to providing services, needed services, to our citizens in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The day-to-day operations of this foundation will be managed by an executive director who will report to a board of directors composed, if I may, of members of Lakeside officials. And importantly, members from the Gary community will play a major part of this board of directors. As an example, we've had tentative fillers, people who want to serve in these capacities, such as Lloyd McClendon who has his own MACC Foundation.

Professional baseball player with the Pittsburgh Pirates. Ima Jean Harris, publisher and editor of our Info

Weekly News. A very well respected local newspaper.

Dr. Alfonso Holliday, a member of the Gary School Board,
as a member. And also, Dr. Holliday is director of our
drug and alcohol program that's implemented in Gary City
Court, presently working today. Mr. Roger Detterline,
marketing and sales representative for the Lake County
Association of the Retarded. He's also a retired
firefighter. We will take this foundation, and the
services that we are proposing will be to provide loans,
as I talked earlier, at low interest rates, to the new
upstart entrepreneurs of this city. We will also provide
these entrepreneurs with a staff of professional people
to help them in their performance and business plans.

We will fund, and help fund, various charitable organizations throughout our communities. And we will help fund the various community programs.

Major part of some of the things that we put together, and we recognize the major problem in this community. We went out and we sought advice, suggestions, from people in our community. We found in our research, there was a need for senior citizen housing. So we decided to take the Sheraton Inn, and renovate it into a senior citizen facility. We found in our research, and interesting to note, that over 60 percent of the residents in the City of Gary, are of

senior status. I'm almost there myself. 25 percent, ladies and gentlemen, of these 60 percent of residents in the City of Gary, are physically disabled people.

1.3

The facility will create jobs. Professional and nonskilled positions. It will also enhance our unemployment problem here in the City. The facility will also self-sustain itself by incomes from federal and state subsidies. Also, it will create additional local and state tax revenues from the amenities that we are proposing in that facility, such as the lower level having uni-sex salons, barber shops. Having a restaurant, hopefully a pharmacy. All of these things, certainly will create additional tax dollars to the State of Indiana, and to the City of Gary.

In conclusion, the foundation will sponsor and promote activities which it believes are conducive and creative, and charitable and educational purposes for this community.

The board of directors, as I talked about earlier, will review, upon application, all those things that we need to take into consideration. They'll make the decision, the board will. We will hope to team up with the United Way and other viable organizations in our community. We think that's important. Not to just stand as an arm alone, but to team up with viable nonprofit

organizations. We intend to do that. People foundations such as the MACC Foundation, United Way, and various other organizations in the community.

We found, also in our research, that our marine operations on our lake front today need some assistance. We propose to do that with our Gary lake boats operation, our Lake County Sheriff's boat, because there is some assistance needed in the areas of procurements of high-technology equipment. And we will assist them upon application of procurement of those equipment for the enhancement and the safety of our people using our waterways.

I'm from from a family, 15 brothers and sisters. I was raised in Gary by my late mom and my grandfather in one of the most depressed areas of this city. I'm just happy to be here today. And the final four, for two Gary licenses, you know, I feel somewhat like the Arkansas Razorbacks, the NCAA champions. I wasn't supposed to be here, but I am. And I think this can only happen in America. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. WILL SMITH: I will now give you back to Mr. Donald Smith.

MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Smith, your time is running down. If you will just make a note.

1 MR. VOWELS: Yes, sir. I appreciate that. 2 Klineman, will you permit us to show you this tape? 3 MR. KLINEMAN: I'll give you an extra five 4 minutes for naming the boat after Jack. 5 MR. DONALD SMITH: May I address that issue. There are a lot of Donalds here. The Donald will be here 6 7 This afternoon. I insist on being called Donald when? 8 the first. And I thought that was gonna be the name of 9 the boat. But if you will permit us to show you this 10 tape, and I'll wrap this thing up very quickly. You need 11 a recess. We do, too. 12 MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. 13 MR. DONALD SMITH: Would you dim the lights, 14 please. Whoever has that job. 15 (A videotape was shown to the Commission.) 16 MR. DONALD SMITH: Mr. Chairman, thank you, and 17 I know we all want to recess. I do. And I would just 18 like to make a couple points. By the way, that tape was 19 produced here in our local offices. 20 I'd like to thank Jack Thar, employees, and his 21 staff. There have been times they've scared the devil 22 out of us, but they have been fair and professional, and 23 they have been helpful to us. We'd like to thank you for 24 your attention.

We have our experts here this morning to answer your

25

questions and try to help you gather the information you 1 2 need. We understand you're gonna call us back at some 3 point. The supreme court decision we will hold. And any representation that we or any other applicant make to you 4 5 today, either in the questions and answers to what we said in this presentation, you check it out and see if we 6 7 weren't fair and accurate. So I thank you very much for 8 your attention. 9 Thank you. And we will take a MR. KLINEMAN: 10 desired needed break at this time. We'll be back about

five of 11.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(A short break was

taken at 10:35 a.m.)

MR. KLINEMAN: Can we come back to order.

Mr. Thar has taken the occasion to pick up his pen and he has come up with the following: Jack Thar is a great name for a boat. Too damn bad he doesn't have a boat.

(Laughter.)

MR. KLINEMAN: We're ready for questions of the Commission of the Lakeside Resorts.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I might as well go ahead and You spoke about problems with the construction at that site, and I would like to have a little bit more specifics. Here's a few items that come to my mind.

Please feel free to expand on additional problems.

One is, I'm concerned about the environment. Do you know yet what is under the ground there? I understand that there are several Superfund sites in that general location. It's an industrial area. And is this a problem? Any other construction problems that you specifically see?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: In response to the environmental question, immediately, we do not have any answer on that. The Lehigh Portland Cement site, we've not really had easy access to that site over the last few months. We've been specifically requested to stay away from Buffington Harbor while it's been under negotiation for land purchase. So we have not been able to do any drilling or ascertaining any of that information. We are prepared to do so immediately. We understand the site. We've been there. Do we see any major problems? We have not seen any in our previous investigation, but we've not done any drilling for subsurface conditions.

In answer to the second part of your question, in relation to other problems at the site, yes, we see other problems at the site.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Can you -- specifically.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Coordination problems with the EJ&E Railroad. I think that's a critical issue here.

The access to the site off the main highway as far as the casino operation is just wonderful. We think the access is wonderful at this site. We think it's immediately available. We think the road systems work very well. There are amenities that we'd like to add to those road systems. But again, we don't need them to open. We don't need them to be successful. We need them to maintain long-term business.

-11

Additionally, inside the site, as I mentioned earlier, we've got the Conrail tracks underneath. That bridge structure needs repaired. It needs protection to get a little better life out of it. We think and we feel very confidently, it's not a major project. It can be something that we can accomplish relatively quickly with our construction forces.

As I step down back into the area of the harbor itself, the final design of the harbor facility is not yet, in our opinion, complete. We've looked at the information that was provided in a 404 permit application. We've examined it with all of our experts. We think there are modifications that we'd like to see. We understand the process of the 404 permitting. That was involved in the St. Louis permit for East St. Louis. We understand that people recognize those problems and the delays they may cause. Yet we think over the

long-term comfort and stability and safety of our passengers, we think some of those conditions have to be addressed.

Underground utility, there's a high pressure oxygen line, there's a high pressure nitrogen line in there. We do have to deal with encapsulation of those. We do have to deal with those utilities to make sure that we can provide a safe, comfortable protection along that right-of-way.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And so when you talk about the harbor, do you have a proposed breakwater or something specific that you're planning to do, or you haven't quite found out?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: We did the design with Woodward-Clyde. Dan William is here; I didn't introduce Dan before. Principal engineer from Woodward-Clyde. Dan has been involved in the design process. His firm has been involved in the design process for Lakeside Resorts of Buffington Harbor. Our proposal, as everyone would expect, is different than another engineer came up with. I don't think anyone could walk into a room with three engineers and come up with one drawing. We have a proposal. We have done our engineering on the facility in the idea of reducing wave action and reflection in the harbor. Wave reflection is a critical issue in

Buffington Harbor. The action of the wave coming is not 1 2 really the problem. The action is the wave hitting the 3 retaining wall and coming back at you. That's our 4 biggest concern in Buffington Harbor on the marine side. 5 We think we've addressed it. We've looked at the design 6 that was provided in the 404 permit application. 7 think there's a lot of benefits in that design also. 8 We'd like our technical people to get together with the 9 other licensee, the City of Gary, of course the Corps of 10 Engineers, and get a resolution to get an answer to what 11 will provide the best comfort to our passengers. We want 12 that boat to sit very comfortably when it's in the 13 Harbor. 14 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now you said -- go ahead. 15 MR. ROSS: Are you gonna comment on that 16 floating breakwater? 17 MR. PATRICK KENNY: The design of the floating breakwater over here? 18

MR. ROSS: Yeah. Is that a cheaper way to do that or more practical or--

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PATRICK KENNY: The floating breakwater concept is to move in and get an early start. We see a permanent breakwater is going to be needed. We know that the permanent breakwater has to be installed. When we first came into the design, Woodward-Clyde again did a

design on a temporary facility here using barges layden with rock to reduce the wave action in the Harbor. To cut the wave motion in the Harbor so we can have some stability for the boat. We have gone through a temporary design because we knew one issue here was to get started early. We think that we can get this temporary design approved so we can move forward. If we have problems on the application and doing the complete work of the interior bulkheads or the interior breakwaters, if they delay us from getting the operation started, we have looked at a temporary solution. There's never just one solution to an engineering problem.

MR. ROSS: Well, while you're discussing the time factor, the boat right now belongs to somebody else and you're gonna buy it.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Yes.

MR. ROSS: As I recall, when Mr. Bender, who seems you have contracted to do your boat, said it takes six to eight months.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: No, we do not have a contract existing.

MR. ROSS: I thought that he fixed boats. But anyway, if you say you're gonna be ready in April, I was wondering how you're gonna get that boat all done and get it back to this harbor in time for the--

1 MR. PATRICK KENNY: I believe the proper answer 2 is we can be ready to operate a temporary facility in a six-month period from the time of gaining free access to 3 The question of the boat availability, no, we do not have the boat in six months. We need the boat to 5 either clear the St. Lawrence based on the licensing 7 conditions, or clear the St. Lawrence Seaway prior, or 8 then the option is to clear it in the spring. How can you operate without a boat? 9 MR. ROSS: 10 MR. PATRICK KENNY: No temporary facility can 11 operate until the boat arrives. The statement in regard 12 to the construction of the facility says we can move 13 those facilities along quick enough to bring it open. Wе 14 can't bring our boat in fast enough to bring it open. 15 The land site issue is not controlling us. The boat 16 delivery issue is controlling us. 17 MR. ROSS: When would the boat be delivered? 18 MR. PATRICK KENNY: June 1. 19 MR. ROSS: 2-1? 20 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: June 1. 21 MR. PATRICK KENNY: June 1. 22 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Can you expand on the status of that boat then a little more? 23 24 MR. PATRICK KENNY: With us we have our marine

architect John Gilbert of Boston. Also, I think Dave,

25

would you like to talk about the boat issues and bring us 1 2 up to date? 3 MR. KLINEMAN: One quick question. Do you --4 when you say June 1, do you mean June 1 at the site, or 5 June 1 in Florida or someplace else? MR. PATRICK KENNY: June 1 at the site. 6 7 MR. SUNDWICK: Ready to go. 8 MR. PATRICK KENNY: Ready to go. 9 MR. HANLON: We will bring the boat into the Great Lakes before the St. Lawrence closes, do the work 10 11 here on Lake Michigan so we don't have to worry about the 12 other end. And we are again taking a conservative view 13 of June 1. Possibly sooner than that. And as I 14 mentioned, we also have options on additional boats which 15 we had the same number of gaming positions and would be available even earlier. But rather than confuse the 16 17 issue, we'd absolutely have the boat the last grand isle, 18 and that's in the West Coast of the U.S., prepared to 19 bring that around into the Great Lakes before the St. 20 Lawrence closes and do the work during the winter. 21 MR. SUNDWICK: What facility is going to do the 22 remodeling of the boat in Lake Michigan? 23 MR. HANLON: Peterson, I believe it is. 24 Peterson and Bay Shipbuilding.

25 MR. MILCAREK: Where is that located?

1 MR. HANLON: Sturgeon Bay in Traverse City. 2 don't know where that is personally. MR. KLINEMAN: I presume, though, you're not 3 going to start moving that boat until you have some 4 5 indication of something, or is the boat gonna move into 6 the St. Lawrence regardless of whether or not. 7 MR. HANLON: We're not in the ship owning business. We have the option when the boat is ready to 8 9 qo. We've got the boat yards lined up, everything is 10 ready to go, and we believe on what we anticipate your 11 timetable is, assuming the decision from the Supreme 12 Court is in a timely fashion, that all of that fits in 13 our schedule. 14 MR. KLINEMAN: When does the St. Lawrence 15 close? MR. HANLON: I think December 1st. 16 17 Mid-December. 18 MR. KLINEMAN: And how long does it take to get 19 around? 20 MR. HANLON: About two months. 45 to 60 days, 21 depending on --22 MR. KLINEMAN: Do you have an alternate program 23 if you aren't able to move the boat into the St. 24 Lawrence?

MR. HANLON: Yes, the alternate program is to

25

1 do the work in Mobile, where it obviously doesn't have a 2 winter problem. I'm not too sure your timetable 3 MR. KLINEMAN: isn't getting a little tight, with the Supreme Court 4 5 still considering. 6 I'm sorry. MR. HANLON: I'm not sure your time table 7 MR. KLINEMAN: 8 isn't awfully tight with the Supreme Court still 9 considering the case. MR. HANLON: Our alternative is Mobile, Alabama 10 if we can't get it into the Great Lakes. 11 12 MR. KLINEMAN: So there is some kind of commitment. 13 14 MR. HANLON: Yes. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: If for instance we would go 16 ahead and not be able to act until the latter part of 17 October or something like that, that -- you would be in a 18 position to refurbish the boat and still meet some kind 19 of reasonable early June type of deadline? 20 MR. HANLON: That's correct. 21 MR. SUNDWICK: There was a comment made 22 yesterday in testimony that only so many cars, if I can 23 word this correctly, the current highway system would 24 only accept so many cars practically. There was some

testimony. They said there would be an off ramp built.

25

You're indicating that that's not a problem.

MR. HANLON: We don't think that's a problem.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Our studies and our experience at the Casino Queen doing upward of, you know, 300,000 passengers a month, we see that the Buffington site, based on the attendance and the, you know, the projections that we've made and all the operations, has incredibly good access. We think it's got just very good access. The worst access, I think is northbound Cline Avenue getting off at Industrial under the bridge, one right, next street one block up right into the casino. Signage and proper lighting indicate that's a very, very simple solution to the northbound Cline Avenue traffic. Southbound Cline Avenue has immediate access in. Exiting out of the facility, we go in all directions very, very easily.

MR. SUNDWICK: Pretty comfortable that can be worked with all these highway projects.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: We've held up traffic on I-80 in Indiana on several occasions. We just finished holding up traffic on the Skyway again as we re-built another piece of it this year.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Is there any way you can get that toll taken off the Skyway to encourage people to come this way?

(Laughter.)

MR. PATRICK KENNY: That's a long story.

MR. MILCAREK: How many automobiles a day do you anticipate using that exit?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: The key issue in a joint casino development here between two developers is the sequence of the timing of cruises. By accessing cars in and out on a regular basis, I think what we've been using is about 1.5, 1.6 cars per -- passengers per car. I inverted it. It's about 1.6 passengers per car is what we've been using.

MR. MILCAREK: What would that be in automobiles?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: If you're talking peak periods, which is your design criteria, say you're doing 12,000 passengers on a weekend day between each of the two boats you'd have 24,000 passengers, somewhere in the area of 16,000 cars. But they're coming in over 22 hours. So you've got 22 hours to divide up, and you're now back into an area where you're talking a thousand cars an hour. Not a big peak demand. Significant, we need to deal with the state highway department, of course to get signalization when we need it. We need to provide maybe a little widening on some of the turn lanes to expedite the speed of the traffic through the bridge

structures. But again, I think the infrastructure is basically in place. If there were other opportunities given to us, yes, we would certainly look into improving it. But as it stands, we do like the site. We've liked it since day one because of its access. We think its access off the Cline Avenue highway system -- and that's basically a highway -- places us above almost all the other casino locations we've seen in the United States.

MR. KLINEMAN: You say that you really don't know all the problems that you might be getting into on this site. Have you budgeted or are you prepared to commit yourself to solving those problems from a financial standpoint?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: We think that's one of the key aspects that we had to look at being intelligent business people in this. We think we've budgeted for the access problems that we've had. Not just the access, but the entire construction of a facility. We've included what we think are adequate budgets to do those. We have a firsthand experience in this marketplace. To tell you the price of that work is included in our layout.

MR. KLINEMAN: And the other question is, as was asked yesterday, I notice that you have a budget of five million dollars for the land purchase. If the land purchase -- that's 50 percent. If the land purchase

rises something in excess, are you prepared to go forward and acquire -- pay your 50 percent of the acquisition of the land?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: We would absolutely go forward. But again, we have to redo the capital budget. Again, additional dollars in a project like this don't just fall from the sky. The budgets all have to be reviewed. The feasibility reviewed. Not having a definitive number, not having a definite what is the number going to be, we would be imprudent to give you an answer better than that.

MR. KLINEMAN: You have a budget of 94 or '5.2 from your brochure. Are you saying what you would do is make some kind of adjustment inside that same budget, or are you willing to allow the budget to rise?

MR. PHILIP KENNY: I think the first thing we're trying to do is get creative on the land and get in direct contact with Lehigh Portland and have conversations on you know, perhaps lease arrangements on the property, or look for some way to mitigate that additional expenditure. Absent that, we would look for, you know, alternative ways to find that five million within our 95 million dollar budget. And absent that, we would have to revisit the numbers and sit down and decide whether or not we wanted to spend an additional five

million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: I'm not sure--

MR. PHILIP KENNY: What scares us, is we just don't want to stand up here and say to you with each five million dollars ratcheting up that this project might encounter, that we're perfectly happy to continue to write the checks for that. Because we have accounts. These are checks that the Kenny family are writing and we're writing individually, and we're gonna have a financial partner that's yoked with that equity. And he's gonna have something to say about that as well. life isn't so simple whereas I can just sit and tell you that we have an unlimited budget and whatever goes wrong, we're prepared to step in and make well on that. know, on the other hand, if there's good solid reasons to put additional capitalization on, and intelligent business people look at the reasons why a project needs capital, why we're over budget or...

MR. KLINEMAN: I guess my question is based upon your numbers and the projections so tight, that it wouldn't stand another five million dollars on top of the 95.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: I think a fair answer to that would be once financing is solidified on our end, and we're not sitting here telling you that financing is

locked up; and I think that it would be unfair to say
that anybody has it locked up. We have these
presentations out to a number of people who have engaged
in the Chicago Corporation, they're really into this now,
and we've taken indications of interest from their
client. But we're in the structuring stage that
financing is going to couple with the equity. And when
we see what that looks like, that will give us a little
better sense how much room there is.

MR. KLINEMAN: And just to make sure that I understand, that the Boxing Hall of Fame is for sure, or is it a possible on your--

MR. HENSLEY: Your brochure here says "proposed" or "possible" or something like that.

MR. HANLON: We re-worded it. It says Boxing Hall of Fame. There is in fact a Boxing Hall of Fame in Upstate New York. So it would be a boxing museum. I have had extensive discussions with a number of people in the boxing arena that I have dealt with in the last 15 years. Including senior officials of WBA. People who have significant boxing memorabilia, and in fact with Rock Newlan who represents Riddick Bowe, and Mr. Duva represents Mr. Holyfield. So we have had discussions with them about their participation in this project going back well over a year. They're excited about the

possibility of participating in it, and we would anticipate that that would be a portion of the sports facility project.

MR. KLINEMAN: I guess my point is the budget, four million dollars Boxing Hall of Fame and the Sportopia; that would be spent regardless of whether the--

MR. HANLON: Yes. That four million dollars is our equity portion of that. Brian Hall is here, who might want to address that. He's the president of Sportopia. That is our equity participation in the project. The project itself is a significantly larger project. Where's Brian. What's the total cost of that project?

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So in other words, it's really more than the 95 million dollar project.

MR. HANLON: Yes, in fact it is. What we put in there is exactly what we're responsible for. The project itself, if you include all of Sportopia is, in addition to the 95 that you have, you would have an additional 25 million dollars.

MR. VOWELS: Is that mere intent -- how binding is that? Do you have an actual agreement that's been signed?

MR. HANLON: I'm sorry, sir.

```
1
              MR. VOWELS: About Sportopia. My understanding
2
    there was a memorandum of intent that's been signed by
 3
    the parties.
 4
               MR. HALL: Yes. And that is a binding
5
    agreement.
 6
               MR. VOWELS: Has there been a contractual
 7
     agreement signed by besides that?
 8
               MR. HALL: No. A letter of intent has been
 9
     signed.
               MR. HENSLEY: What was the total figure for
10
11
     Sportopia?
12
               MR. HALL: The first phase, total direct
     construction cost is 40 million dollars.
13
               MR. HENSLEY: And you're putting four of it in
14
15
    you said?
16
               MR. HALL: Excuse me?
17
               MR. HANLON: Lakeside Resorts is contributing
18
     four million dollars of that.
19
               MR. HENSLEY: Out of the 40.
20
               MR. SUNDWICK: So you have 95 plus the 40.
21
               MR. HALL: That is correct.
22
               MR. SUNDWICK: Is that what you're saying?
23
               MR. HANLON: 95 plus 40 less 4. So it's 95
24
    plus 36.
```

MR. SUNDWICK: The 95 includes Sportopia.

25

MR. HANLON: It includes our portion of Sportopia.

MR. SUNDWICK: Four million of it.

MR. HANLON: That's correct.

MR. SUNDWICK: I'm just trying to get the whole commitment.

You know, I listen about the Sportopia, and I'm trying to understand the marketing logic behind -- you're gonna bring some people in, they're gonna go on a boat, and you're gonna leave the kids in the place to play?

MR. HALL: No, not exactly. We've done a tremendous amount of marketing studies across the United States, and we feel that Sportopia is a very good compliment to a casino operation. As you know, there's a definite trend in industry to include family entertainment with gaming. We will not only penetrate the number of people that will be going to the boats, our market studies say that approximately 25 percent of the people that will be going to the boats will also stop and spend some time in Sportopia. However, the real meat of the market is the local surrounding area. We'll be able to draw from Chicago and all the other suburbs of Gary. So our analysis, as they indicate, will have over a million in attendance just at Sportopia.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Is that a franchise thing, or

is this the first of its kind?

MR. HALL: It is not a franchise thing. The concept has been under development for three years. As Mr. Hanlon said, the Lucas Arts, on contract, did the conceptual design for us. We've spent three million dollars to date. We have several sites under contract. Our first park will be in Branson, Missouri. It's a 62-acre park, and we hope that Gary will be our second site. And we are prepared to move ahead immediately.

MR. SUNDWICK: This is gonna be -- it could be -- there's no -- let's assume -- let's make an assumption there's not gonna be any hotels on this site. Is it still practical to do this?

MR. HANLON: Maybe I can answer both of your questions. Going back to just dropping the kids off. We don't see Sportopia as being in fact a child care center. Sportopia will be an attraction itself. And one of the things that will happen, if you look at a mature market like Atlantic City who's always bragging about having 30 million visitors a year, in fact, Atlantic City has about 6 or 7 million visitors a year who come frequently. And if you take the adult population of the immediate area and take out the people who are just opposed to gambling or say I'll never gamble, you still have in Atlantic City in 13 years, have penetrated about 30 percent of those

people. So what we're saying is that whenever you open a casino to an environment, the definition of marketing at first is don't get trampled when you open the door, but that doesn't last forever. So what we're attempting to do is -- and what we think Sportopia does, is create a major reason for people to come to the area. While they're there, we hope that they will also gamble. those people by definition will be lighter gamblers than the people just coming for the gambling project. what we want to do is have this project set itself aside as an attraction that over a long period of time will attract people other than people who just want to gamble. So we think Sportopia on its own is certainly an economically feasible project. And the entertainment is not for kids -- what we're saying is there are attractions for kids, but there are attractions all the way through adult. And the whole concept of Sportopia is to provide a wide variety of activities for everybody.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I'm sorry, I forgot your other question.

MR. SUNDWICK: So did I.

(Laughter.)

MR. HANLON: Oh, the hotel. We have -- the majority of this market is a drive-in market. And my personal opinion having experience in a number of jurisdictions, is we go to emerging jurisdictions

throughout the country, and primarily the midwest, and the south, everybody seems to want a hotel. That depends on whether there's a need for a hotel. We don't believe there's a need for a hotel right now. As this project gets developed, that may happen. But we don't believe that is the primary consideration on that. The majority of people who come to this kind of project are gonna be people that are gonna drive in. They're going to come in for a few hours, go to Sportopia and eat and the other activities and go home. The majority of people are not looking for a place to stay overnight. So at some point in time a hotel may make some sense here. And real tie-in for a hotel and the Sportopia. It could be complimentary in the future, but we don't think at this stage it's a high priority.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENSLEY: If the other applicant was building a hotel, would you be taking up all the room or would there still be some room left for a hotel?

MR. HANLON: It would depend on the division of the hotel. But there's enough space for both of those things to happen.

MR. HENSLEY: Is this essentially the same presentation that you made to the City of Gary?

MR. HANLON: Essentially the same, yes. I think at the time we made the presentation to Gary, Mr.

Myers was not part of that. The Kennys were part of it,

I was part of that. We talked about making it a

destination resort. We in fact talked to them about the

Sportopia concept. It's been more developed now. This

is Brian who's worked on it. But the concept of that and
the boxing were part of our presentation at that time,

yes.

MR. HENSLEY: I don't think we had financial projections on the Sportopia.

MR. HANLON: No.

MR. HENSLEY: So we don't know what the economic benefits from that particular...

MR. HANLON: Maybe Brian can address that. I'm not familiar with this.

MR. HALL: Yes, we have done an economic impact analysis study; however, it's a preliminary study. We were -- we did not know exactly how much land would be available. Sportopia is modular in nature, and we can design the facility to meet the demographics of an area. Or site constraints. But our initial economic impact analysis was that it would have the benefit of approximately 450 part-time and full-time jobs for local community. And approximately over a million dollars in added taxes.

MR. HENSLEY: How close are you to finalizing

1 those projections? 2 MR. HALL: Again, it's dependent upon how much 3 land is available. If it's 15 acres, 10 acres, 37 acres. 4 That remains to be seen. 5 MR. HENSLEY: Is your financing pretty well 6 assured? 7 MR. HALL: We are working with a major 8 investment banking firm that has taken on responsibility 9 of placing the debt and the equity for all of these 10 projects. 11 MR. HENSLEY: Mr. Hanlon, in one of these -- I 12 might be addressing the wrong person, but in any case, 13 somewhere in there it seemed to me that you were going to 14 be able to develop your debt portion of the investing at 15 50 basis points over prime. But there seems to be some 16 concern this morning that the markets out there won't 17 loan you the money at all, so... 18 MR. HANLON: Well, I think, Steve, you might 19 want to make that--20 Your question is the interest rate MR. MYERS: 21 assumptions that we're using. 22 MR. HENSLEY: Yes. Is that something that's 23 keeping you from being able to say I can pay another 24 point and get ten more people.

MR. MYERS: No, but I will tell you when those

numbers were put together, rates have moved. Markets have moved. The junk market, and that's how that's been priced, has moved. So you do have a moving target. And our understanding is you get picked for a suitability initially, and then you've got several months to perfect all of this. And not that we're not working on it now. And there's a lot of different aspects as to how this would be financed in terms of components of the boat, in one component. Gaming, in another component, and everything else in another component gives you a better price and your unilateral price to your lender includes everything. So all these -- we've explored each and every one of these those. We can tell you how much we can borrow on the boat, we can tell you how much it cost to borrow on a boat and gaming equipment. What we can't tell you is what the blend is for the three just yet. And we're working with the Chicago Corporation, and this is a relatively new engagement. They've been on board for several weeks now and they're working very hard at this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENSLEY: I see they're very encouraging to you though, I would think so.

MR. MYERS: We have a tremendous amount of experience, as do Kennys as well in financing projects.

U.S. Cable has done hundreds of millions of dollars over

the years in financing, has several hundred thousand million in financing now outstanding. And we think we can get a pretty quick lead as to what the market's doing. It's not a question in our minds if it's financeable. The question is: What's it gonna cost to finance.

MR. HENSLEY: One of the charges is that we have -- we need to take a look at all the applicants and choose the one that would produce the most advantageous and both fiscally and from other standpoints to the State of Indiana. With your assumptions being as conservative as they are, it puts you down at the bottom from the standpoint of what the contribution is, without some of these other types of projects that you talked about today like the Sportopia and so forth. So how can you help us look at your proposals in different light perhaps, than Gary did to start with, perhaps just from raw numbers.

MR. MYERS: Well, most importantly, I think what you need to do is look whether the applicants, the applicants that you're gonna give the license to are gonna be around to fulfill those commitments based upon their financing proposals and commitments. What we think we've provided you is a sound, financial, both structure and proposals as it relates to overall capital

expenditures and assumptions on revenue expenses margins, win per customer, number of cruise people or people that would go on each cruise and annual visitations and so on and so forth. We have done massive work since that has been handed in to you. And if anything, as I said earlier, we're being surprised pleasantly on the up side as to what this additional research and the marketplace is telling us. But we're here to defend what we gave you and we understood that to be the guidelines begins as to what you'd like to come up with in these hearings. And so we're gonna stay within the scope of that unless you want to migrate to something different, we will.

MR. HENSLEY: As a CPA, I appreciate conservatives, and what I'm asking I guess is when you're looking at a project such as this with such enormous amounts of money involved and returns to the community, and with all the things that your group has to offer, which I consider very positive, I look at it and I wonder whether or not you're shooting yourself in the foot by being overly conservative. Would you think that's the case?

MR. MYERS: I think that in certain areas that could be the case. That we are showing better numbers on subsequent research that we've done. But what that tells you, I think is that Frank will sit here and tell you

that this is just a slam dunk financing. I don't think it's appropriate. But what it's done is it's taken what might have been a difficult financing, and it's gone to what we interpret as a lot in the marketplace to get this done. You can always spend more money. If you make more money you can always spend more money. The rationale -- we want rationale for spending it. But if the site needs a hotel or if it needs additional amenities to help bring in people and that's a sound fundamental business reason to do that, we would do that. We're in the business to bring people to that area to get them out on the boat.

MR. HENSLEY: But do you see the dilemma that we're in? We have four sets of numbers here. And I assume that the reason that you weren't a preferred applicant after Gary went through their process may have been related to the projections that you made and possibly to the conservative nature of those projections.

MR. MYERS: I'm gonna turn this over to Phil in one second, but I think that A, this is our money. This is not shareholder money. We're not doing a floatation on the equity. My checkbook balances goes down, so does the Kennys. And that money is put in first. And any financing scheme, usually they're gonna require that 20 million day one. You're gonna spend that before they start giving you any of that. So are we being

conservative. Yeah, we're being conservative. I would rather be surprised on the up side. I'd rather surprise you on the up side. It's always been our practice with our banks, and when we do our pro formas and our ten-year projections they don't want to hear the excuses and why you've got to restructure this facility loan or whether you're non-performing. They don't want to hear it. And maybe that's from which we're bred, that kind of mentality. But I would rather be surprised on the up side. Because a lot of things can go wrong, too, and will go wrong.

MR. HENSLEY: The point is you can't make those conservative projections that you have given us unless you have a license. If you don't get the opportunity to do it, the projections are moot. And I guess what I'm looking at is are these ultraconservative projections that you expect to exceed and therefore are unreasonable, or are these what you really are trying to achieve out there?

MR. MYERS: I don't think they're unreasonable.

MR. HENSLEY: I'm not talking about

unreasonable.

MR. MYERS: The set we gave you is just so far off to the left as being ultra ultra ultra. No. We think we can do better than that. We'd like to think we

can do better than that.

MR. HENSLEY: You believe that this might have -- been the ultraconservative nature of these projections may have been the reason why you were not selected as a finalist.

MR. MYERS: I can't respond to that since I wasn't around at the time.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Thank you. Mr. Hensley, I just want to add a few comments that Steve covered. Very simply, in that from day one that we got involved in this situation we have dealt with reality. Whether it dealt with the site situation or whether it dealt with the cost and the effect in the gaming situation. The one thing that Steve addressed that is very true, we are both private companies. We sit across the table from our bankers, the one thing we want to make sure we've done is meet our projections. I can give you a background from the St. Louis situation and show you projections that we gave our banking institutions relative to the East St. Louis situation, and I will tell you we've exceeded them major league.

MR. HENSLEY: By what margin?

MR. PHILIP KENNY: I would say, Patrick, conservatively, probably in the 30 to 40 percent area.

MR. HENSLEY: Well, basically, we smoothed

these projections using the averages of these other applicants, and we showed that your projections was like 136 percent of your base when we made those smooth.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Yet the one thing we've learned being in private business, we've been able to exhibit discipline when we're able to go out. As I said to you earlier, we're here to sell ourself, not to sell the numbers. The numbers will take care of themself because the State of Indiana will be the equalizer based on the simple fact that if we do 150 million on your boat alone, you're going to be the beneficiary. What we've tried to do is create a dose of reality to say that we feel this is a good starting point.

As I said, our success in financing from a private side has always been the very simple fact is that we walk into our bankers and our financial institutions and put numbers before them that they say we know you can achieve those. So that's the kind of the balance where we're at.

MR. SUNDWICK: We took your numbers on the face of them, though. That's a concern. If you underestimated and say practically maybe we can do better, in fact, we might find that you underdo everything by almost 40 percent. I mean, if you're running a business today, you make a sales forecast, you're 40 percent off, your expenditures have got to be

40 percent off.

MR. MYERS: I don't think that's the case where we're underbudgeting by 40 percent by any stretch of the imagination. We have done subsequent research that suggests that we can do better. But we're not gonna make a hundred million dollar mistake. We wouldn't do that. We never have, we never will. We're just not gonna do that. And we will structure this thing where it's comfortable with the financial community, comfortable with the investors, the Kennys and ourselves, and we'll make this work. And we'll be around to pay those franchise fees for years around. If you make a mistake, you're not gonna be able to pay those fees. You're gonna have another ghost town in Gary.

MR. HANLON: Maybe I can rest this because I was involved in developing the numbers originally. When gaming has expanded to jurisdictions, as I said, when it opens up, there's a tremendous inherent demand for gaming. And when you see some of the numbers that happened in Mississippi and other numbers like that, you saw tremendous numbers. And people said that's a very bad economic area and look at those numbers. Why did that happen. Because what happened is those people had a human demand for gambling, and they went in debt. And if you look down to Mississippi, you can see that there's

three or four places in Tunica. You'll see down on the Gold Coast where that's happening. This is not a virgin This is an area that has certain demographics area here. and has a certain amount of gaming capacity already in place. We're talking about the possibility of five places in Chicago; we're talking about five operations in Northwest Indiana. As we do our methodology, we look at the universe of people there. You take out minors because they're not allowed to go. The second stage is you take out, based on our sort of a proprietary experience information, you take out a number of people who are just either morally, religiously, or for whatever reason are opposed to gambling. So it starts shrinking Then you look at the economic levels of people, their propensity to gamble, the ability to penetrate that universe of people. The inner market generally has people that will come more frequently because this is basically a business not unlike the grocery business. Ifthe products are there, you go to a closer place. You don't go a hundred miles; you don't go 200 miles to go to a casino except by accident. So we just said the Chicago/Northwest Indiana is a big area; there's a lot of people. Pick a number. The number of people we came up with, which was the year one, 1.36 million people was not a number that we just reached out of the hat and grabbed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's a number we think is a reasonable number.

The second part of that equation is how much money are they likely to gamble and what's the win per person. We did it again based on our experience and our analysis what we thought would be a reasonable number. The number will be higher in the beginning, but is it gonna stay that way.

What is the quality of the product. Basically, this is a product which is a very highly regulated product, and the exact product itself is the same. You know, you count to 21 the same each place. So you try to differentiate your product in a number of ways:

Location, means of access, other amenities, level of guest service, all those kinds of things which are important. But basically, the product is the product.

And we have to be reasonable about what that's gonna be.

We're not off 40 percent on our numbers, I'll guarantee you that. I can't argue numbers for somebody else; but as I said before, I have been in literally dozens of these similar presentations throughout the country where people are doing that, and everybody says the reason we're having gambling is because the fiscal help we need for Gary, Indiana, or the State of Indiana. Bigger numbers mean more numbers to the state and that makes us feel better. But let's not confuse projection

with performance. What we're saying, we can perform.

When I ran Harrahs in Atlantic City, the most profitable casino not only in the city but in the world. So I understand how to make that perform. When the Kennys have done it, they performed; when U.S. Cable's done it, they performed. We have a team of people who can perform. Whatever this market can bear, we will get the most out of it. We have absolute confidence in that.

But to project higher numbers is to me blue sky. Now, we haven't, based on those numbers, we have not underdeveloped the project. We think this is a very good, sound project and a very complete project.

MR. HENSLEY: I think that when we're looking at these comparisons, we're -- most of them, many of them, you're right with everybody else, you know, the win numbers and so forth. But where it's really making a difference is in the expected attendance. We have -- when we're looking at the annual attendance, we're looking at a million five for one of the operators, two million for another of the operators, two million 381 for another operator. But less than one million over your company.

MR. HANLON: No, we have 1.36 million visitors.

MR. HENSLEY: It could be a five-year average.

MR. HANLON: Well, let me explain what number

on the five-year average is. I think we would be sticking our head in the sand to say there is not gonna be gambling in downtown Chicago and additional boats in the suburbs of Chicago. If that doesn't happen, we're all gonna be very pleased. But I don't think that that's likely not to happen. This business, like other business, has a basic supply and demand relationship. The supply of square footage of gaming positions is going up significantly. Demand for that is not increasing proportionately. So there will be significant diminution in that market. And in our projections what we have said is what can really happen significantly bad. that if people give you a projection to say Chicago isn't gonna happen, that might make us all feel good on a piece of paper, but in the real world that's not likely to happen. But if in fact we're wrong and the politics and other things happen that Chicago doesn't get gaming, terrific, we're all gonna be very pleased. But I think that our numbers, we assume that Chicago comes in and your tree of operations went down to about 900,000 visitors, then 792,000 visitors or something like that. But we're looking to "X" out Chicago. Put us onto apples with everybody else. If they all say no Chicago, fine; but our numbers for no Chicago; and those numbers are significantly different. But I think that it is prudent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not only for us as operators and businessmen, but it's prudent for us to deal with you, because you don't want to be in a position down the road saying, we bought the blue sky that didn't come true. And I guess the important part is, projections are not performance. We will perform as well or better than anybody in this business.

MR. VOWELS: If your projections are too conservative and if your revenues exceed your expectations, will the incentives that you have offered for the economic development expand and increase, or will that remain fixed?

MR. HANLON: Well, I don't know the answer to that. If we do better, we are already gonna be providing significantly more jobs than other economic incentives as part of the ripple effect of our business. My experience has been in jurisdictions where we have operated, that we have always contributed hugely more to the local community than what you project because we are in fact part of the community. We're not outsiders. Aside from the fact that this group is from Indiana, and have investments here, we are part of the Gary community. The people who work there: The 900,000 people who work there; the 450 people in Sportopia, those are people that are part of the community. So you invest in the

community. So the answer, without being able to be specific, is yes, of course if we do better we'll contribute more to Gary. It's in our best interest to make Gary thrive so that when people's perception of Gary, which is whatever it is now, is significantly That makes sense for us as citizens of the enhanced. community. It also makes sense from a business point of view.

MR. VOWELS: Let me ask the flipside. Back to the land acquisition question. You, in your capitalization structure, have an anticipated contribution for economic development to the community of 18.6 million dollars. If the land acquisition is in excess of five million dollars that you have allotted, will that 18.6 million be sacrificed, or will that remain fixed?

MR. HANLON: Well, those are, you know, commitments that I think we've made. We think that the senior citizens center is in fact something that we want to support that the Gary community needs. Sportopia is the other part of that. And we think that that is a very important part of a complete development here.

> So that would remain fixed? MR. VOWELS:

MR. HANLON: Yes.

MR. VOWELS: Also another question, since

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

you're not going to construct a hotel, and the statute requires that if you don't construct or provide an approved hotel, that you must cause economic development that will have the economic impact for the city that is in excess of the economic impact that a hotel would have. Can you tell us what that economic development would be to satisfy that portion of the statute?

MR. HANLON: We think those two things have the economic equivalent of the hotel.

MR. VOWELS: Can you expand on what that is?

MR. HANLON: Without being smart, can you

define what economic equivalent is? I think it's broad
in the sense of how you measure what the economic

equivalent of a hotel is. Hotels of 200 rooms is going
to have 120 people working there. I don't consider that
a huge economic equivalent compared to what Sportopia

would contribute in terms of number of jobs if nothing
else.

MR. VOWELS: Well, the definition, I guess would be sort of more of a concept where you tell us what you believe that the economic development would be that would be in excess of the hotel.

MR. HANLON: I'm sure that we could work up numbers that would make you feel that our project is significantly better economically.

MR. VOWELS: And what in particular have you proposed in relation to that portion of the statute?

MR. HANLON: The Sportopia is going to be a 30, 40 million dollar project with 450 employees generating real estate taxes, generating income taxes, generating people's jobs. And all of those things also in the vertical effect in the number of vendors that you have to supply those things. I can't give you specific numbers on that right now. I'd be happy to work that out for you, but we think that that is more than economic equivalent.

MR. KLINEMAN: If Sportopia doesn't happen...

MR. HANLON: We will do something else.

MR. KLINEMAN: And I'm not trying to suggest that it's impossible. I mean, I've heard that it's possible. But it doesn't give me a warm, comfortable feeling that it in fact is going to happen. We've kind of got two possible financing packages. One is the underlying package for your development, and then we have the next package which would be the Sportopia 36 million or whatever is needed, both on equity and debt. That to me is, it's one more sand castle than I can swallow at the present time. So I would like to know what is your fallback factor if Sportopia doesn't happen.

MR. HANLON: We know the statutory requirement

for the hotels or economic equivalent, so we're not backing away from that at all. We happen to think that this particular time Sportopia is a significant value added to what we're doing. If for whatever reason Sportopia doesn't happen, we will make other arrangements to have another economic equivalent. And on a very simplistic basis, I said we'll build a hotel. But as I said before, we don't think that that's the best use of the money right now for the state that Gary is in, the level of development it is.

MR. KLINEMAN: I would like to hear what your fallback plan is. Not just build a hotel, but I don't think it will work. I think that I'd like to hear what better replaces Sportopia.

MR. HANLON: If Sportopia doesn't work?
MR. KLINEMAN: Yes.

MR. HANLON: We haven't developed a specific plan.

MR. VOWELS: That's a requirement of the license being issued is that pursuant to the statute, either you build a hotel or you have some economic development plan that exceeds the value of that.

MR. MYERS: We believe that between the 10 million dollars that we're putting into the Economic Development Foundation, the renovation of the Sheraton as

a senior center for 14 million, and the three percent of additional gross revenue of the City alone meets that requirement. And that's our interpretation. And that anything as it relates to Sportopia, or Boxing Hall of Fame, that's just -- that's additional. I believe we meet it under those other criteria. And we could have reflected Sportopia in the proposal as showing a capital, I think for us for 95 and call it 25 or 30. We chose not to reflect it that way. It's a separate enterprise. We're making an investment of four million dollars in Sportopia. It is my opinion they do have good investment guys working on this financing package. With four million they're going to get something. So maybe it doesn't open at 60,000 square feet, it opens at some lesser number. But if you give somebody four million bucks, it's done with the idea that he's done his research, and he takes that to his banker, they'll give him something, would be my guess.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: I mean there's a certain level that doesn't make any sense.

MR. MYERS: I agree. And I'm not trying to dodge the issue on the statute. That you would like to see more from us on that.

MR. KLINEMAN: I want to make sure that if we're going to consider your application a very serious

one, that you meet the statutory requirement. 1 it's incumbent upon you to make and present us evidence that shoes that you do in fact meet the equity statutory requirement. MR. MYERS: Are you saying you don't believe we 6 have to leave Sportopia to one side? We believe we have.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: I just haven't seen it, and I'm not too sure that Sportopia is in fact -- it may be in equivalence. It may be more than equivalence if it's built. I'm just not sure that it's a for sure. sounds to me like--

MR. MYERS: Mr. Chairman, we're saying that if Sportopia weren't built at all, we've met the economic--

MR. KLINEMAN: And those are figures that I would like to see. I would like to see a projection of what a hotel, what's anticipated a hotel, and then I want to see what you've got over here.

I mean the senior citizens housing program is wonderful if it's needed in Gary, and I'm advised by Mr. Smith it is. But it doesn't employ very many people. mean if you're talking about long-term economic development, it's not just a senior citizens.

MR. MYERS: We'll study that. We believe we meet it. If we're incorrect on that -- we're prepared to meet it is what we're saying to you.

1 MR. KLINEMAN: And the Foundation, if it's 2 gonna be funded at 2 million dollars a year, it's not 3 gonna have much bang. I don't think it's gonna have much 4 bang. 5 MR. SUNDWICK: Five years it's over anyhow. 6 million dollars for five years. 7 MR. PATRICK KENNY: Guaranteed for five. 8 MR. SUNDWICK: And then it's not quaranteed? 9 MR. MYERS: That's correct. 10 MR. KLINEMAN: So is there any additional flow 11 at all? No percentage? 12 MR. MYERS: We are very heavily involved. 13 know speaking for my companies in Northwest Indiana, 14 we've done anything but promise anything initially and then after five years have had a deadline to it, abandon 15 16 those types of programs. We are very involved in a number of organizations in both Lake County Illinois, and 17 18 Indiana. I'm sure the Kennys are. And it's just not our 19 style. 20 I understand your style and I'm MR. KLINEMAN: 21 taking your word. But we're dealing with something that--22 23 MR. MYERS: I understand. 24 MR. KLINEMAN: --we want to be able to touch 25 and feel.

1 MR. MYERS: Okay. 2 MR. SUNDWICK: A question. I don't think you were at the Gary presentation. Was Sportopia a major 3 4 part of your Gary presentation? 5 MR. MYERS: No, it's not. 6 MR. SUNDWICK: So when did it become a major 7 part? MR. MYERS: Since you started asking so many 8 9 questions about it. 10 (Laughter.) 11 MR. MYERS: I'm being honest with you. I 12 didn't mean you personally, I'm saying--13 MR. SUNDWICK: No, no, I understand. 14 MR. MYERS: --you picked up on it and it seems 15 like the line of questioning has been about Sportopia. 16 MR. SUNDWICK: There's a lot of money you're gonna put in this thing. And between the time you made 17 18 your pitch to Gary and they said "Well, you know, thanks, but no thanks." You're back now saying, "It's a big deal 19 20 for us." Rather than that, you have a boat, a hotel, and 21 10 million dollars, and Sportopia. 22 MR. MYERS: And three percent additional gross 23 to the City. And a 14 million dollar renovation of the 24 Sheraton Center. If we all agree that that's wasted

money for some reason -- our studies indicate it's not.

But that's a lot of money to do something else with. I'm talking about the 14.

MR. VOWELS: I want to get back to the question just to clarify what I had asked. Because I was looking at the statute when I said this. But what I was concerned with was to exceed the economic impact of the construction of a hotel. Not just the economic -- not the economic impact of a hotel, but the construction of the hotel. So that's clear.

MR. MYERS: A hotel room is anywhere from 60, 80 thousand a room. So you're talking 15, 20 million bucks, just ballpark. Between, you know, 14 on the senior center, 10, 4, you know, call it Sportopia for the moment. I think we're there.

MR. KLINEMAN: See, Sportopia, you -- or Mr. Hanlon brought the point up, Sportopia is going to employ 16-year-old kids. And that doesn't sound real nice to me. But now, so you, through Sportopia, as a, you know, something we were supposed to really look at. And then when I looked at it I said maybe it's not gonna happen.

MR. MYERS: We were impressed by it. We spent a lot of time with these guys, and we think they've got their act together. And we just don't arbitrarily agree to put 4 million dollars somewhere where we don't feel is going to be able to pull this off. And their financing

and where they're at in relation to this project, they're 1 2 way down the road on this stuff. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: Branson is at what stage? MR. MYERS: About to start construction. 4 5 MR. KLINEMAN: Your financing is all in place? 6 MR. HALL: Not totally signed, but very close. 7 MR. PHILIP KENNY: You know relative to 8 Sportopia because we're on this issue, let me explain 9 something. In your package you've got a 4 million dollar equity contribution. What you've not given us credit for 10 11 which we've sat with Brian on is the very simple fact of 12 the matter is he has no land cost. We are purchasing the 13 land. Secondly, the infrastructure that will go into our 14 facilities will be used also in site conjunction with 15 his. So when you look at the economic equivalence of 16 where he's really at, he is very far along under a 17 modular scheme of his financing already being close to 18 being in place. 19 MR. KLINEMAN: Because I think he gets credit 20 for all of the things, your investment and the 21 infrastructure. 22 MR. PHILIP KENNY: Correct. 23 MR. KLINEMAN: Is that what you're saying? 24 MR. HENSLEY: I think we've given you credit

for the four million. I think we're just trying to get

excited about the other 36 million.

MR. HENSLEY: At this particular time, you know, we probably could do that if we have the projections and if we had the assurances on the finance and all of those other things.

(Laughter.)

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Certainly.

MR. HENSLEY: But a couple of questions, I don't know whether you're the one to answer them or not. Do you propose to spend this whole 95.2 million dollars by the end of the first year? Is there some...?

MR. HANLON: No, I don't think that we could spend it that fast.

MR. HENSLEY: What do you believe for the whole development?

MR. HANLON: From the time that we start, that we can have a temporary facility, we believe, up in six months. We would expect that we would have spent by that time almost exactly 60 million dollars. That we would complete the, you know, the permanent visitor's center and all that within another six to eight months, and that would be, another 20 million dollars from there, I believe. So that would be from the time you actually started the work, you know, a single year period, about 80 million dollars.

MR. HENSLEY: About how much? 1 2 MR. HANLON: About 80. MR. HENSLEY: You've already spent 500,000, I 3 think Mr. Smith said. 4 5 MR. HANLON: Approximately. MR. HENSLEY: I have one other question. 6 My 7 fellow commissioners up here said we're really getting an 8 education the last day or so about how people are 9 acquiring equity into these ventures without putting any 10 money into it. One of the things that we heard yesterday 11 was that this was to enable people who couldn't afford to 12 invest to be able to share in these revenues. And today, 13 we heard that the shareholders were making some type of 14 contribution to the company that would entitle them to 15 this -- I still think it's a gift -- but apparently it's 16 a loan that never gets paid back. But I was wondering if 17 it would be possible to submit to us a list of those 18 shareholders and where they live, what contribution that 19 they're making to generate this type of treatment. 20 an estimation of their net worth. 21 MR. DONALD SMITH: I can give you a little 22 summary of that, sir, if you would like. 23 MR. HENSLEY: Okay. Would you still submit the

list of who those shareholders are, what their contribution is, where they live--

24

1 MR. DONALD SMITH: Oh absolutely. We have all 2 that. 3 MR. HENSLEY: --city of residence, and their 4 estimated worth? 5 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And I think to be fair, we'd 6 really like that from everybody. If they can't afford --7 we want to know what their interest is. And people 8 telling us that they can't afford to be shareholders... 9 Go ahead and say what you were gonna say. MR. DONALD SMITH: The local members that we 10 11 have, and I don't know what term -- let's call it the 12 local members. They have no capital investment in the 13 place, all right. We've not inquired into their net 14 worths. The fact that we brought them on board and that 15 they came on board was an entirely different purpose. 16 May I take some time to list them? Do you want me to? 17 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Sure. 18 MR. DONALD SMITH: Since there are five crews, 19 you take the U.S. Gaming crew, that's composed of Mr. 20 Myers and his chief executive officer. 21 MR. HENSLEY: But even though you tell us this, 22 will you still provide the list? 23 MR. DONALD SMITH: Absolutely. 24 MR. KLINEMAN: I think it would be more 25 effective if you'd just provide a list. Maybe then if it

1 needed to be somewhat confidential we can take that 2 matter up. 3 MR. DONALD SMITH: Make I take off Labor Day, and get it to you next week? 4 MR. KLINEMAN: We're, as I said yesterday, 5 6 we're gonna hold these hearings open. The Supreme Court 7 is requiring us to. So there will be time to submit 8 other information. 9 MR. DONALD SMITH: I'll have that to you 10 promptly. MR. KLINEMAN: And you can still have Labor Day 11 12 off. 13 MR. DONALD SMITH: I'll have it to you 14 promptly. MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I'd like to ask some questions 15 16 about the Sheraton. From what you said, Mr. Smith, your research indicated there was a need for senior citizen 17 18 housing in Gary. Do you think that's the best way to 19 help -- to provide senior citizens affordable housing is 20 in a high rise situation? And will you be making these 21 into apartments? Is that practical, given that it's a 22 hotel? I would assume that there are rooms with 23 washrooms attached. 24 MR. WILL SMITH: Yes, one of the parts of the

renovation, after completing the renovation, we will look

at 80 to 100 thousand units that will be there. When we talk about the job--

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: In the Sheraton?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILL SMITH: In the Sheraton, itself. And then when we talked about the creation of the jobs, we're looking at nurses, nurses aids, maintenance people, that creates some additional employment, even in the initial construction of the renovation construction. multitude of jobs of course that are created with that. And amenities on the lower level when we talk about some of the shops, the restaurants, those kind of things, there's job creations there. But in answer directly to your question, it will provide from 80 to 100 housing modern, state-of-the-art apartments. And what we found in our research was there were little things that might not have been important to us, that were important to the seniors living there. Such as cable TV. Most of the high rises that they presently live in in Gary, that's one of their major problems is that they aren't able to access things. Cable TV is important to them. kind of little things. And it was rather interesting to us to hear those things. So we hope to provide these kind of amenities to them. And really, I feel good about the potential and what it would serve of the City.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: In your research into the

needs of Gary, because that seemed to be a big part of this application, did you look into at all the deteriorating housing stock in general, not just for senior citizens but for regular people. All these people that are going to be employed. It seems to me when you talk about economic development, you can do a lot of superficial things and make the city look good for a while, but the building blocks are the neighborhoods. And the housing stock in Gary is deteriorating, and there is a lack of affordable housing for people who aren't real rich and are just starting out. Any ideas how you might be able to fit into that problem? MR. WILL SMITH: You know, I guess one of the

MR. WILL SMITH: You know, I guess one of the major problems that any of our developers will concur, is that you can only go so far in terms of assisting any city. So every one has a part to play. Whether your a new developer, a new business coming into the community. If the community itself also has to be a part of whatever your upgrading is all about.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I realize that's an overwhelming job.

MR. WILL SMITH: Yeah. And from our standpoint, just taking a segment of that, we looked at a rehabilitation program. And as we went through the Gary process, the City of Gary had a structure of projects

that they wanted us to look at--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Yeah, I have seen them.

MR. WILL SMITH: --to enhance, and the Broadway corridor from the east side of Broadway going back east was a target area. So we looked at that in terms of our end and the Foundation's responsibilities. And looked at maybe targeting starting with the downtown area east of Broadway and purchasing some of the abandoned buildings that are on our county tax delinquent rolls. And maybe taking some of those properties and purchasing them, and then looking at rehabbing them and putting them back on the tax rolls, but also increasing the construction jobs and maybe working harmoniously with our unions to create an apprenticeship training of the rehabbing of those homes. Which now we can now look at some steel people and the construction industry that doesn't have a chance right now.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Just as a point on top of of what Will was saying, in relation to the Casino Queen in East St. Louis, the influx of funds that we've created with over 700 local jobs has created another economic impact. The housing aspect is: People now have incomes, people have very good incomes. They're starting to work with those trade services. They're starting to rehab their own facilities. The housing in East St. Louis is

Development Foundation, similar process to what we have here, is in the process of loaning money to contractors to perform these kind of services. We are advancing money to contractors to fund them. We're not handing anything to anyone.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Not that I'm asking you to rebuild Gary.

MR. PATRICK KENNY: We're advancing the money They're doing projects; they're getting paid to them. for the projects. We're giving everybody a chance at the economic pie. I think the concept is to allow people to get their jobs, to perform new businesses, to augment the idea of the casino. The casinos at Buffington Harbor, what goes in downtown Gary is extremely important. But I think from our experience in East St. Louis we have the levers that help everyone go right up the line. from the people that are now living and working in Gary, the access to the Community Development Foundation, paid contractors to do rehabs, to do everything else. again, perform as Will said, renovation work that increases and improves housing throughout Gary. It's something that we've already seen. It's something that will happen here.

MR. VOWELS: What's the Kenny family's level of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ownership interest in the operation in East St. Louis? 1 2 MR. PATRICK KENNY: 20 percent. 3 MR. VOWELS: You were involved in River City in Vanderburgh County. 4 5 MR. PATRICK KENNY: Yes, we were. 6 MR. VOWELS: And my understanding is some of 7 those applicants have gone to Perry County; are you 8 involved in that? 9 MR. PATRICK KENNY: No. 10 MR. SUNDWICK: You ought to be commended. 11 listened to your presentation and what you're gonna do 12 with the City and I think it's a super presentation and 13 you did a nice job. And I think that's what the City 14 needs. So in that respect, I think you did a good job. 15 MR. PATRICK KENNY: I think it's important to 16 the City. I think Will's participation here is 17 important. I think we've got a program, we've got an 18 idea. And I think we've got a track record that says we 19 can do it. 20 MR. SUNDWICK: I'm glad you're local 21 participants and mostly local. 22 MR. PATRICK KENNY: Thank you. 23 MR. WILL SMITH: Thank you. Any other 24 questions? We do have Ed Krusa here who has great

extensive knowledge in regards to HUD and funding and

those kind of things, and we have him on board with us with the HUD.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Is a highrise building the best place for senior citizens to live?

MR. KRUSA: Absolutely. In fact, HUD recognized about four years ago and built into federal legislation that families do not belong in highrises. That's why you're seeing the substantial movement in the City of Chicago to place families currently living in highrises in single family homes. It's a critical point.

Just a little bit of background, I'm backing up to Mr. Vowel's question on economic -- the hotel economic equivalent.

MR. VOWELS: Of the construction of the hotel.

MR. KRUSA: The idea behind renovation of the Sheraton Hotel into a senior citizens, and it's probably incorrect to call it a senior citizens housing complex, because it would be a senior citizens board and care project. Which would also include independent housing units for senior citizens. So you would have a combination of board and care, meaning a facility that allows a senior citizen not to have to prepare his own meals on a daily basis, but still have some independence. And at the same time, if that individual is handicapped,

provide some assistance to that individual to get down to get his meals, and perhaps bathe that individual, those types of things. 14 million dollars is a substantial amount of money for a residential project that would probably create 100 to 125 new senior citizens' residences. In addition to that amount, it would probably be 100 to 150 new jobs. You would need these assistants for the seniors, you would need food preparation people. We talked about trying to include in the project a pharmacy retail services, so that senior would not have to leave the housing complex. Also, in looking at the downtown, my background as I have been involved in community and economic development in Northwest Indiana for the past ten years, I've worked with a number of groups in Gary. I've looked at trying to convert the Sheraton back into a hotel. The economic feasibility was not there. There is a substantial need for high-quality senior housing residence in the City of Gary. There is demand. There are market studies that show there is demand for those units. But I hope to clear the point on the economic equivalent of the hotel, the Sheraton either meets or exceeds it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: Do I kind of understand it, this is gonna be half a nursing home.

MR. KRUSA: No, it's board and care, sir. It

is not a nursing home. 1 2 MR. KLINEMAN: Conjugal housing. 3 MR. KRUSA: Board and care. The true definition of the housing unit is board and care. 4 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now, is this like subsidized 5 6 housing, or what kind of income level--7 The tenants in the project could be MR. KRUSA: 8 eliqible for HUD subsidies. Typically if they're 65 and 9 on Social Security, you can qualify for a HUD subsidy. It's not necessary, though. 10 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So it would just depend on 11 12 their individual circumstance. 13 MR. KRUSA: That's correct. 14 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: These weren't going to be 15 wealthy senior citizens, though, I take it. 16 MR. KRUSA: Typically the majority of senior 17 citizens that are in need of housing or board and care 18 services are 65 or older, handicapped, and on Social 19 Security. 20 MR. WILL SMITH: Thank you. 21 MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Smith, when you prepare your 22 list of the local people, would you give me the 23 representation that none of those people were chosen at 24 the suggestion of any political official?

MR. DONALD SMITH: Yes, I can confirm that.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anything else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUNDWICK: I guess a question. When you made your presentation to the City, does anybody have an opinion maybe why that you weren't selected?

(Laughter.)

MR. DONALD SMITH: Would you mind if we defer that question? What we intend to do, Mr. Sundwick--

MR. SUNDWICK: I'm not from Gary. I'm just asking a question. I'm not asking to shoot anybody.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Let me maybe give you, as we went through the negotiation process, and say we met a lot of fine people in the City of Gary prior to that. I remember that very well because I was dragged back from my Christmas vacation. But plain and simple, the situation was such, and I think I can say this in representing in a public forum that as we went through the presentations in Gary, our group was given the strongest consideration to basically come in and sign the deal, almost before anyone. And I want to clarify that by simply when we asked the question, when we started the meeting where we stood, they said the reason you're sitting here today is because we feel you are the The 12 requirements that they asked strongest selection. us to sign, we would not sign all of them. Some of them we thought were something that didn't make economic

sense. Some of them were some things that we just could not sign in the best interest of us as a private business enterprise.

MR. VOWELS: We found out yesterday that the two applicants yesterday haven't talked to either you or Trump. Have you, Lakeside, had any discussions with Trump?

MR. PHILIP KENNY: We have not had any conversations relative to that group. We did convey at the Gary hearings that because of our background we could work with almost anyone. And the fact that we have built relationships and worked with a number of companies, specifically Fluor-Daniels, which was brought in at that point in time as the construction company. We had a relationship with Mr. -- Fluor-Daniels. Mr. Hanlon worked for Fluor-Daniels, and we felt we had a very comfortable relationship with them.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you think that after having not being chosen by the City of Gary, do you feel that you would be able to work, build a working relationship?

MR. PHILIP KENNY: Unequivocally. We've worked here before. As I said, we have a number of employees that work for us. And I can't say enough about meeting some of the finer people in Gary, to be very honest with you. I have a high respect for what they're trying to

accomplish. And the one thing I can say is they are trying to do what's best for the City of Gary.

MR. SUNDWICK: I have one last question and it has to do with -- I don't want to beat this up too much, but somebody talked about the two million dollars to the community for a five-year period. And that was guaranteed. You know, you don't have to answer this today, but I'd like you to think about how that might be not guaranteed, but how might that be continued as a part of a contribution to the community. After the five years is done, besides saying, "Well, we've done our five-year stint here, we're out of here." And I'm not even looking for an answer right now. But I would appreciate if you would look at that.

MR. PHILIP KENNY: I appreciate that. We will take a look at it.

MR. SUNDWICK: I think it's the kind of thing the City needs. Besides that--

MR. KLINEMAN: And I want to tell you, Mr. Smith, I know where you can get somebody to wire that highrise for cable.

Mr. Thar, do you have anything?

MR. THAR: I've got a few questions.

With regard to the senior citizens home, Mr. Smith, we'll start with the basic proposition after it is

renovated, who will own it? 1 2 MR. WILL SMITH: It will still be operated by 3 the Foundation. 4 MR. THAR: What foundation? MR. WILL SMITH: Lakeside Community Economic 5 6 Development Foundation. 7 MR. THAR: Have we heard about that? the foundation that you are referring to? 8 9 MR. WILL SMITH: Yes, sir. MR. THAR: So it's gonna be -- who presently 10 owns the Sheraton? 11 12 MR. WILL SMITH: From my understanding, the City of Gary has ownership of that property. 13 MR. THAR: Have they agreed to sell it to you? 14 MR. WILL SMITH: Those are things that we will 15 16 enter hopefully if we acquire the license, we can go 17 forth with. Obviously, there are a lot of things we can 18 do. We feel comfortable about it. It's there. The City 19 owns it. And we hope that we can work that out with the 20 City. If, in fact, we can't, then we will look at some 21 other areas of the City in terms of developing this 22 needed housing. MR. THAR: We got the gist from the developers 23 who got the endorsement from Gary yesterday that Gary 24

would prefer to see that to be a City office annex. What

do you think would motivate them to sell that to you to make a senior citizens housing.

MR. WILL SMITH: Once again, I've been in the community a long time. I've worked on the Council. And I've worked for a lot of dollars to be brought into the City of Gary. I think that I could sit down with the Administration and we can, as a whole, and maybe perhaps work out those things that might be a problem today. They might not be a problem tomorrow.

MR. THAR: If I understand your earlier presentation, you said that after the money was invested in, then it would start to become self-sufficient in payment of rents and federal and state subsidies; did I hear you correctly?

MR. WILL SMITH: Yes, sir.

MR. THAR: How is that an economic advantage to the State if it's gonna require federal and state subsidies to be funded?

MR. WILL SMITH: Well, that -- when I run into a snag on things that I'm not familiar with, I refer. So Mr. Krusa could probably explain that to you.

MR. KRUSA: I think as I stated earlier, Mr.

Thar, if you're 65 years old, you're on Social Security,

you are entitled to a subsidy for housing. If you're

handicapped, and--

MR. THAR: I understand the federal sides of it. Well, let me focus the question directly to the state side of it. If I understood you correctly it was federal and state subsidies.

MR. KRUSA: Okay, the federal subsidies once again are the--

MR. THAR: I know the federal subsidies. I want you to tell me what the state subsidies are.

MR. KRUSA: I do not, you know, I do not at this point feel there will be any state subsidies for the housing project. There are some subsidies available for handicapped individuals and homeless individuals from the State. Perhaps that's -- that was what was referred to by a state subsidy. In terms of the impact to the State, we're looking at the income taxes generated from the employees at the complex.

MR. THAR: I'm familiar with those. I just wanted that one area.

With regard to the Foundation, how is that received by the City of Gary, the concept of the Foundation? Just your opinion. How is the concept of a foundation that's been suggested as part of your application received by the City of Gary?

MS. SCHELL: I was present at those presentations in Gary, and I think it was well received.

1 MR. THAR: Do I understand correctly your 2 economic development package is 10 million dollars from 3 the Foundation quaranteed over five years; is that 4 correct? 5 MS. SCHELL: Yes, sir. 6 MR. THAR: And that is not performance based as 7 a quarantee over the first five years? 8 MS. SCHELL: Yes, it is. 9 MR. THAR: That the three percent additional, that goes into the Foundation, that's performance based? 10 11 MS. SCHELL: No, the three percent goes 12 directly to the City. 13 MR. THAR: Goes to the City? 14 MS. SCHELL: Yes. 15 MR. THAR: And that's guaranteed; it will 16 always be three percent? 17 MS. SCHELL: Yes. 18 MR. THAR: The Sheraton 14 million dollars, is 19 that a guarantee or is that based on performance? 20 guaranteed investment that you're going to make 21 regardless of whether or not your performance achieves 22 your revenues expectation. 23 MR. MYERS: Yes. 24 MR. THAR: Is there any other aspect of your

economic development package, other than that and the

Sportopia.

MR. WILL SMITH: Nothing other than some of the things I outlined earlier about providing some loans to entrepreneurs.

MR. THAR: That's part of the Foundations-MR. WILL SMITH: Part of the Foundation.

MR. THAR: Thank you. I want to go back to one of the points brought up by Commissioner Hensley. That is when you ran your numbers through certain analyses, and one of the analyses was a break even performance, that is, taking certain things, what your performance will be in order to break even, with the amount of money that you wanted to invest in the project. And what was determined from that is that your most likely numbers are real close to a break even pro forma projection. Based upon what we did. What concerns me about that is not the conservative nature of it, but that it lacks room for economic development and creativity because you haven't projected any type of enthusiasm into the project.

So that as a background I say what is it about your project that when Chicago comes on line, which you have accounted for in your five-year projection, what makes your project one that's going to compete with Chicago, that will make me decide I want to come here to your gaming facility rather than to Chicago, assuming that I'm

in one of these suburban setups where I've got an equal distance of choice.

MR. HANLON: Well, I think that number one, we don't have a break even scenario.

MR. THAR: Well, I'm saying when we did the analysis, your most likely projections appeared to us to be very close to a break even scenario.

MR. HANLON: So is your question--

MR. KLINEMAN: Regardless of that, I think the question is very valid, you know. What is it that you're gonna do that will tell us something that people will come here rather than downtown Chicago or Joliet?

MR. HANLON: First of all, there's a number of things. One is as we said before, we think it has excellent access from all markets from the Chicago market, from the south, from the east.

MR. KLINEMAN: He's talking about what is it, being -- you know, you just kind of have volume that says as the competition comes on, we just go down. You don't get the feel that you're gonna be fighting there.

MR. HANLON: We think the project is a very attractive project architecturally. We think it's gonna be run the right way. I think as we mentioned, we have experienced marketing in this geographic area which just hasn't shown up. We have extensive experience marketing

in casino projects. The Gary project will be first. As I said before, that's a significant advantage. I think that all of a whole package when you said it sort of lacked enthusiasm, we're quite enthusiastic or we wouldn't be here.

MR. THAR: Not your group, your group is very impressive. Your numbers seem lacking. We're talking about numbers here. Your numbers -- you're trying to compare realistic with your numbers. We understand that. The result of your projections are conservative. But in your projections you're saying, we're going to get this competition, as a result we're going to lose ground. That's what your numbers say. And I'm saying, what is it about your project that's going to stop you from losing ground?

MR. HANLON: Let's say that the projects are all -- the projects will survive. They're not gonna be significantly different no matter who does it. What I'm saying on our numbers are that this is a basic supply/demand relationship in the world and we've taken that into consideration. We think, yes, there's a certain amount of demand in the greater Chicago area and Northwest Indiana market. And supply is increasing. What we have done is reflect that, on I think a very, very conservative basis. And on our overall numbers, I

don't think that we're conservative at all. I think on the impact from Chicago we have been very conservative. The success of these projects has to do first of all, what the project is. We think what we see right here with Sportopia, with the tower, it's going to be a very exciting project. We know how to market in this area; we know how to market casino projects. Sportopia on top of that, we think that is not just special, that's a unique marketing tool. So we don't think that that can be just sort of put aside. We've done a lot of research into that. And we could have said we're gonna have an Omnimax, or a whole bunch of things that if you look in Las Vegas -- Las Vegas is family entertainment. look quite closely at that, you'll find out a whole bunch of stuff has gotten a great deal of PR for Las Vegas. But it isn't really sustainable. We think this is a very attractive, sustainable marketing project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. THAR: Let me get to the essence of where my question is going. Your numbers are conservative and as a result your economic development package may not be as expansive as another applicant that projected the numbers higher than you do. Since you also have a strong belief you're going to exceed your most likely scenario, what type of assurances can you give this commission that if you are selected, the economic development package

would be sweetened, in a correlation that equals your exceeding your projected revenues?

MR. HANLON: Well, I mean, part of that question, you asked before about the continuation, and I think we can demonstrate that there will be something there. The three percent for instance, as you said, a function of performance. If we do better--

MR. THAR: I understand that. But if you're presenting better numbers on this same format, you might say, you know, we could afford to pay four percent. I'm just wondering if you've thought about it, and if you have, what would be your thought?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: The three percent issue is there. The success of the operation for Lakeside Resorts is extremely important. I don't think we want to get into a situation you can answer off the top of our heads. If we can get back to you on that it would probably be a little bit easier.

MR. THAR: Let's assume for a moment we're willing to wait to see how you perform, and your performance exceeds your most likely numbers. Maybe the pot should have been sweeter. And we did it in hindsight rather than foresight. Would that be a comfortable scenario for you?

MR. PATRICK KENNY: Legislatively it would

1 probably happen. I mean, that's the reality that we're 2 facing in the Illinois markets. As people feel that the revenues are there, the probability of changing the tax 3 4 structure is a legislative question. You certainly can 5 do that to us any day. We have that risk in our 6 business. You can change the tax structure of the entire 7 process. 8 I'm not looking for tax structure, MR. THAR: 9 I'm looking for voluntary. 10 MR. MYERS: If what you're asking is, if this venture is immensely successful beyond what we're 11 12 projecting, would we be willing to tie something to that in the way of additional economic benefit? 13 14 MR. THAR: Yes. 15 MR. MYERS: The answer is yes. 16 MR. THAR: Thank you. A lot of my questions 17 are just yes or no. 18 (Laughter.) 19 I want to go to the boat. MR. THAR: 20

MR. THAR: I want to go to the boat. If I understand Mr. Hanlon, if I understood you correctly, both presently proposed in the application you've now indicated you have the potential to get another boat under option.

MR. HANLON: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. THAR: One of the pluses we saw as the boat

was described in the application as it was amended today, it had a large amount of gaming space, it provided a lot of space for gaming position. In addition, it appeared to be in a position to expand the gaming space to accommodate a market beyond what you're presently projecting. Would your second boat that you say you are now considering putting under option, offer you that same option?

MR. HANLON: The second boat is physically a smaller boat, but it is a newer boat designed specifically for a casino operation. It is therefore more efficient physical plan in which to work. So it would provide the ability to expand beyond that. But it is not physically as big a boat as the one we have in our projection now.

MR. THAR: Approximately how many gaming positions, if the second boat -- let's call it a second boat, the one that is not in the application. How many gaming position would that boat have?

MR. MYERS: The only purpose of the second boat was timing. And this second boat was optioned very recently. It was strictly a timing thing. This thing really got brutal on how quickly this thing, and how to get it over here. But our first choice is still the Alaskan Grand Isle. That is the boat that is depicted in

1 the application. We have a backup is all we've got. 2 1200 gaming positions. 3 MR. THAR: That boat seems to provide a lot of 4 flexibility to adjust to the market which is indicated. 5 And if you're saying you're maybe not gonna go with that 6 boat, that is something the Commission will want to know. 7 MR. MYERS: Alaskan Grand Isle now is our boat. 8 We have a backup boat. 9 MR. THAR: So the Jack Thar is not gonna 10 happen. 11 It was the first boat that was the MR. HANLON: 12 Jack Thar. If we do the second one, then we have to name 13 it something else. 14 MR. THAR: If I understand you correctly 95.2 15 million dollars is your top line; correct? 16 MR. HANLON: Correct. 17 MR. THAR: As we understood this, and correct 18 me, the U.S. Gaming and the Kenny family had committed to 19 LSD, which may require additional capital costs. My understanding, if land acquisition costs are higher, that 20 21 there is a certain reluctance to make that capital call 22 to U.S. Gaming and the Kenny family. 23 MR. MYERS: We've already made our first 24 capital call. It's been made.

MR. THAR: What about additional capital as

necessary?

MR. MYERS: 20 million is what we both agreed to put in.

MR. THAR: What I'm asking you now is your LSD agreement says that additional capital will be requested, if I understand you correctly your 95.2 million dollars budget is the ceiling.

MR. MYERS: Beyond 20 million, I would be sitting down with the Kennys and saying what's this next five million for.

MR. THAR: And my understanding is there would be a certain reluctance at this point for either U.S. Gaming or for the Kennys to make any additional capital contribution beyond that which is already committed to them in certain lines items in the budget of 95.2 million dollar capital.

MR. MYERS: No, I think that's incorrect. The correct answer is depending on what the reasons are for the additional monies. If they're legitimate business reasons, if we opened in the winter and attendance was down and we knew it was a temporary thing, we're not gonna walk away from a 95 million dollar investment for another five million dollars. It just depends on the reason. On the other hand, if there's a legitimate reason, we've just been wrong, a hundred million dollars

worth of wrong, and five or 10 million additional or 15 1 2 or 20 isn't gonna fix it. We're not gonna put good money in after bad. We're smart businessmen. 3 Finally, how do you feel about MR. THAR: 5 flexibility of your project, and integrating with another 6 applicant. 7 MR. MYERS: We've listened to the other 8 proposals and we're familiar with what they're proposing and projecting and planning here. And I think it's just 9 a logistical thing. You sit down and work it out. 10 11 That's all I have. Thank you very MR. THAR: 12 much. 13 I just have one more question MS. BOCHNOWSKI: and you'll like it because it's real softball. 14 this pamphlet that you gave us you said that you had a 15 16 day care center listed. Is this for employees? Is it 17 there for people who are gambling? MR. DONALD SMITH: It's for employees. 18 19 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So that they will have day 20 care. Is that on site, or where is that? 21 MR. DONALD SMITH: Yes, ma'am. 22 MR. MYERS: On site. And the last thing, I 23 just didn't want to leave you with the impression that 24 our financing isn't in very good shape. Our investment

bankers are here. They can stand up and tell you the

level of confidence they've got in this project. much work has gone into this thing. We're well on the way with financing, and it's very conservative or within their parameters to get it done. Thank you. That's all. We have a decision MR. KLINEMAN: to make. Our normal schedule is an hour for lunch. are now exactly one hour behind our schedule. Do you want to cut lunch short a little bit. We will adjourn until 1:15. Thank you very much. (A lunch break was taken.)

1	STATE OF INDIANA)
2) SS: COUNTY OF LAKE)
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, KAREN M. PRICE, a duly qualified stenotype
6	reporter and duly authorized to administer said oath, do
7	hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were had
8	before me, on Thursday, September 1, 1994, at the Genesis
9	Center in Gary, Indiana.
10	I further certify that I then and there reported
11	in machine shorthand the proceedings so given at said
12	time and place, reduced the same to typewriting from my
13	original shorthand notes, and that the foregoing is a
14	true, correct, and complete transcript of said
15	proceedings.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereby affix my name and
17	seal this 12th day of September, 1994.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	SEAL Haun Milleu
23	KAREN M. PRICE, RPR, CSR No. 93-R-1000 Notary Public
24	Motary Fubric

My commission expires March 4, 1998.

1 September 1, 1994 Indiana Gaming Commission 2 Public Meeting (Continued) 3 4 5 (The presentation by Trump Hotels & 6 Casinos Resorts, Inc., was preceded by the 7 presentation by Lakeside Resorts, LLC, which was 8 not transcribed.) 9 MR. KLINEMAN: We're now ready for the 10 presentation of the Trump Hotels & Casinos 11 Resorts. 12 MR. TABBERT: Good afternoon, Mr. 13 Chairman, members of the Indiana Gaming 14 Commission, Mr. Thar and his staff. My name is 15 Don Tabbert. I am an attorney from Indianapolis, 16 Indiana. I'm representing the Trump organization 17 in this matter. 18 I would also like to introduce to you at 19 this time my partner who is working with me on 20 that, Mr. Greq Hahn. I also want to introduce 21 Mr. Willie Harris who is our local counsel here 22 in Gary. Mr. Harris is the chairman of the 23 Indiana Lottery Commission and is counsel for the 24 Gary City Council.

This is not only an extremely important

- time, but for me it's somewhat of an
 inspiration. Although I'm an attorney now in
 Indianapolis half of my family was born in Gary,
 in Gary and Hobart, Indiana. For over 80 or 90
- 5 years my family has lived in Lake County.

Some of my relatives were superintendents of schools here, were teachers in the Gary area. I've considered it my second home. I've been up here many, many times in the last several years. I'm extremely fond of Gary, and I've watched the progress that has gone on here in Gary and the need for that progress.

So, therefore, on behalf of Trump Hotels & Casinos Resorts I want to thank each and every one of you, Mr. Thar, Mr. Hannon, the members of the staff, for all that you have done to bring us to this point where we are now. Because of your efforts the state of Indiana, and more particularly the people of Gary, will be able to benefit from the efforts that are going on at this time.

The end result of your work in these hearings is the chance for economic growth in Gary and Lake County, and when you strip away everything else that's the basic issue that

stands at this time, and it benefits not only
Lake County citizens but Hoosiers all over the

state.

We're allotted, as you probably know, one hour and 15 minutes for our presentation. We are going to have for you just a few slides.

There will be no diagrams. There will be no clips. There will just simply be the basic facts to be presented to all of you.

We're going to deal with the issues specifically, put the facts in front of you very quickly and specifically so that we can get right to the point. So I'm proud to come before you as a representative of this particular client, and the reason is boiled to down to its simplest terms Donald Trump and his organization can give to Gary precisely what is needed. And that does serve as a point of inspiration for me.

You'll soon be hearing from the members of the executive staff who will bring you the extremely impressive plans that, in fact, have been presented to benefit Gary, Lake County, and Indiana. You've been told by each applicant of their promises for economic benefit, the creation of jobs and so forth. Put a boat in Lake

Michigan, and everything will be fine.

We will present to you our plans today that will turn the promises into reality. Lake County, and Gary in particular, have spearheaded the opportunity to correct the problems that Gary has had with economic developments, and Gary's time has come at last.

The realities of riverboat gaming are these: The boat is actually only a small part of the entire project, and the casino on the boat is even a smaller part of the entire project. As we examine together with you what the statute requires, what each person is asked to look at and decide, the focus has got to be on development.

That is the magic word, what can be done with regard to development for this area, and there can be no substitute for that, the development of real estate in direct relationship with the vessel, the development of actual jobs, the development of an entertainment complex, hotels, restaurants, attractions, retail stores.

Donald Trump's name is synonymous with development. He has established a reputation on a scale that is unprecedented throughout the

world, not just in this country, and his
development has a long-term impact wherever he
has been. You don't have to wonder if the
results will be there. With Trump they are.

He's experienced extraordinary success in Atlantic City. I know not whether any of you have been there, but I do know having been there for the first time that the comparison to Gary is remarkable. And he's gone into Atlantic City and with extraordinary success has done a phenomenal job in Atlantic City.

The similarities between Atlantic City and Gary is dramatic. Both the Atlantic City citizens and Donald Trump have benefited, as it should be, and so ask yourselves as this presentation unfolds a question. Ask yourself the question Can Trump deliver. No promises, can it be done.

He has worldwide recognition as a developer. He has incomparable experience. He has superior marketing and advertising abilities. He has the manpower and the resources.

But in dealing with one issue that must not be subordinate he will be able to deal with

Chicago, and if we are looking at the realities of the situation, if those five boats become competitive there must be a licensee here who can stand firm against the Chicago situation, and he can.

Of equal importance in this selection process is the character and reputation of the ultimate licensee not by words only. With the new Trump Marina Resort we have an organization and a leader who is experienced and whose honesty is unquestionable. We're prepared to answer any and all questions that you ask us on that particular subject.

Donald Trump and his associates are proud to be recipients of game licenses in New Jersey and Mississippi. They've been subjected to an in-depth and very thorough investigation to assure that they're worthy of holding those licenses in those two states, and they've been through it.

Mr. Trump has consistently bought, developed, and managed his gaming properties in strict compliance with both state and federal laws. Now, the proposal that has been submitted has been specifically designed to meet the

1 legislative directive articulated by our
2 representatives in Indianapolis when this act was

passed.

When I read it the first time I was
surprised. As you probably know by now, the word
gaming isn't even in it. Quote, To benefit the
people of Indiana by promoting tourism and
assisting economic development, close quote.
That's the mandate for any applicant. It is the

mandate for this Commission.

With Trump you will have a proven

performer, someone who can rise to this goal. He

has the resources and the manpower and the vision

and the experience to make the promises a

reality. Let's let Gary and Indiana share in the

prosperity that the Trump name can, in fact,

bring. The Trump organization are powerful

performers. They are proven winners. That's

true.

Now, I am pleased to introduce to you the members of the Trump organization who will make the actual presentation, and if you would stand so that you can recognize them, and we also will introduce one person who will be available to answer questions.

First of all, Mr. Donald J. Trump, president of the Trump organization; secondly, Mr. Nicholas Ribis, chief executive officer of the Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts; next, Patrick Dennehy, executive vice president of operations, Trump's Castle Casino Resort; fourth, Joe Polisano, executive director hotel operations, Trump's Castle Casino Resort. You will hear from others including Tom O'Connor, Sykes, O'Connor, Salerno & Hazevah.

O'Connor, Sykes, O'Connor, Salerno & Hazevah.

But the four people I introduced will be the four people who will make the presentation to you, and then afterwards we look forward to your questions. It's my privilege and pleasure to introduce to you our first speaker, Mr. Donald J. Trump.

MR. TRUMP: Thank you very much. You can see that I pay this guy. He said such nice things about me. This has been probably the best year or year and a half that we've ever had in business at the Trump organization. In 1990-91 New York City went through a huge real estate recession, as did this area and every other area probably in the United States, but we've come out, and I think we probably are stronger than we

ever were before.

On a consolidated basis I think we have in excess of \$120 million in cash. We've done developments that are really the envy of the world. The Riverside South, we've been hearing about that. That's the current name, but we're probably going to be making some changes in that, and it's been a wonderfully great development.

It's the largest zone change in the history of New York, and we just got a very large group from China, and we think in excess of \$2.5 billion will be spent on that development, and we're just so happy as to what's happened over the last period of time.

I think we're going to be seeing some slides, but even before I go into the slides two things are very important to me and that's relative to Atlantic City which really most pertains to this, although I hope that maybe the development experience also does.

Number one is that for the last four years all three of my properties, the Castle, the Plaza, and the Taj Mahal, were elected and selected after tremendous research by the Mobile Travel Guide, which is sort of the bible of the

industry in terms of quality hotel and
restaurants, as four stars.

They're the only hotels in Atlantic City that are four stars. There are I believe five or six in the entire country. We have three of them, and I'm very proud of that.

The other thing is that the Taj Mahal is far and away number one in every category, in slots, in tables, in total wins. We had a gross operating profit at the Taj Mahal this year of almost \$130 million.

The Trump Castle is number one for the last 12 months. It's been the number one percentage increase in all of Atlantic City so we have the number one hotel in Atlantic City, and we have the number one percentage increase in Atlantic City.

And the Trump Plaza is also doing tremendously so we just we're very proud of that effort. But beyond the numbers, to have been selected by the Mobile Guide as the only three four star hotels three years running in Atlantic City is something that's really a great honor to us.

We have some slides of various buildings

- 1 | that we developed on or whatever. This is Trump
- 2 Tower. I'd say this is probably our flagship.
- 3 | It's a 68 story tower with condominiums on the
- 4 | top 40 stories, shopping and office space on the
- 5 lower number of floors.
- 6 It's become a landmark in New York, and
- 7 | it's on a square foot basis far and away the
- 8 | highest priced building in the city of New York
- 9 when somebody sells an apartment. There's Steven
- 10 | Spielberg, Andrew Lloyd Weber living there.
- 11 You probably heard recently Michael
- 12 | Jackson is now living at Trump Tower with Lisa
- 13 Marie, and that's my number one question that
- 14 everybody seems to be asking, how are they doing,
- 15 | and I really don't know to be honest with you.
- 16 But it's just an amazing building right next to
- 17 | Tiffany's, and I'm very proud that we built that
- 18 | building, and it's been a success since the day
- 19 | it was built.
- Okay. The next most of you recognize as
- 21 | the Plaza Hotel, another building that we're
- 22 extremely proud of. We took over the Plaza. It
- 23 | wasn't even rated, and now it's a four star hotel
- 24 like the casinos which is, you know,
- 25 extraordinary really, and we've taken it from a

gross operating profit of very, very little to one of the most successful hotels in New York.

The Rock Report, which is also another very important hotel indicator, just voted it the best hotel in the United States, and Business Traveler magazine just voted it the best business hotel in the United States.

This hotel when we bought it, I mean, it was magnificent. It was one of the most important buildings in the United States, but it was not a particularly well run hotel. Now it's considered probably the best in the United States, and we're very proud of that.

This is a new building that we've just completed, and in a bad market it's virtually sold out. It's called Trump Palace. It's located on East 69th Street in New York. It's a very luxurious building. Many, many very important people live there.

It's a tremendous success, and I think the reason I'm most proud of Trump Palace is that in a very bad market it's a 55 story building which very much dominates the eastside because there's nothing close to it in height, but I'm very proud of it because it's a very bad market.

We've done very well with it, and it's completed. Probably within the next two weeks we'll announce it's been totally sold out. It's got over 300 luxury, very luxury, units. In fact those top ten floors are one apartment to a floor so you can imagine, and it probably has the best views, considered now the best views and the largest windows in the city of New York for an apartment house.

This is Trump Plaza New York, another very successful development built seven years ago, completed seven years ago, on East 61st Street right next to Bloomingdale's. That's shopping on the lower floor as you see the structure.

Totally sold out, tremendous success, and, again, this is cooperative apartment housing as opposed to a condominium, and, again, I think architecturally it's won so many awards, and we are very proud of that.

Trump Park is a former hotel, and I look at your former hotel, the Sheraton right opposite of City Hall. So many things could be done to it. Trump Park was a very unsuccessful hotel called the Barkerson Hotel, and it stood on a

fabulous corner for many, many years.

2.3

It was built in 1906, but it was never considered a hot hotel or a successful hotel, and I took Trump Park, and I changed the name obviously. We gutted out the entire building, made super luxury condominiums, and we sold over \$300 million worth of apartments in Trump Park, and it became a tremendous success.

And today it's totally sold out. There are no apartments available, and it's something I'm very proud of because we really took a hotel that was nondescript, not very pretty. If you notice the big windows we have in there, if you would have seen that as a hotel, because for landmarking purposes we use the interior of the building, but the big windows that we have right now were cut into the sides of the building.

They had very, very tiny windows, and part of the beauty of this building is that view of Central Park. So this has been a building that's been a tremendous success, and, as I said, we sold over \$300 million worth of apartments, and it's totally sold out.

That's the Grand Hyatt Hotel on 42nd Street and Lexington Avenue and Park Avenue, a

1 hotel that I did. It was my first major project

2 | in Manhattan other than the convention center

3 where I was responsible for getting the

4 | convention center built in Manhattan, and this

5 | was the first building that I actually owned in

6 | Manhattan.

2.0

It was the old Commodore Hotel. We converted it to a super luxury Hyatt Hotel, and we call it the Grand Hyatt because it's located right next to Grand Central Terminal, and it's been a tremendous success. It will continue to be a tremendous success.

We worked very much with the city and the state on this development because we renovated Grand Central Terminal as part of the development. I opened this hotel in 1980, 1979, and it's had high occupancy, and it's something I'm very proud of.

This is a small building that most people recognize as the Empire State Building, and actually about two months ago we were able to purchase a 50 percent interest in the Empire State Building, and we now own 50 percent of the Empire State Building with five Asian investors, and it will be an interesting story.

It's a great building obviously, and it's probably the all time landmark in New York, and between that and the Plaza Hotel we have probably the two greatest landmarks, and we've worked on the other one which is Grand Central Terminal which is right next to the Grand Hyatt and renovated Grand Central Terminal.

We did the entire exterior renovation of Grand Central Terminal so the three greatest landmarks in New York are those three buildings, and we've had a big part in each one of them.

This is the job that's the grand-daddy of them all. This is called Riverside South.

This is a development of close to ten million square feet including the parking. It will be the largest private park, privately developed park, in the history of Manhattan.

It's the largest zone change ever given in New York, and that's pretty good when you consider Rockefeller Center and some of the other jobs. This is on close to 180 acres of land in midtown Manhattan, great location, 72nd Street and 59th Street all along the Hudson River.

It's the only piece of fee simple real estate, meaning owned real estate as opposed to

leased from the city or state, that butts with the Hudson River in relative proximity to this area of Manhattan. Lincoln Center of the Performing Arts is right behind it.

You know, it's considered one of the greatest pieces of land. In fact, I think the New York Times said it was the single greatest piece of land in urban America, and I believe that's correct. We will build 5,700 units of housing. We have millions of feet of commercial space and lots of parks, lots of open space, lots of access to the river.

Right now it's a closed railroad yard that's located in this incredible section.

People say how can this have been possible because you have all these luxury buildings surrounding a railroad yard, but it just stopped. But it was a railroad yard, and I was able to acquire it.

The zoning took years because of the complexity of zoning in New York. We now have entered into an agreement with the largest companies in Hong Kong, China, and we're partners now on the development of this particular site, and hundreds of millions and billions of dollars

are going to be invested in this development from overseas, and it's something that's going to get built.

I might add that we'll be starting very shortly. Actually we'll be starting over the next eight or nine months. This was a site that for the last 50 years the top developers in the world tried to develop, and there was always a reason they couldn't do it. They couldn't get the zoning, they couldn't get this, they couldn't get that.

We were able to put together a coalition of community groups, lots of different members of the community, including Jacqueline Onasis at the time, the Municipal Arts Society, the Parks Council, the Westside Council. Every major civic organization in New York was on my board which was an advisory board, and together we hammered out a deal, and we got it built.

Many of those buildings are over 50 stories tall, and I think when it is completed like Trump Tower I think it will be a landmark in New York. And basically you see the rest. I believe we're considered the preemminent developers.

I think the two things that weren't up there and one of the things I'm most proud of is the General Electric -- and I think you folks all know their incredible record. But General Electric Pension Fund just chose us to be their partner on the development of what's called the Gulf and Western Building in New York.

The Gulf and Western Building, which is now the Paramount Building, Paramount Pictures, sits right on Central Park right on the corner by Central Park West and Central Park South. And General Electric owns that building, and in about 12 months Paramount Pictures, their lease is not renewed.

We are going to rip the building down to the steel and redevelop it as Trump International Hotel and Tower, General Electric again being a partner. Every developer in the United States wanted to be chosen for that particular job.

General Electric did over a year's worth of research, and at the end of their research they determined that Trump would be a very nice partner, and we were very honored by that one.

And I think there's a little bit of free research involved in that. They spent a huge amount of

time, effort, and money in developing that site and who should be the developing partner, and we were chosen.

So I'm very honored by that, and I will be here as long as you folks would like me to be here. We're very, very excited about Gary. We think there's a great opportunity. We think there's going to be a lot of competition from Chicago. We think there's going to be a lot of competition from other areas.

As I said, the Taj Mahal is so far number one in Atlantic City that number two is not even recognizable, but we believe if properly done, only if properly done, you will have something here that will be able to survive all of the future challenges that will occur.

Unfortunately or fortunately they will occur, and this boat will not only survive but it will flourish despite the competition so we hope we are successful. Thank you very much. I'd like to introduce the president of my company and chief executive officer, Nick Ribis.

MR. RIBIS: Thank you. It's difficult not seeing everybody, but I'm sure I'll see you during the questioning period. There was a slide

just prior to this slide, and I just wanted to note that Mr. Trump has spent some time here talking about development.

1.3

And when you talk about development and the ability to develop and stay with projects, complete projects successfully, I think you've seen the record. We don't talk about making promises that he will be a developer and he's going to show you how to develop. He has done it.

He's done it in environments such as New York and Atlantic City. He also has done it with community service, working with communities, community groups as he explained. Furthermore, one thing Donald didn't discuss was the Wolman Rink which Donald did gratis to the city, invested his own money.

The city of New York for ten years tried to develop the Wolman Rink in Central Park. It is the largest outdoor rink in New York, and \$30 million later still did not have a park, still did not have a completed ice rink in New York.

Mr. Trump took this project over, did it with his own money, and completed it in seven months,

Donald?

MR. TRUMP: Yes.

MR. RIBIS: Seven months, and I invite you all -- it's a shame we don't have a slide to supply you of that, but I wanted to supply you with that information. So when it comes to development and involvement in communities certainly the Trump organization has that record, and Mr. Trump personally has that record.

With respect to Atlantic City we have three casinos and hotels. We've invested in Atlantic City hundreds of millions of dollars outside of our development. I am a member of the Casino Redevelopment Authority which is the authority which invests and reinvests in Atlantic City, South Jersey, the casino funds which were contributed to it.

I can assure you that as a company we have been committed over the past ten years in Atlantic City. We commit that to Gary, Indiana, or anyplace we invest. We do not develop projects that are movable. We develop projects that are here for the long-term, that have significance to the community.

We are not in the riverboat business. We're in the development business, and we're in

the hotel business, and by doing that we combine expertise which will provide the development that Gary needs.

There's been a history recently in many communities that have had riverboat gambling of having movable boats. They move the boat in, the economy gets bad, you take the money, and you take it away. Well, that's not what we do.

We're not interested in doing that, and we're here in Gary because we feel we can have a significant impact on the community.

We selected Gary. There were many places we could have gone throughout the country. Donald selected Gary, decided it was someplace that the Trump organization and the Trump Hotel would participate in.

I just give you that as an introduction as to the type of things that Mr. Trump has done and our organization has done. I just want to note that I don't need to explain to you that we believe we're the foremost casino hotel developer in the country.

Not only do we have three hotels in Atlantic City, the Taj Mahal, the Castle, and the Plaza, we also are the owners of the Plaza Hotel

and the joint venture owner of the Hyatt Hotel in New York, and we are developing other projects throughout the country that you have read about.

And when it comes to this business I believe that we can call ourselves the premier developer, again, not of river boats but of casino hotel developments and involvement in the community.

As Mr. Trump has noted, all our hotels in Atlantic City are four star. One of our hotels is four star, four diamond. The Plaza Hotel in New York is a four star. These awards are not just given, and the reason why our properties have them is because they are run just a little bit better than other properties.

And we invite you to read the criteria, but the criteria's very specific. I'm sure your staff has supplied you that, and it's because they have to be better run, cleaner, the service has to be better, and that's what we provide our customers that come into our facilities.

You all know that Mr. Trump is second to none when it comes to providing the public with super star entertainment and artists in Atlantic City. Every entertainer you can think of has

performed in the Marques Arena in the Taj Mahal, the convention center arena which is adjacent to Trump Plaza, and those are the type of things that we would supply a community like Gary. In addition to the casino we will have other amenities which will draw people on a long-term basis into this community.

Now, I'm just going to review some facts that you probably have seen in our presentation here today. This is the Trump Hotel & Casino Resorts. We have existing almost 5,000 hotel rooms. We employ almost 15,000 people. We have over \$500 million spent on an annual basis on goods and services, over almost \$2 billion in investment in Atlantic City in our facilities.

This slide further illustrates the success of our properties. Last year we almost had a record earnings of a quarter of a billion dollars in gross operating profits which no casino/hotel operator in this country has ever achieved. We budget for 1994, and we expect to exceed that and be in the area of \$265 million.

We had a table and slot win of almost a billion dollars last year. Our entities represent almost 30 percent of the marketplace

- 1 | with respect to our activities in Atlantic City.
- 2 This slide is of Trump's Castle. This is a four
- 3 | star hotel.
- What you are looking at is the marina.
- 5 We have the slide first because we wanted to
- 6 | illustrate to the Commission our expertise in not
- 7 only casino hotels but in ancillary
- 8 developments. The marina you're looking at was
- 9 | an old state marina which was dilapidated.
- 10 It was taken over by Mr. Trump in the
- 11 | mid to late '80s, totally redeveloped into the
- 12 | largest marina on the east coast presently, and
- 13 | you can just see the type of boats that come to
- 14 this marina. It's open all year-round. When it
- 15 | gets cold the permanent vessels are still there.
- 16 Again, just going through some
- 17 | information as to the Castle, of course, it's got
- 18 \mid 650 rooms. It had almost \$250 million in table
- 19 and slot wins. It has had the largest
- 20 | year-to-date increase of almost \$10 million in
- 21 our operating profit. That would be more in the
- 22 | category of \$15 million. We always try and
- 23 | improve each year in our operating results.
- This is a slide of the Taj Mahal, the
- 25 largest casino/hotel in the country. (End of

tape) -- and it's average daily win is in excess of \$1.1 million, and it's 37 percent higher than our nearest competitor. I think that tells you something about how we operate our facilities.

Next is a development that, although this is a slide, the reason why we have this particular slide and the next slide is not only do we develop facilities, we continue to redevelop the neighborhood.

On the right-hand side of the slide next to the main building, Trump Plaza which has 500 rooms, we have taken an old closed Holiday Inn which was there for over 12 years which had behind it a half built facility which is now being taken down.

We have commenced and are almost completed with the redevelopment of this building, 371 rooms, to have one combined facility of almost 900 rooms. But on the base of this facility Mr. Trump has been able to enter into a lease with Warner Studio Stores, and you're probably all familiar with the Warner Company.

The Warner Studio Store is the largest single floor Warner Store in the country. The

New York store's bigger in square footage. This store opened earlier this summer and has been a tremendous success, and what it has done is cleaned up this facility and cleaned up the boardwalk in this area and has become a funnel for visitors to come to Atlantic City.

Trump Plaza, again, was our first facility. It was developed by Mr. Trump for the last 12 months. It had \$260 million in table and casino wins. I talked to you about the new hotel tower we're now completing. We're adding additional gaming space, and we have a dramatic new entrance to the facility.

At the base of the Atlantic City
expressway it comes into our property. I just
want to stop here for a second, and we are
equally involved in what we call the corridor
project in Atlantic City. In fact, that's one of
the projects I've been involved in as the
chairman of the Casino/Hotel Association in
Atlantic City and as a member of the Casino
Redevelopment Authority.

It will redevelop the entire corridor in Atlantic City. That corridor will come into the base of the Trump Plaza and also Caesar's and

Bally's, and there will be spent approximately \$120 million on the corridor, new roadway, and entertainment facilities. So, again, we continue to be a leader in redevelopment in these areas.

Now, in talking about the financing for the marina project the total capital required is approximately \$150 million. We will have a capital contribution of approximately \$30 million of our own funds, and, as Mr. Trump has explained, we currently have on a consolidated basis approximately \$120 million in cash in our casino/hotel facilities and in our parent company which will be available to us for this project.

We intended that when we submitted this to the Commission to have about \$122 million in a reverse mortgage bond. It will be someplace in that area. As you know, the markets have changed a bit. Bankers Trust, the largest bank in the country, is our advisor, and it has submitted a letter to the Commission. We estimate our municipal investment at approximately \$21 million.

Next Mr. Polisano -- he is the vice president of operations at Trump's Castle -- will address the Commission with respect to the

- 1 project specifically. Thank you, and, of course,
- 2 I'm available for any questions you may have
- 3 | later. Thank you very much.
- 4 MR. POLISANO: Good afternoon, ladies
- 5 | and gentlemen. I'd like to direct your attention
- 6 to the screen. I'll run you through the
- 7 development plan we've put together for this
- 8 | project. What you are looking at there is an
- 9 overall rendering of our finished product. It
- 10 | shows our hotel.
- I think one of the reasons that we feel
- 12 | Buffington will work to our advantage is because
- 13 it is in the Indiana and Chicago metro area.
- 14 It's also accessible by Interstates 90 as well as
- 15 | 80/94, Cline Avenue. It's serviced by the Gary
- 16 regional airport as well as Conrail and Amtrak
- 17 | rail service lines. It falls within the city
- 18 | limits of the City of Gary.
- I want to redirect your attention, if I
- 20 | may, to this screen right over here. I'm going
- 21 to use this little red pointer. This is the
- 22 existing Buffington Harbor as you know it. It's
- approximately 150 acres. It's segmented by
- 24 Lehigh Cement as you can see right there.
- There are some rail lines that we can't

see on this screen below that, and there are also some NIPSCO power lines that run parallel to the rail line in this direction.

Now, I'm going to ask you all to please go back this way. What you are looking at is our temporary site plan. Right along here on the left side of the screen would be Cline Avenue. You can access off of Cline Avenue on to Columbus Avenue to the existing roadway, and in the temporary phase of our operation we're intending to leave the roadway pretty much in the condition that it is in.

We would add extensive landscaping. The traffic flow would be through the existing roadway. We would create a little cul de sac under the existing underpass for a temporary building. For the initial phase of the project the existing underpass will require some cosmetic repairs minimally. The existing concrete is falling. It would need to be repaved.

We are under the understanding that there are submersible pumps there right now. Should those pumps stop that underpass would be filled within an hour with 12 inches or more of water so we think we have to do something about

that.

Again, we'd create a lot of temporary and solve some temporary landscaping to beautify the area. Customer self parking for about 2,500 cars would be in this area right here. We're also proposing motor coach parking right here. The reason our motor coach parking is outside of the complex is we're so concerned with the eleven foot six inch clearance of the underpass.

We would, of course, provide convenient shuttle services for all our guests whether it be in self park or in the bus area. Again, you can follow the roads where our temporary pavilions are shown. We also would provide handicapped parking as well as valet parking right to the door of the temporary pavilions.

Now, although I'm referring to these buildings as temporary -- this, again, is a rendering of our temporary buildings. We've discussed the project with a number of manufacturers. They're temporary in that it will be used for a little while then we would convert to our main hotel building.

You heard Mr. Trump and Mr. Ribis describe buildings in detail. I don't think I

1 have to elaborate too much on the quality.

2 | That's what this organization's all about.

3 Construction will be 50,000 square

4 | feet. As I said, it will be a full service

5 | structure with primary staging area for

6 approximately 2,000 customers. This is a

7 | floorplan that you are looking at.

The elements inside the pavilion would include ticketing, casino support operations, a full service food and beverage operation, entertainment, rest rooms, retail opportunities, and, of course, we'll have all these easily accessible from our parking areas, and it will have a climate controlled enclosed walkway to the gaming vessel.

This is a rendering of the vessel Glow Mark, the ship that we would be using as our temporary vessel. It's 400 feet long, 65 feet wide. It can be certified to sail within three miles of the shoreline. It's currently in the shipyard down in Bender Ships down in Mobile, Alabama.

It's approximately 34,000 square feet of gaming space, multilevel decks, and it's 1,500 gaming positions using 22 square feet per

position. We're proposing 1,126 slot machines and 74 table games for a total of 1,200 total games, and, of course, we would provide other amenities such as lounges and whatnot for the convenience of our guests.

2 1

This is a partial floorplan -- or, excuse me, a partial site plan of our permanent layout. The area you see laid out here is where the hotel will be, and I'll get to that in a minute.

Again, this is Cline Avenue along here, and we would like to see the existing Cline Avenue off-ramps reconfigured to create direct access on to our site at this point here. We think that will allow for much cleaner access in as well as exiting out.

The existing -- the roadway we're proposing, the roadway in here that I said we would use on a temporary basis, we'd like to widen that to two lanes in and two lanes out. We would provide extensive landscaping.

We're also proposing to rebuild the underpass that is right there. As you heard me say earlier, we're concerned that we can't get motor coaches through there, and buses are an

important segment of the business.

We also notice that we're showing a lot of rail lines across here, and we're proposing that the existing rails that segment the site that I referred to earlier be pulled back to this area as well as the overhead power transmission lines.

And I know there's been a number of people who have said that before me, and no one has mentioned those lines. We feel they need to be addressed. We feel they could create some problems.

You'll also notice that I guess the most obvious aspect of this site plan is the location of our bulkhead and our breakwater. What we'd like to do here is create this thing right here. That little dot is an outdoor amphitheater that we are proposing for a multitude of entertainment opportunities, music, fireworks, whatever.

We think it would create a tremendous atmosphere as you'll see later on in the slides, the bulkhead and the exterior -- I'm sorry, the amphitheater. This is our proposed breakwater.

I'd also like to point out that we are allowing for potential development in this

portion of the site as well as this portion up here which goes toward East Chicago. We think it would be a tremendous advantage to the state and both cities of East Chicago and Gary should the whole shoreline area be developed with gaming.

I would add that the breakwater will be designed to meet the Coast Guard specifications for a protected harbor.

This now shows our permanent parking facility. We'll have the ability to park approximately 3,000 vehicles a day right in that area. Around in this area this is our hotel right in here.

We're proposing a motor coach transportation center so our buses will be able to pull right in, drive around the access roadway and right into the hotel, the ground level of the hotel, and have direct access into our ticketing facility. Again, valet parking and VIP parking as well as handicapped parking will be available to quests of the hotel.

I just want to point out the circulation here that would give the buses in this site right here which we're allowing to branch off for access over to there, and, of course, at the end

of this road we could connect to the other development there and provide safe and convenient access for all of our guests.

The ground floor of the hotel complex is the hub of the whole facility. Again, this is our exterior rendering. The ground floor is where the motor coach terminal and the riverboat point of entry all come together just so we'll be capable of handling about 4,500 people when it comes to ticketing.

This is the floorplan that you're looking at that will also house our administrative offices, restaurants, retail stores, a lounge, and all the patrons, of course, wishing to enter the riverboat would do so through this level, and there would be a glass enclosed climate controlled walkway to and from the boat.

What you are looking at here is a rendering of our hotel lobby. The marble floor and crystal chandeliers, high ceilings, opulent decor, it's just typical of what we do at all our hotels so just in the hotel aspect alone we're creating a destination resort. We're not just coming in and leaving. We want folks to be

enamored when they come to this facility so they'll return time and time again.

The hotel tower itself is designed as a 300 room facility 15 stories tall, and it will have the ability to expand to 600 rooms. We'll be offering suites as well as typical guest rooms. Our room decor will be done in typical Trump style, if you will, marble floors, exquisite wall coverings, along with entertainment centers, wet bars, whirlpools, the whole nine yards.

We're also within this building we would have a multipurpose media space which we could use for concerts, entertainment, whatnot.

This is a rendering showing our permanent vessel, the Trump Princess, on the right-hand side. You can see the amphitheater down here on the lower right. We're creating an esplanade in the middle if I could go back to that one second.

I referred to the amphitheater a little earlier. We're trying to create a resort atmosphere. We want to allow people to come out of the hotel, get off the gaming vessel, walk around, and create an environment that is

1 | comfortable and relaxing.

Our permanent vessel is the Trump

Princess. This is an interior rendering. It's a

340 foot long vessel, 76 feet wide. This will be

of a contemporary style design, again,

multilevel. It will be approximately 46,000

square feet of gaming space producing 2,000

gaming positions using 23 square feet per

position. Again, 22 for the temporary, 23 for

the permanent.

Our objective here is guest comfort. We'll have 1,530 slot machines, 100 table games for a total of 1,630 positions. That's pretty much it for the development. I'd like to just briefly, if you will, run through our timing for all this. I'll give you what we feel are probable dates.

We are saying the site developments in conjunction with the second licensee would take from -- should we be able to start in September that would take from September to November.

Environmental acceptance and remediation would be from September to December.

Our temporary site design development would go from September to October. Riverboat

presentation would start immediately in September and will be completed by June 1995. Corps of Engineers permit we would be able to apply for in October, hopefully get that in February at which point we would begin our temporary facility construction, and that would also be completed by June of 1995.

While we're doing all that we would also be working on the schematic design for the permanent riverboat fabrication, permanent facility design development, and our permit applications. We are saying that the entire facility will be completed in June of 1996.

However, as we are up in operation temporarily we'll be building the permanent structure, and as soon as we can occupy the lower floors for the hub of the project, if you will, we'd be willing to do that.

We've consulted with Huber, Hunt & Nichols for this schedule. As you may or may not know, Huber, Hunt & Nichols is a well-known construction company based in Indiana. They have come to Gary.

They have met with the economic development director. They have met with the

Gary Construction Consortium, and they are intimately familiar with the state, local, NBE and WBE requirements so we have a high level of confidence in Huber, Hunt & Nichols.

Just to sum it up there are some key concerns that we have about the site, about completing the project as we feel it should be completed. They are access from Cline Avenue as well as access off of the site on to Cline Avenue.

The existing underpass is less than desirable. That needs to be considered. We don't cherish the idea of having vehicles crossing active rail tracks during operation. Electrical transmission lines need to be addressed.

There are barges from Lehigh Cement that we understand will be working in that harbor along with our vessels, and there is an active manufacturing plant adjacent to the site. None of these concerns are incidental.

We have the expertise to solve the problems, but they do need to be addressed, and we felt we should point that out. We are committed to this project, and we are committed

to working with whoever we have to to get it done.

Now I'd like to introduce Mr. Patrick Dennehy, our executive vice president of operations.

MR. DENNEHY: Thank you. I'd like to now review with you the financial implications for both the State of Indiana and the City of Gary from our casino operation here. Prior to beginning this task we undertook our own internal feasibility study. We looked at the entire Gary/Chicago gaming market.

We limited our analysis to a 160 mile area. We did this because we felt that the customers in that 160 mile area would be able to get to the site comfortably in a three hour drive. That would produce a four- to eight-hour gaming day for those customers.

We used our Atlantic City methodology that we've developed over the years, and at all times we've used conservative assumptions to get to our numbers. The elements that we considered in this analysis were the total market area, the population density, convenience of access, and the number and quality of leisure alternatives

for patrons living within this 160 mile area.

We also looked at the income profile area as well as direct competition from other casinos. Using those rings that we had up there a moment ago we determined three things in each one of those circles.

We looked at the market penetration, which by that we mean how many people within each one of those geographical rings will gamble; also patron frequency, how many times a year would individuals come to casino; and win per visit, how much will they spend.

We note the closer one lives to a casino site the more often they come, and the market penetration is hard. We determined from our analysis that the adult population -- and for these purposes it would be those over 21 years old -- in that 160 mile ring to be 12.8 million people.

These 12.8 million people would produce 37.4 million gaming visits, and as we calculated out according to our methodology we produced a total gaming market of \$2.4 billion. We did, however, discount that number for various reasons which I will discuss.

We discounted it, and we feel in the first year of operations when we're in operation the total gaming market will be \$990 million.

Why do we discount? Well, as I said earlier, we use the Atlantic City methodology. This is not the same market as Atlantic City. Atlantic City is a mature market. Gary is an emerging market with no long-term operating goals.

There's also a limited supply of casino boats in site at least initially. This is a riverboat experience versus a land-based experience in Atlantic City. And with that comes an admission charge versus free admission and limited patron entry and exit times.

There's a limited market control because there's significant businesses between casino sites unlike Atlantic City where we have a concentration of casinos.

In this study we also took into consideration Chicago, and we anticipate that there will be some bit of action during 1995. We think that the earliest boat will be open at the end of year two or sometime during year three of our operation.

However, we feel that this market will

primarily serve the tourist travel customer in
Chicago as well as the local downtown customers.

By that time, as I think we alluded to earlier,
we feel that Gary will be well situated by the
time they open. The market in Chicago currently
has not matured, and it's already approached a

But what is this going to mean long-term? We think that the gaming market, the Gary/Chicago gaming market, will have earnings of 990 million in year one and, as you can see, rising to 1.9 billion in year five.

half a billion dollars so we think that there's

plenty of room for growth in the market.

We used conservative market share for our purposes, and we considered the market share of 12.2 percent in year one rising to 19.9 percent in year five, and those numbers produce a \$120.8 million gross gaming revenue number for Trump Marina Resort in year one, and it's going to rise to approximately 189 in year five, \$189 million.

Our total revenue when you include all the other sources of revenue for the project will be 130.7 million in year one, and, as you can see, it rises steadily to 210 million in year

five. The revenues jump from year one to year two due to bringing on line the Trump Princess with its increased square footage and gaming positions.

After year two we have projected gaming revenue growth to be a moderate four percent increase per year. Year two also sees the opening of the hotel with its related growing of revenues. Gross operating profit for year one we project to be 35.9 million with a gross operating profit margin of 26.8 percent.

For years two through five we feel this number will start around \$51.5 million and rise to 56.8. We expect the profit margin to be around 26 percent during this time. As you know, the state gaming share, a tax of 15 percent, and a \$2 admission based upon these revenue projections will produce 21.8 million in year one rising steadily to 33.4 million in year five.

The City of Gary's share is a little bit more complicated. In addition to the five percent gaming tax and the \$1 admission tax that the city will enjoy, the Trump organization will provide extensive payments. That formula is recorded.

We will give the higher of the slotting percentage of gross gaming revenues before taxes. On the left-hand side you can see the gross gaming revenue increases produce a higher share of the revenue for Gary. The range is from two to four percent. On the right-hand side the income levels as they increase the percentage that the city will enjoy rises from two to eighteen percent.

In addition to the consented payments we are prepared to make an effort to insuring the safety and security of the citizens of Gary. In that regard we will contribute to the city one percent of the gross gaming revenues on an annual basis.

These funds will be used at the city's discretion in any endeavor to assist the city in providing security to residents and visitors alike. We feel it is going to be a key for the City of Gary in order to become the destination resort that we envision. We will provide for the safety and security of not only the citizens but also the visitors to this town.

Total five year payment for this will be \$8.3 million. Therefore, when you take into

- 1 consideration the taxes that are required by
- 2 statute, the incentive payments, and the one
- 3 percent of gaming revenues Gary will receive in
- 4 | year one \$11.9 million rising in year five to \$19
- 5 | million.
- 6 In addition to these financial
- 7 | contributions Trump has dedicated seven and a
- 8 | half percent of it's corporate stock. This stock
- 9 | will be owned by a shareholder foundation which
- 10 | will be entitled Trump Indiana Charitible
- 11 | Foundation. The value of this stock will be
- 12 | approximately \$11.5 million.
- 13 As dividends are declared seven and a
- 14 | half percent of the annual dividends shall be
- 15 distributed to the foundation for the purpose of
- 16 making contributions to various charities
- 17 | throughout Gary, Lake County, and the State of
- 18 | Indiana.
- In the first five years of development
- 20 | this amount should equal several hundred
- 21 | thousands of dollars. We've accumulated a list
- 22 of potential charities, and special
- 23 | considerations and circumstances will also be
- 24 addressed.
- The foundation trustees will include a

1 cross section of shareholders as well as three
2 Trump employees, and the documentation for this
3 foundation has been completed and will be filed

4 when the facility begins operation.

Now, we've talked a lot about numbers and individuals who have presented them to you. We thought it would be interesting to give a top level view of how we go about marketing and achieving the numbers that Mr. Ribis talked about in Atlantic City.

Initially our preopening goal will be to create brand awareness within that 160 mile radius. We need to get the Trump name on the consciousness of all the individuals in that area. This will result in (Inaudible) when we open.

Our preopening strategies will be to produce a broad communication message identifying the resort and presenting it for a place of play. We'll use outdoor media along major feeder highways. We'll use newsprint, TV, and radio to support that TV.

As we get closer to opening we'll finalize a clearly defined and distinctive marketing position. That position will be to

deliver superior value to our customer in the form of popularity programs. I think this is a trademark of the Trump organization.

Key to it will be the implementation of the Trump Card program. I think most of you in this audience know that every casino uses a card to report information about their customers and also their gaming work.

We have to make a concerted effort to acquire the names, addresses, dates of birth, and any other pertinent information of all individuals when they visit our property. We'll do this with drawings, contests, give-aways, etc.

Once this information is accumulated and the customer uses this card when gaming we need to articulate the rewards and incentives that come from using the card. We will build a data base to maximize the capabilities of that data base.

We will utilize direct response programs to generate repeat business to the property. We will utilize direct mail and outlying telemarketing. Currently in Atlantic City at Trump's Castle we send out 350,000 pieces of direct mail a month. We're also making 25,000

1 outbound telemarketing calls a month.

We don't sit there and open the doors and wait for people to come see us. We've got to have targeted programs going out to the best customers to experience repeat business. We will use a multimedia approach in advertising to both reinforce our position and also to provide offers.

We will utilize casino marketing which is marketing to a higher level customer. This will get the high end customers who are driven by personalized attention and service. We will have hosts who will meet and greet these customers and take care of them on an individual basis.

We will utilize special events, the biggest one, of course, being New Year's Eve except for Mr. Trump's birthday. And transportation is a key for the customers. Limousines and helicopters are used to get these customers to the property. (End of tape)

So what about patrons in Indiana already? Well, we anticipate that there will be regular plane service to other midwest cities in this junket program, and we're prepared for purposes of income tax to run 20 to 25 airplanes

a month. Our bus program will be another integral part of our marketing program.

Mr. Ribis touched on entertainment before, and I thought it would be interesting if you saw just what a diverse offering we have. If you take our entertainment offerings from June to September -- this is in Atlantic City between properties -- I'd like to give you some of the names of some of the individuals who performed, and I think each one of you will find someone who would appeal to your taste in entertainment.

I'll begin with Kenny Rogers, Sheena

Easton, Al Monteno, Yanni, Billy Ray Cyrus, Kenny
G, the Beach Boys, Paul Anka, the Pointer

Sisters, Julio Inglesias, Diana Ross, the Doobie

Brothers, Joel Gray, and the current hit in

Atlantic City is (Inaudible).

We have to make the marketing budget in year one of our operations to be \$8.5 million.

Now, it's one thing to talk about a marketing program, but the extension of the two marketing programs is to go out and recruit, hire, and train the best people.

Trump fully endorses and supports the principle of equal opportunity and is committed

to a firm and just policy of equality within all areas of the company operation. Through our

Atlantic City casinos we have significant experience in working with and advancing affirmative action and equal opportunity

quidelines.

We've made the commitment and we're happy to commit since we entered this license process to hire 66 percent Gary residents, 90 percent northwest Indiana residents, 66 percent minorities, and 53 percent female.

In addition to these hiring goals we think that it's important for you to understand our commitment in Atlantic City in purchasing. Through July of 1994, year-to-date numbers, the Trump organization has achieved a 15.8 percent level for minority and women expenditures. Out of 91.2 million total disbursements 14.4 have gone to minorities or women.

We've already done what the statute requires. Trump will seek out minorities and/or women owned businesses and encourage them to compete for Trump business through this process. We will work closely with the Mayor's Office on Economic Development and the Chamber of Commerce

to utilize those businesses.

All vendors must have verifiable job staffing in compliance with Trump rules or face voiding any agreement. We also think working with the Gary Construction Consortium to utilize local firms throughout the construction process on an ongoing basis will be important. The first meeting I had with Huber, Hunt & Nichols I directed them to the Construction Consortium.

It's important to look at the recruitment and hiring of individuals also. We need to utilize the programs that we've used before and also to learn from those of the Office of Economic Development. We will be opening an information campaign to communicate the function and skills required for various positions.

I'm sure the individuals who live here will have questions about what jobs are available, what will be required. We plan to get out in the newspapers, local talk shows, and community meetings to let people know what kind of jobs are coming to Gary.

We will develop relationships with all the training institutions utilizing newspaper and radio advertising as well. Job fares in

Development will be held, and we have also attended the ones that have been held.

We will use information for recruiting in other high traffic areas, and we will open an employment office to process all applications on a timely basis.

Training is another key element and something that we use quite extensively. We will make jobs available to the work force. We will create a partnership of educational resources within this area with the training facilities, and we will train to the business needs of the project.

We need to work in conjunction with the existing facilities to develop a service culture in this community. As a former high school teacher in Atlantic City I saw the transition that took place there, and I think it's important to have that kind of consolidated effort from the beginning.

We have supported the assessment process that Mayor Barnes has established through the Mayor's Office of Economic Development. We have participated in seminars that have been conducted

```
and have provided technical support to Donald
1
2
     Thompson's efforts. We pledge to continue this
     relationship when we utilize the process that has
 3
 4
     been established.
 5
              Now let me turn it over to Mr. Trump to
 6
     wrap it up.
 7
              MR. TRUMP:
                          I don't think I need too
     much time. I just wanted to thank everybody for
 8
 9
     listening and for being here, and this is a
10
     project we're very excited about, and we think we
11
     can do a great job, and we look forward to
     beginning our activities here in Indiana.
12
13
     you have any questions on anything we are here.
14
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                            You have five minutes.
                         I don't think we need it.
15
              MR. TRUMP:
16
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             Well, we now
17
     traditionally take a break and then we go to the
18
     question period so we'll recess for 15 minutes.
19
              MR. TRUMP:
                           Thank you.
20
              (At this time a short break in the
21
     proceedings was had.)
22
              MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Obviously the Trump
23
     name is very recognized. The company is known
24
     for glamour and fun, and obviously probably
```

everybody in this room heard several years ago

25

you had a problem with the land crisis in New York and a lot of debt restructuring and problems with your lenders.

Here is one of my concerns: From the information you have presented I don't know if those problems are over. Secondly, it's probably a natural concern that you will come in here -- I think the casinos that have come along shortly or in the last few years make a lot of money at least initially. My concern would be would you simply use the situation of Gary to pay off some of these other problems?

MR. TRUMP: I think we've probably never been stronger than we are now. I'm not sure we've ever had better access to capital. One of the things I had mentioned was the redevelopment that would happen.

\$2.5 billion dollars is going to be spent over a period of ten years. We have tremendous resources. We have a lot of cash ourselves within the company, and beyond that we have tremendous numbers of groups that want to invest with us.

And I think to a large extent, you know, when you mention 1990-91 a lot of companies went

out of business. A lot of companies went bankrupt. We didn't. We stuck it out before, and it was very tough period because in 1990-91 the real estate market collapsed and, of course, you had it around here, but everybody had it and New York in particular.

There was a company called Olympia & York which was probably the most powerful real estate company in the world. It is totally gone. It's out of business, bankrupt, finished, over. And one of the great things and one of the things I'm most proud of is that during a very tough period in the real estate industry my banks stayed with me. We worked together. We paid them back.

We have this great relationship with the institutions, and that sort of tells you something. It's easy to have a good relationship in good times, but in bad times it's really pretty good when you can have a good relationship so I'm very proud of what happened to us.

I'm almost -- I said this before. I'm actually glad we went through that period because I learned a lot during that period. I wouldn't want to have to do if again, but I learned a

lot. I learned about loyalties. I learned a lot of people were loyal to me during this period of time.

So the answer is I think we probably have better access to capital than we've ever had. I think we've shown a lot of resilience and a lot of strength because other companies are totally gone, wiped out, never to be seen or heard from again, and we're here probably stronger than we were.

As far as this area is concerned we have a very strong commitment to it, and, you know, a lot of folks will be coming in and they'll move here and things. We are looking to make this a real peach here, a real success.

We're putting our name on it, and when we put our name on something -- that's why General Electric chose us. That's the why the people chose us with respect to the Empire State Building. When we put our name on something it's more than just recognition. It's very important to us so we're looking for a long-term, very solid relationship.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: On the capital markets just so there's no confusion, in the past

two years we've done many deals in gaming and capital partners. At Trump Plaza we raised \$365 million and at the Trump's Castle about \$320 million so the capital markets are not only available to us they have have been available to us even after we go through and work out the real estate downturn in New York.

So that's not an issue with respect to this project, the size of this project. Not only do we have current investment bankers from the largest bank in the country, BT, Bankers Trust, there have also been other investor banks who were prepared to help finance this project.

MR. TRUMP: I'd like to just add that the real estate markets in New York again are very strong. I won't use the word booming yet, but it looks like it could be booming very soon so those markets are again very strong.

MR. KLINEMAN: The temporary site plan and the site plan phase I shows some coordination between the Trump organization and another developer. Site plan II it sort of looks like you would anticipate the Trump operation to be separate from the other operation. You even have your own breakwater.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We do, in fact, have our -- well, we would submit to the Commission that we would propose both vessels sail behind that same breakwater, and, as you recall, on the right-hand side of our project as well as the left-hand portion where I said there was potential links with East Chicago we are allowing spaces there.

2.0

We are also as flexible as to realizing if successful we would sit down with another operator. The parking areas are, of course, flexible with further development as well.

MR. KLINEMAN: I think that would be very important. Have you seen any other presentations? Were you here for those?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, sir, I was.

I've seen them all.

MR. KLINEMAN: And you've seen that there are developments who are proposing joint programs in coordination with saving time and so forth which I think would be important for two successful boats and facilities.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. Chairman, may
I answer that question? We've done everything we
knew how to do since we've been involved in this

```
1
     case to get the pertinent information which
 2
     enabled us to more fully and completely be able
     to predict. We've not been able to get that
 3
     information. What you see is the best we could
 5
     come up with under the circumstances.
 6
              MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. But the site plan
 7
     II you're showing one boat. Do you mean to have
     two boats docked there?
 8
 9
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Absolutely.
10
              MR. KLINEMAN: You just haven't drawn
11
     the other boat in?
12
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:
                                     That's correct.
13
     We didn't want to be as bold, if you will, to say
14
     we will be here. We are totally flexible and
15
     willing to sit down when the time comes with
16
     anyone else.
17
              COMMISSION MEMBER: You didn't want to
18
     paint a generic boat in there?
19
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:
                                     No.
20
              COMMISSION MEMBER: Let me ask a
21
     question. Who was the committee that made the
22
     presentation to the city? Who would that be?
23
              MR. RIBIS: I'll pick up that question.
```

I headed the team along with Mr. Dennehy; our

counsel, who was Bob Dinkus, who was general

24

25

counsel for us in New Jersey; Willie Harris, who
is our local counsel; and Mr. Trump came to
several meetings and presentations during the

4 | course of that.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I think that you made a pretty good point here about the reputation of Mr. Trump and his facilities. How is it that you weren't selected as one of these two in your opinion?

MR. RIBIS: My opinion is it was the most shocking and disappointing day of my life. I don't think there's any comparison between the company -- I'm chief executive officer. I'm not Mr. Trump, but the company's very near to my heart.

And I knew him 15 years before I came to work for him four years ago, and I will tell you that the presentation you saw today, we made a presentation as good, and I have never figured out how a company of the size and stature of this company would not be one of the preferred companies.

The two companies that were selected are just run differently. One has a couple of riverboats. They close someplace else when they

hope to make money. And the other one is a company I think made under a million dollars in operating profit the year prior to their presentation.

So if you're asking me as a businessman, as a lawyer, I have my own opinions which I won't express publicly, but I don't have any idea on a factual basis or a legal basis how that could take place.

COMMISSION MEMBER: You might have to work with these people so --

MR. RIBIS: Well, I'm glad you asked that question because I am the chairman of the association in New Jersey, and, believe me, we have diverse interests, and we have problems, and there's sometimes litigation with other casino operators, and we have current disputes with them.

But when it comes to the business of working together I think that Mr. Trump and this organization understand that that's business, and we want the business that bad. There's no reason to look backwards, and whoever is chosen I can guarantee that this company can work with them.

You can check with companies in New

- Jersey and the members of our association of all the casinos, and we have not only that desire but that ability.
 - COMMISSION MEMBER: This is to the gentleman who served as -- I apologize for not remembering your name.
- MR. POLISANO: Mr. Polisano.

- COMMISSION MEMBER: You made the comment that you were proposing to moving the railroad tracks.
- MR. POLISANO: Yes, sir.
 - COMMISSION MEMBER: Is that something that's easily done?
 - MR. POLISANO: No, sir, it will not be easily done. It would involve some time. That would be for our long-term plan. It would not affect the temporary operation. We have thought of ideas to work around it on a temporary basis.
 - However, long-term the project, because it is so close to the shoreline of the lake and segments the lake, one of the most beautiful parts of the site from the rest of the site, we would think that needs to be addressed.
 - COMMISSION MEMBER: Who do you have to work with to do that, to get somebody's approval

out there?

MR. POLISANO: There's quite a few approvals, and I'm sure it's a lengthy process. As I sit here off the top of my head I'm sure we'd have to work with the owner. That would be the most obvious step. It's not as though we want to eliminate that I want to make sure. We're just simply saying it should be pushed back and put alongside the existing tracks which are further south of the site.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Is there a private line that approved that it should be moved?

MR. POLISANO: We have had initial contacts with Conrail very preliminarily. I can't sit here and answer that question, but we are hopeful that they could be. They are private lines there, yes.

COMMISSION MEMBER: During the slide presentation there was mention of being approved by the Corps of Engineers by February of '95. How would you complete that?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: That is also difficult to answer. As I'm sure you noticed, our waterside proposal is much, if not totally, different than everyone else's. Not having any

information, environmental impact studies of the waterside, 120 days is what we put on the schedule. 120 days in my opinion is very optimistic.

I really can't answer your question as to how long it will take. Someone else did a presentation. They wanted (Inaudible). It depends on the questions that the Corps has. If there's any public comment that could drag on, and it could be a very lengthy procedure.

I would love to mention, however -- Mr.

Ribis had mentioned our marina in New Jersey. We dredged about 300,000 cubic yards beneath that marina. There is Mr. Trump's personal yacht, the Trump Princess.

We worked very cooperatively with the Army Corps of Engineers on that project out of Washington I believe, and we were able to get that permit in 120 days exactly. So we have the ability to make that happen if all goes well.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Our office is trying as quickly as possible to expedite getting the information that they need in order to be able to make a decision, to give them the advice they need to make a decision. We have reported

almost at every opportunity.

The basic area where we could proceed would be to contact the City of Gary, and I'm sorry we have not been able to get that requisite information. We didn't really have access to it until last Friday when I received a letter faxed from the Mayor.

MR. TRUMP: I might just add we've had a very extensive relationship with the Corps of Engineers, and we've been happy. We've done much work including 72nd Street to 59th Street along the Hudson River.

In Atlantic City in addition to the marina, which is one of the largest marinas on the east coast, we had to go through the Corps of Engineers, and we got the approval in I believe it was 90 days.

We also got probably one of the toughest approvals anybody had ever heard of and also got approved for a pier that went 900 feet into the Atlantic Ocean right on the beach. So we have a very extensive relationship with the Corps of Engineers, and we believe we can go forward at a very rapid pace.

COMMISSION MEMBER: You also spoke of an

off-ramp for traffic control. Do you believe that's necessary?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We do. Our demographic studies indicate that we will be getting as many as 2,600 cars a day. We feel the existing entryway to and from that site with that amount of vehicular traffic would cause congestion.

Again, one of our strong marketing philosophies is comfort of the guest. If the experience is a good experience we may get a return. We don't want people sitting in traffic saying I'm not coming back here.

We want people to come right off a major access roadway on to our site, have a great experience parking, into the gaming facility, into the hotel, into the restaurants, back out, see you in a few weeks hopefully.

COMMISSION MEMBER: And that is your responsibility to provide that plan?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: It is not included in our capital numbers. However, we are also proposing \$18 million infrastructure improvement in our capital, and it certainly could be discussed in the confines of that \$18

1 million. We would work cooperatively with 2 whoever, particularly the city because we realize 3 they'll have strong input with that and the other 4 developer.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- MR. HENSLEY: Well, since everybody's slowed down up here, do you have a preliminary project schedule? There was one on the slide that was a little difficult to see, but if we could get a copy of that showing us what those time tables are and projections are and so forth I think it would be helpful.
- TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Do you want it now or --
 - MR. HENSLEY: No. And along with that you also have shareholders that are receiving equity on (Inaudible). When could you provide us a list of those shareholders?
- TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: As a matter of fact, you have those.
- MR. HENSLEY: Well, with their 21 addresses, with an estimated net worth, and with a reason for why they were selected to receive this.
- 24 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: The partial 25 answer to your question is that I think of the

- four applicants two are the only ones who

 actually filed their original addresses, but we

 know they've been investigated, and we

 investigated them. Mr. Hahn has worked on that
- 5 specifically, but you also asked us now for their
 6 own net worth?
- 7 MR. HENSLEY: We're trying to get
 8 educated on this whole process. One of the
 9 things that we heard yesterday was that the
 10 reason for this particular project was so that
 11 people who could afford to invest in something
 12 had a chance to own some of stock.

- We heard this morning that the purpose was to reward those people who made a contribution to the applicant either previously or in the future. We'd just like to be able to take that information and digest it.
- MR. SUNDWICK: We're really trying to figure out why your company waives somebody for just no reason at all.
- TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Let me ask Mr.

 Hahn to come forward and answer that because in

 terms of those questions I might say, first of

 all, Mr. Hensley, that we were contacted by

 investors knowing that they would be

investigated, and we turned them in to the State

Police who immediately began to contact them and

did, in fact, investigate and talk with them. So

the answer I think to Mr. Sundwick's question,

5 Greg, you probably ought to elaborate on.

MR. HAHN: It's a pool of seven and a half percent. I think we alluded to in our presentation that seven and a half percent would go to the Trump Foundation, and those same individuals who are assisting us in that project would also sit on the board of that foundation.

The foundation is a 501C3 irrevocable trust, and as a charitible foundation we'll make contributions to various charities throughout the area.

The other reason -- the other seven and a half percent, I think Mr. Trump alluded to this earlier about participating in the New York project, the Riverside project, there's heavy community involvement, and as we were instructed in working with this project we were asked to come up with a list of blue ribbon committee members. We went out to do that.

Those individuals are a diverse group, school teachers, ironworkers, ministers, doctor,

lawyer, and other people, and those individuals as well as the investors will sit on the board.

We also looked at the economic impact of that, that those people will spend money in the state of Indiana. They will pay taxes so it broadens the economic impact of the entire project.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Can I address one part of your question with respect to why we did this because I was the decision maker. It was strongly suggested during the approval process. This was one of the major criteria in determining the acceptability of a proposal from the City of Gary that local participation was mandatory, and that is what was carried back to me by our representatives.

If you're asking me does it make economic sense, does it make business sense, absolutely not. I have to finance this project. I have to put in real dollars and real equity.

Now, taking it one step further, once we retained Mr. Tabbert's law firm we went on a process of due diligence because we felt it was part of the process that we would not have partners in a project that we didn't know or who

1 weren't acceptable.

Now, I'm not being critical of any of these people. They're fine people, and we've done an extensive due diligence on them.

However, we thought that a better use of this money would be to this foundation and for charitible interests.

I suggested, as I did to the CRDA in New Jersey, that we use money to have low cost loans available to police officers and firemen to live in the city, to have additional police cars as they've done in several other states which draws law enforcement people back into the community and have them living in the community.

But as for the investors, in all candor there is no economic basis to do that. It became part of the process.

COMMISSION MEMBER: It wasn't the policy that was actually just required?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: No, no, it certainly wasn't. Mr. Trump and I discussed it in some great detail because he had a lot of problems understanding why and on what basis we would do something like this.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: In fact, I think this

is very important because I think everyone of the young men has done the same thing. It's almost twisting like -- I mean, the intent to have local involvement, this is not local involvement.

This is like buying names so that you can look like you have local involvement, and you're no different than anybody else in this regard, and that's why we have these so-called local investors that are from Indianapolis.

Hopefully this experience will not be carried out in other states.

MR. HENSLEY: Well, you're different from the standpoint that you have explained it.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: This is exactly why there is participation at this level.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I'm a businessman myself. I'm sure there's some rational explanation for this. It's a good idea so I appreciate that and your time for giving the appropriate explanation.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Well, those were rational discussions I had with Mr. Trump and you would have liked to have heard that discussion.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I was listening. I think community involvement is a key, and I think

it should be, and I think all the people that made presentations intend to be involved in some way or another. I think that's appropriate in Gary and in any other city.

I just think that if you're going to do something you ought to get paid for it, and if you want to invest I think that's appropriate. I don't see anybody looking for a free ride.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: That's how we handle our other projects around the country, and our community involvement in Atlantic City is through employees, vendors, education, Trump University concept where we educate people to come into the business.

That was our initial thrust when it came to Gary. That was not -- we gathered, as part of the process in many meetings, what was required, and then when something is required and you're in a competitive beauty contest obviously our preference on a straight business basis would not be to do something like this because an investment community or an investment banker or someone who's lending to the project is going to question -- raise those very same questions as to relationships and partnerships and distributions

1 of money.

MR. KLINEMAN: I hate to say this because we want all the information we can get, but we do have a time problem. And I know I make long questions longer than they should be, but if we can hold the answers to a little more reasonable length.

I was just going to ask the question -I don't know if anyone knows the answer to this
-- does Gary have a community foundation? It
bothers me that every one of the applicants has a
foundation plugged in, is creating their own
foundation, and I was on the Indianapolis
foundation for a number of years and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There are several foundations. There's the educational foundation.

MR. KLINEMAN: I personally would like to see the developers investigate the possibility of rather than creating their own foundation seeing if contributions couldn't be made in any amount to an existing foundation which has already had community involvement. (End of tape).

I want to make sure that you contribute the money to something that qualifies as a fully

qualified tax (Inaudible) involved, and I don't think (Inaudible).

COMMISSION MEMBER: My question is would you care to explain the projected increase in attendance and wins by the casino's increased competition?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: That's strictly a function of marketing. I think if we're first in the market it's sustainable. I think we'll be in this market. If we have an increased capacity we'll get a bigger boat. I wish that would arrive.

Unfortunately one of the things we looked at as we went into year five was a decrease in total revenue because we don't know if we'll be able to sustain this revenue. That's usually one of the things that is first to go away.

So we think that our marketing people will have to draw customers. We're going to be aggressive. We're developing an airplane program and junket networks to sustain that success.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: This is a unique situation in the timing of the marketing because you have operations in Atlantic City, but, as you

mentioned in your presentation, that destination is where people are going there to gamble the night away, and there's a lot of other gaming operations around. This is almost -- at least at first it's going to be all by itself or one other boat. It's not a destination yet. How do you propose to make it that?

MR. TRUMP: One of the -- I think one of the things we bring to the table is the Trump name and what we've been able to do in terms of marketing.

One of the reasons that, as I mentioned before, General Electric chose us -- and although it's a different business it's very similar -- is that we get on a square foot of condominium space in New York substantially more than any other company with the Trump name, with the name. In other words, the same building we just get about \$125 a square foot more, and they found that, and nobody could really compete with that.

One of the elements that I think we do add is that while some of the entertainers we've given -- we've had Elton John, we've had the Rolling Stones, you know, again, you've heard about Michael Jackson, and as the Commissioner

1 mentioned just a minute ago Michael Jackson is
2 from Gary, Indiana.

We would love to get Michael Jackson to perform here. He lives now in Trump Tower. I think we have a better opportunity to get that than anybody else.

So many of the great entertainment events that we have -- most of Mike Tyson's fights, I think we've had over 50 percent of Mike Tyson's fights, and I guess he will be fighting again -- and because of the land mass -- I'm not sure what I just said. He may have a problem with fighting in his schedule.

But we add a lot of marketing clout, and we really have access to the greatest performers in the world, and it's something that we're really proud of. And because of the large land mass that we have here when we have the outdoor arena you would have tremendous outdoor amphitheater events.

And we have access -- and I think that's what makes your destination. I think we're going to need that especially a little later on as time goes by and the competition comes on.

MR. KLINEMAN: Talking about Chicago

```
1
     we're also going to be licensing a boat in East
2
     Chicago, and I quess that's competition that you
 3
     have also thrown into your equation.
 4
              MR. TRUMP:
                          That is correct, that is
 5
     correct.
 6
              MR. KLINEMAN: And you would hope to
 7
     have a strong viable operation?
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:
 8
                                     From the
 9
     development standpoint we would love to see East
10
     Chicago and the Gary area consider one hub of
11
     gaming entertainment. That would be ideal.
12
              MR. KLINEMAN: Sitting around the same
13
     harbor?
14
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Exactly. Thereby
15
     you create that sort of destination.
16
              COMMISSION MEMBER: (Inaudible).
17
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:
                                      That's to be used
18
     by the city at their discretion for line item
19
     budgets, for security, for police efforts in the
20
     city.
21
              COMMISSION MEMBER: Can you tell us how
22
     much you've invested to date?
23
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: It's an estimate,
24
     but I would say well in excess of a million
25
     dollars, probably closer to two million dollars
```

in direct funds spent on this project because we have a whole new company with respect to new jurisdictions. But Mr. Dennehy -- Mr. Polisano and our architect have worked fulltime on this for a long period of time.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Is it expected that the parent company will guarantee this particular --

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah. The form of the financing for the investment I don't want to tie myself down to, but clearly that's going to have to be part of the financing mechanism.

MR. HENSLEY: You've said that whenever you've gone into a community you've attempted to use local contractors and businesses and so forth. Have you identified any of those businesses or contractors within Gary that you will use?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: I think, in fact, we've already hired our contracting firm.

They're here today.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. Dennehy
mentioned, and I believe I may have touched on it
a bit, Huber, Hunt & Nichols has been in Gary and
met with the Gary Construction Consortium as well
as the Director of Economic Development. They

are also qualified as a contractor to work in the City of Gary. Yes, to answer your question.

MR. HENSLEY: Do you have any idea how much money you will have spent here, actual cash laid out by the end of the first year?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We estimate about \$70 million.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Somebody had mentioned the Sheraton Hotel looked like a real opportunity.

MR. TRUMP: Well, I think it's a shame that right in front of City Hall you have this hotel that's been so badly -- I mean, just looks pretty bad. It's an eyesore, and I think there would be an opportunity to do something with it whether it be through renovation into a new hotel, or I know some other proposals came forth for a nursing home.

But I also hear that a good use would be some office space because City Hall may need office space adjoining, and that would be something we would certainly look into and consider.

I just -- you know, it made an impression when I was here six or seven months

```
1
     ago. I saw this derelict building, open windows,
 2
     it really looks like hell, and then you have City
 3
     Hall right across the street from it, and it sort
     of made an impression on me, why doesn't somebody
 5
     do something about that because it would not be
 6
     that difficult to do.
 7
              COMMISSION MEMBER:
                                  That's the question
 8
     I have.
              Are you making this a part of the
 9
     project?
10
              MR. TRUMP:
                          I think we'll build it, yes,
11
     we will. I think it's important for Gary.
12
              COMMISSION MEMBER:
                                   I agree.
13
              MR. KLINEMAN: You have an operation in
14
     other states other than Atlantic City, correct?
15
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:
                                     We have
16
     operations obviously in New York and --
17
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             No, I meant casinos.
18
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Oh, gaming.
                                                   Wе
19
     have a joint venture called Diamond Head in
20
     Mississippi which is going through the process of
21
     getting various permits which will take about
22
     another six or eight months.
23
              We were pulling for a project in
24
     Gulfport, Mississippi, but litigation was filed
25
     by an adjoining casino landowner, and we had our
```

license and our approval about eight months ago,
but it's been going through the litigation

process, and we've been held up because of that
so that process is ongoing. He's suing not only
us, he's suing the city, but the fact is we have

6 to wait for the final decision.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Are you looking at any other areas? I don't want you to reveal any secrets to us, but we want to make sure that the commitment to Gary is a commitment which takes precedence over other projects down the road.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Well, I think that certainly we haven't spent any time in any adjoining or close communities to Gary, and our focus is here, and if we're going to make the types of investment in Gary it's not in our business interest to do something in an adjoining or adjacent community.

MR. TRUMP: If I may, I'd like to mention one fact that I think one of the reasons we were so surprised and shocked that we weren't designated is because virtually every jurisdiction that we go to, and even jurisdictions that we don't go to, they choose us.

As an example, we get calls all the time from Las Vegas wanting us to go to Las Vegas. Why aren't you here, you have to be here. You know, there's a very community-minded spirit out there.

In Gulfport everybody else was turned down for this particular site. We were able to get the site and get full approval. Now, there's a lot of litigation not involving us which is litigation having to do with the city, but at some point if that market straightens out -- and, again, it is not a priority or anything else.

It's just a question there are a lot of casinos in that area of the world, as you're probably reading about, and I think two filed bankruptcy today, one's filing next week. It's a total disaster up there, but we have a site there, and whether or not we go forward with it will be something that will be determined.

But one of the things I'm most proud of is the fact that virtually every jurisdiction wants us. We turn down lots of jurisdictions.

Most of the time we turn them down. Sometimes we'll go there and look and we'll turn them down.

This is something we've fought very hard for and wanted, and, frankly, when the local politicians in their great wisdom chose a company I've never heard of and another company I was very surprised.

To be honest with you, I was shocked because this is the one we want, and people want us to go to jurisdictions and are willing to give us jurisdictions and we can get them, and the one we wanted we weren't given.

And our commitment is very, very great. We feel very strongly toward it, and we think it can be a great success and a great boon to the area.

COMMISSION MEMBER: It seems that other operations are adding family entertainment, and I notice you didn't have anything about family entertainment in your presentation.

MR. TRUMP: We will be doing much in the area of family entertainment. A lot of that will be the entertainment itself and the type of entertainers we bring in because we're looking to bring great entertainment to the area.

We will be very much involved in family entertainment, and that's actually almost

becoming something that is automatic in the casino industry.

We're building day care centers, as an example, in Atlantic City because the families come in, they can leave their children in the day care centers and things such as this. So it's almost becoming one and the same so perhaps that's why it wasn't mentioned in perhaps the kind of detail we should have.

COMMISSION MEMBER: This is a fairly large operation that you are proposing, and I notice that your demographics really only included your operation so there's another boat that's supposed to be there with you. Do you think that there's enough business for two?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, we do, sir, yes, we do.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you think that being such a large operation and, of course, being the Trump operation do you think you would put the other boat out of business?

MR. TRUMP: I think that we'll bring the people for the other boat. I mean, the Taj Mahal is representative of that. Resorts, which is adjoining, is doing better business now than it

was doing before we opened. It gets a lot of overflow, and I'm not saying that we take business, but we create so much more business that it just does better.

Showboat's another example. Showboat does more business now than it did before the Taj opened. The Taj has so many people, it's so successful, it is so enormous that people literally go to the other areas so I think it's going to bring a lot of business, and I think it's going to very much help the fellow developer.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Mr. Trump, if you were not here who would be running this operation?

MR. TRUMP: Well, I have a very capable group of people who has been headed up by Mr. Ribis, and I have an organization that's really outstanding. Pat Dennehy has lived here virtually for -- it's been almost a year that he's been working on this project. We've spent a lot of time and a lot of money on this project.

And Joe Polisano has become involved, and he's one of my top people, and he's been here with Mr. Dennehy for a long time, and, as you can

see, they know the local market very well. They
virtually lived in the area for quite some period
of time and spent great amounts of time here,
consecutive time.

So I really pride myself on being a good manager, and being a good manager is getting good people, and Mr. Ribis has done an outstanding job. Mr. Dennehy, Mr. Polisano, all of my people I'm just very proud of so if I happen to get hit by a bus walking outside this door, a bus from Gary, it's a major story (Inaudible). I think actually he would carry on very well, I hope.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Can I have a guarantee that I will be that person?

MR. KLINEMAN: Do any other Commissioners have anything? Mr. Thar?

MR. THAR: Thank you. I'd like to look at your calculations with regard to the number of passengers, patrons that you expect after a year and what happens in year five if, in fact, it's less than a million. You project 2.5 plus million plus also factor in the fact that the company may be able to draw a percentage more. What justifies (Inaudible)?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We think that you

know we use a lot of information from the existing boats in the Chicago area. Based on our additional capacity and our marketing ability we think that 2.5 is a stretch for the current numbers.

MR. THAR: Now, while we were looking at the slide I thought maybe what your program or basis for it and what those figures might have been is that you thought it would catch a market here of so many billions of dollars. If you tapped your market shares and market standard that would justify your numbers. When you say that are you just looking at the table?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: No. We looked at people, we looked at average gaming worth of those people in that market area. We think the market will grow, and we think that our fair share of that market will vary as we go along.

We think that our market share will start out at about 12.2 percent, and it will go up to -- it's difficult to make comparisons in capacity but, we looked at conservatively that we're just a little bit below capacity, what we felt was our percentage of capacity in the market share.

MR. THAR: Do you then also believe that part of the market that you will get will be taken away from other existing markets at other riverboats?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: No. We're just looking at our fair share of the market. Part of it, and, again, one of the things that's important, is the location of three boats in close proximity.

We'll get more business in that area because, quite frankly, people want to go see more than one offering so it makes it so people have an opportunity to visit our boat and other boats that are in that close proximity.

MR. THAR: As a part of that plan do you have a much bigger gaming patronage that you are going to target?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We basically target all segments for the gaming market. It just depends on how much money you want to spend. Each segment we usually -- there are segments where we find we don't spend a lot of money to market to. We cater -- in Atlantic City we cater to a midlevel customer, basically a driving customer, average gaming worth of between

1 100 and 400 per day. 2 MR. THAR: Is that the same market that 3 you would be targeting or spending a higher 4 percentage of your --5 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: That's a critical 6 market. We think that will be a good market. 7 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: How do you consider a market like that? 8 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: I'm sorry. 9 10 didn't hear you. 11 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Could they afford the 12 room rate of the hotel? TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: 13 The one part --14 in fact, I think the difference between the 15 land-based and not, you're certainly going to 16 increase your business because you're going to 17 have a more diverse group, and we will make sure 18 the hotel, as we do in Atlantic City, has rates 19 that are affordable so that it draws more 20 customers to your facility. That has to be part 21 of the marketing effort. 22 MR. THAR: I'd like to turn to an area 23 we've talked about a couple of times already. 24 The concern is about the ability of the Trump

organization to work I would say in harmony with

25

someone yet competitively with another operator at the same site.

As I understand -- correct me if I'm wrong -- it's my understanding originally, Mr. Trump, you didn't want to come to Gary at all, and then you changed your mind; is that it?

Yes.

MR. TRUMP:

MR. THAR: Secondly, most of the projects you've been involved with up until recently have not been projects you've had to share other things with another organization. You do things in a big way, you do them professionally, and it does promote somewhat of an attitude perceived by the people in the industry that you are very difficult to work with.

Some of the comments today such as Well, I don't know why we weren't picked, One guy is like a chump change operator and the other one is just throwing boats around, how do you resolve to smooth that over? One of the greatest problems I think you said is that this operation won't work unless the two developers get along so those are concerns of mine. Can you help alleviate those?

MR. TRUMP: Yes, sir, I hope so. In

Atlantic City, as an example, my president and chief executive officer, Mr. Ribis, is the head and was recently elected as the head of the Casino/Hotel Association which is all 12 casinos have gotten together, and they voted for the person that they most wanted to lead them in the direction that they wanted to go.

The executive from my organization was chosen, and he's now the president of the Casino/Hotel Association in Atlantic City.
That's all 12 casinos. The other thing is that they're doing what's called (Inaudible) in Atlantic City. This is composed of numerous hotels in Atlantic City. The plan has been done. The coordination has been beautiful.

Now, I will say this: Generally speaking I own a hundred percent of my own buildings. I own a hundred percent of Trump Plaza. I own a hundred percent of the Castle. I own a hundred percent of my buildings in Manhattan so generally speaking I haven't had partners.

But also largely I've been able to work with partners, not in all cases, but nobody does in all cases. But I've developed extremely good

- 1 relationships with many, many good people, and I
- 2 | think maybe the best example, because it so much
- 3 pertains to the casino industry, is our
- 4 relationship with other casinos in Atlantic
- 5 | City.
- 6 We have a great relationship with
- 7 | Caesar's which is our next door neighbor. We
- 8 | work jointly on things. We have, you know, just
- 9 a fabulous relationship. We have a very, very
- 10 good relationship with the Bally people. We've
- 11 | had a lot of good relationships.
- Now, we don't -- you're perhaps right,
- 13 and I didn't mean it in a snide way. I just had
- 14 | not heard of one of the particular companies so
- 15 | whether or not we work with them I think we can,
- 16 | but I just don't know who they are.
- 17 And the other company, again, they
- 18 | weren't in Atlantic City. We don't know them
- 19 | very well but, we know of them, but we don't know
- 20 | them very well. But I imagine we'll be able to
- 21 | work with them, but, again, I don't know these
- 22 people.
- One company I don't know who they are,
- 24 and the other company I don't know much about
- 25 | them other than what they've done on the gulf

```
1
     coast. So we've had a lot of good
     relationships.
 2
 3
                         I'm a little hard pressed to
              MR. THAR:
 4
     believe that you don't know who these people
 5
     are.
 6
              MR. TRUMP:
                          I don't know one of them.
 7
              MR. THAR: You don't know them
 8
     personally?
 9
              MR. TRUMP:
                          I don't know them as a
10
     company. I never heard of them.
11
              TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: If I could have
12
     just two seconds, in cooperative efforts Harrah's
1.3
     and Trump's Castle are cooperatively building a
14
     corridor for Route 30 in Atlantic City, a major
15
     access roadway, to their casinos. They enjoy
16
     marketing together.
17
              As for Caesar's they're an adjacent
18
     landowner.
                 We've been working cooperatively in
     the development of taking over the old Holiday
19
20
           There's land between our facilities that
21
     we're working cooperatively on.
22
              As to Taj Mahal we are linked physically
```

both on one side with Showboat and on the other

side with Resorts, and we have had no problem in

dealing with the companies in the proximity with

23

24

25

1 us although in competition, and that's what we're 2 in business to do is to be with other companies. 3 We are cooperative. We work with each other. MR. KLINEMAN: Stick around. At 4:55 we're going to have a mixer for all four of these 5 developers. 6 MR. THAR: Who will be the on-site 7 8 manager? 9 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: At the present 10 time Mr. Dennehy will be the chief operating 11 officer. 12 MR. THAR: And will that be long-term? 13 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: He's been with 14 the company long-term, and we expect him to be 15 with us here in the long-term. 16 MR. THAR: With regard to your outdoor 17 amphitheater from the way it was located in the 18 slide it looked like it would be in the general 19 area where the other applicants have proposed 20 docking their boats. Is your program flexible 21 enough to move that amphitheater? 22 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Absolutely, yes.

MR. THAR: So certain aspects of your

there, but to answer your question, yes.

I quess it's difficult to see in that slide

23

24

Absolutely.

1 site plan are flexible?
2 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE:

MR. THAR: With regard to the \$30 million capital investment, based upon the application you stated it would come from the Trump organization or Mr. Trump's personal funds. Has that been determined?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: It has not because of the fact that it depends on the type of financing we do here. We have the ability to go either way, and we will decide as we get closer to the project.

MR. THAR: Are the funds available today for either situation?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: The funds are available. We may need certain board approval if they come out of one of the casinos which we don't anticipate having any problem getting.

MR. THAR: With regard to security funding I was under the impression in the application that it was going to be specifically used to develop a police substation. Did I hear something different today, or have I misread the application?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: I think -- I was

- going to say I was going to agree with you, sir,
- 2 | but I think I better let my chief operating
- 3 officer --
- 4 MR. THAR: I thought you said it was
- 5 supposed to go today to the City of Gary to be
- 6 used for law enforcement purposes, for police
- 7 cars and things like that.
- 8 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: Part of our
- 9 | capital contribution to the city will be \$3
- 10 | million for the building and refurbishment of the
- 11 police substation. After preliminary discussions
- 12 | with the police department they accepted the one
- 13 percent amount.
- MR. THAR: That's in addition?
- TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: The \$3 million is
- 16 | a capital contribution.
- 17 MR. THAR: There was a discussion
- 18 | earlier about doing something with the Sheraton
- 19 | Hotel. I didn't remember anything about that in
- 20 | your application. Is that something that just
- 21 | came up today?
- 22 TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: At the time of
- 23 | the application it was not, but it would be
- 24 something we would seriously consider.
- MR. THAR: At the time that you were

engaged in the city process had your discussions
with the bankruptcy courts been completed, or was
that part of what was going on at the time of the
endorsement?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: First of all, there was never a commercial bankruptcy, and I keep hearing reference to these, and they were two prepackaged reorganizations that we've done. All debt was paid and has been paid currently, and, yes, it has been paid.

MR. THAR: The question was: Was that process going on at the time that the City of Gary was evaluating the Trump proposal?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: It was not. It was long before that it was completed. I think it was early 1992.

MR. THAR: I'll check it here.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We did a recapitalization of one of our properties in 1993. Trump Plaza we did a recapitalization of \$365 million. That had nothing to do with the reorganization. We recapitalized the property, bought out some debt, and it was in -- it may have been late 1991 when we finished all the prepackaged reorganizations.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: That was February through May of '92.

MR. KLINEMAN: Does anybody else have anything further? Okay. Well, we thank you very much for coming, and as I say -- (End of tape)

(At this time a short break in the proceedings was had.)

MR. KLINEMAN: We are pleased to welcome Mayor Thomas Barnes from Gary. Mayor Barnes is here to summarize the activities with regards to evaluating the independent developers.

MAYOR BARNES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission and those of you who are gathered here after two full days. Just a couple remarks from myself and then I want to introduce two individuals who played a part during all this process and then to make some final notes myself, and I promise that we'll be able to keep that time frame that's been allowed to us.

But in this entire process that we've been involved with there have been an awful lot of folks who have played a part, most of them, of course, without pay, but we used as well extensive resources for which we have paid or to

date have obligated ourselves to pay primarily, of course, from gaming initiative resources.

We identified and used good talent in the process and, frankly, some that I questioned the value of as we went along. Among those that we've employed we think with good results have been lawyers; consultants, fiscal and gaming activity; appraisers; surveyors; environmental specialists; clerks and typists; you name it.

Most important we utilized the talents of people and institutions throughout our city to direct our inquiry and policy direction, individuals from this community who met as part of committees, and you'll hear more about that, on economic development issues, on labor relations, job training, public relations, political health, public safety, related issues.

We held meetings throughout the city highlighting the local access portion of this venture with weekly rap sessions attended by businesses and citizens and industry reps.

Developers as well participated, and we were extremely pleased that Jack Thar attended and participated in several of these sessions along with over 1,000 individuals.

In May of this year I forwarded documents to this Commission to include our preferred development recommendation. In that recommendation we concluded, "The city requests and recommends that the Indiana Gaming Commission grant the licenses to Barden Communications and President Riverboat Casinos and Monarch Corporation subject to both developers entering into a final development agreement with the City of Gary."

1.5

2.0

2.3

I want to now introduce to you those individuals that's been involved in this process, who directly spearheaded the point of that letter to you, Senator Earline Rogers on process followed by attorney Gilbert King on mandatory elements. Thank you.

SENATOR ROGERS: Thank you very much,
Mayor Barnes. Mr. Chairman, members of the
Commission, Mr. Executive Director, I'm going to
go through this process with you, but I want you
to know that if there are any questions raised
about this process I want you to ask me the tough
questions.

Anything that you think might need answers to I want it because I think that this

process was one that was filled with integrity
and one that was done with the love of this city
at heart.

Step one in our process, Gary's selection process began in July of 1993 following the passage of legislation. The steering committee, which was the committee made up of persons who worked in the city administration, and I was asked as one of the authors of the legislation to be a part of that process because of my knowledge of the statute, to be a member of it, we were responsible for establishing the vision of where we wanted to go and how to get there.

We wanted to be proactive and not reactive. There were no cook book rules or models to follow. We knew, however, that riverboat gaming afforded us the opportunity to extract benefits for our city in exchange for the city's favorable recommendation.

Step two, naming of the advisory committee. An important element in any development, especially one that may cause controversy, is the implementation of an accepted public participation program. This led to the

creation of the advisory committee.

Nine committees were established that were related to every conceivable aspect of casino gaming. More than 50 persons participated in this process. Work products from the committees ranged from the development of a brochure entitled "The 14 Most Asked Questions Concerning Riverboat Gaming in Gary" to the establishment of a resource pool of persons seeking employment in the riverboat industry.

City wide meetings were also held to communicate the developers' message to the public and marshal support for this new industry.

Step three, Gary's development committee served in the capacity in which it has always served and continues to serve. The first step a business or industry has to make when wishing to locate in Gary is to come before this committee so this committee was the committee that the developers went to first. This committee met with developers and shared with them an existing plan and efforts to redevelop the city.

Step four, hire consultants. Because of the complex nature of the gaming industry professionals were hired to assist the steering

committee in the evaluation of the development proposals. Carl White, a former member of the New Jersey Casino Control Commission who has been with us since 1989 when we drafted the legislation, contacted a gaming consultant from Reno, Nevada, and Dick Shato of the national association which is based in Minneapolis and Indianapolis came on board once we received all

of the forms from the applicants.

Step five, proposal presentation.

Proposal presentation from the developers was then submitted to the steering committee. We had meetings much like those that you have conducted over these past two days. The format was the same.

Developers came in, made their presentations. We as steering committee members asked questions and heard answers to those questions, and I'd like to say at this time that all those hearings were taped and are available if need be.

Step five, on October 15th and 16th of 1993 the steering committee and the consultants armed with tapes, proposals, and a resource manual prepared by our consultants and our own

personal notes set about the task to evaluate some 12 proposals which resulted in the presentations which were made before the steering committee.

Step seven was our first attempt at narrowing the field. The steering committee used four major points in evaluating the proposals. Financing to build the project and gaming experience we considered most important because the inability to demonstrate the ability to finance a project and the experience to run a gaming and riverboat operation, we considered these two to be show stoppers.

Key also were incentives to the city.

We were looking for long-term economic impact and the potential for spin-off development, plans that would fulfill that part of the statute that speaks to economic development increasing over a wide geographic area. And I might at this point in time explain to you that our definition of a wide geographic area is within the confines of the city limits of Gary, Indiana.

Shoreline development we considered most important for enabling us to attract large numbers of visitors thereby creating the revenue

1 stream and jobs so sorely needed by this
2 community.

Speed of development, realizing that time is money and the first boat in the water gets the cream, speed of development was another important factor. Local and minority participation was included because we felt it aided in our attainment of jobs and revenue enhancement.

And let me stop there a moment to talk to you about minority participation as it relates to investment. We did say to developers that came before the steering committee that we wanted Gary residents to have an opportunity to invest in their operations, not to be given that opportunity to be a part of the operation, but to invest.

One of the things in this community -one of the things we heard as we went around this
community visiting with various groups was that
we're always in a position where other people
make the money and we don't make the money, the
investors make money.

We want to invest so that we can feel like some of the dollars are here. We don't want

just jobs. We don't want just the opportunity to go there and gamble. We want to be able to realize profits.

We thought that that was something that we needed to do as a community, and we said to each developer 15 to 20 percent opportunity for investment. We never ever said to give anybody anything.

I think John Barden summed it up best in his presentation when he said we expect people -- he had put his money in so he expected anybody else to invest in the proposal to put their money in so that's our position as it relates to minority investment.

Step eight, realizing that it was critical that we negotiate agreements that were favorable to Gary, and then fully aware that casinos hire some of the best lawyers we enhanced our attorney that served on the steering committee.

We engaged the services of Bill Monroe from Indianapolis, and our lawyers worked many days, sometimes around the clock, to try and negotiate the best deal for Gary.

Step nine, after nearly six months of

meetings, community hearings, dialog, and discussion the steering committee called the names of four developers we felt confident could help Gary achieve the optimum in economic development, and those companies in alphabetical order were: Barden/President, Dunes/Monarch, Riviera, and the Trump organization.

That was the work of the steering committee, and, as I said in my opening remark, I stand ready to answer any and all questions at the close of the presentation as relates to this process. Thank you.

MR. KING: Good afternoon, Chairman Klineman and members of the Commission. By way of introduction my name is Gilbert King, and I am a lawyer by profession although in the last year I have become a student of the gaming industry.

In my role as sitting on the Mayor's steering committee you today have heard two days of what we have listened to over the past several months, numerous videos, numerous documents, numerous presentations.

We think that that experience along with the steps for (Inaudible) makes it clear that the riverboat act is to assure that there is economic

development. That has given rise to some key components of any agreement that is reached by any developer. Consequently, we've come up with our list that is essential to the City of Gary.

First, the first item on the list is commitments to the (Inaudible) of \$10 million. It's secured by a letter of credit or another instrument acceptable to the city. The second item is that the parent company be a signator to the development agreement and stand behind those commitments.

The third is a commitment to reimburse the unsuccessful developers for any work product actually incorporated in the project, for example, the harbor modifications; a commitment to train the personnel at no cost to the city or the trainees; a commitment to \$1 million per month penalty for each month the gaming vessel is not ready beyond March 31, 1995.

We have some other commitments that are just as important and just as crucial that the mayor will enumerate. Thank you.

MAYOR BARNES: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. Some of my script has changed, and I found out about it after I started going through it.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, you're starting off with all those changes.

MAYOR BARNES: Well, we have some very capable people, and they've been able to do it. They have to do these little things from time to time. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, and to continue, Gary has a vision, and achieving it depends on certain strategic goals among many other things.

Certainly a part of that vision is creating new job opportunities. Part of that as well requires effective job training of our citizens and certainly taking full advantage of the wealth of world class learning institutions that we have right here in our community, dealing with the real and imagined problems of fear and violence that permeate our community in certainly too many areas, and implementing a plan of rebuilding our city block by block if necessary.

I share with you some of the projects and developments that are occurring at this very moment in many instances. Some of these relate to a particular area as determined by my economic commission and I think are very important. That, of course, is our Broadway renovation.

Broadway is a significant major corridor in the city of Gary, Indiana. It's embodied of what Gary used to be, and it's also, when we look at those individual businesses that continue to struggle against substantial odds, evidence of the strength and capability that can make Gary what we want it to be.

And we feel that it is something that the role of gaming can support to provide, first of all, revenues we talked about before and jobs and sources of funds for the hundred million dollar plus infrastructure needs of the city and very specially as well can play a key part in the development of our corridors.

Our main corridors to the City of Gary are Broadway and from 4th Avenue and 2nd Avenue to 53rd and, of course, along the 12 and 20 and our whole lake front corridor from County Line Road out to our airport.

Along Broadway there are many features that represent a part of that symbolism but also the practicality and substance of a city that indeed intends to be restored: Starting now at this very moment at 2nd and Broadway the new bus shelter, a very attractive structure that is

being completed now; to the Gateway Park; to the provisions that we made in what we call Gary's super block, a part of it already under way on 5th and Broadway; other shelter activities; to the investment by private developer NIPSCO; a substantial development on 8th and Broadway; across the street a partnership between the city, the state, and a private developer which will expect to see the Sears building completed in a few months; on down to 9th and to 23rd and Broadway what we call the corridor equity project from 9th to 23rd; from Broadway to Madison over 100 acres that will include not only businesses to be renovated but the addition of businesses such as a minimall which will be located across the street from the police department and already has a developer who is ready to begin very soon; to social type agencies such as the YWCA which has plans for portions of that land as well; to housing in addition; and in addition to that the entire length of Broadway new lighting already is being installed; but in addition to that contracts have already been let and may start today or very shortly for new sidewalks and curbs throughout the entire length.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

22

23

24

25

We share this because we want you to know that while we see the riverboat gaming opportunity as a significant supporting feature, we have not and we do not now see it as a panacea that will operate in and of itself to provide all that the City of Gary needs, if you will, for its redevelopment or for its rebuilding.

When the steering committee presented to me their recommendations added to the store of my own knowledge that I gathered through just awareness and perception and some through looking at documents, what have you, there was one consideration that guided my thoughts.

First and foremost among those considerations were developing commitments to agreements on mandatory components. Among those mandatory components I believe is a riverboat casino with certain minimum features to be backed up by legally enforceable mechanisms: Harbor modifications; breakwater; staging pavilion for gaming vessels; provisions for providing onshore development which in the aggregate would include features such as a hotel, lake front park, community park, restaurants, night clubs, and shops and other magnet features such as theater,

retail outlets, family activity center; a pavilion and provisions for at least one landmark off-site project per licensee valuing in the \$10 million range.

Again, referring to our corridor of development one of those landmarks is being purchased by the city at this very moment, and that, of course, is the historic Union Building. I can't think of the name of the movie that Alan Ladd played in some years back, I think 1948. That movie was filmed right in Gary, Indiana, and a substantial portion of it took place at the Union Station.

Commitment to capital support for Gary's marina development, we did not and have not abandoned our marina development plans. Those plans are under way right now, and fortunately we finally received the information from United States Steel dealing with many of our concerns that we had, and 120 acres that are under lease to the City of Gary have been shown to be available for development.

Payment to the city for prelicensing expenses or support of other prelicensing expenses, other off-site commitments to bring

total project capital investment to the range of \$120 million dollars per licensee, commitment to provide the city with three percent of adjusted gross revenues in addition to the statutory five percent, commitment to purchase 80 percent of materials and services locally, commitment to at least 15 percent ownership by citizens of Gary, Indiana, commitment to hire 67 percent of personnel required for operation of the facility from within Gary and 90 percent from within Lake County, and finally a commitment to train those personnel at no cost to the City of Gary or to the trainees.

Among the other considerations that I made were unquestionable riverboat experience and operation expertise; as much of a guarantee as possible that Gary would be first; the need to marry a group with the compatibility to form a real development operational team with each other and, most importantly, as well with the City of Gary; certainly due diligence as to the ready availability or projected availability of a boat; the financial capability; commitment, most important, to making it work for Gary, Indiana.

Mr. Chairman and members of the

Commission, this process has already worked for Gary, Indiana. Through this process every developer who is now before you has made a promise, a promise in excess of \$100 million investment.

That was not the case when this process started. In fact, it was not the case when our process of selection ended. But we are delighted that it is the case today. The vote is needed. We must have even from our preferred developers execution of joint conditional development agreements to guarantee delivery on the promises made to Gary, Indiana.

I again take this opportunity to thank this Commission for your wisdom in making it an important part of your process that you spent some time in the community that has been and will be so widely affected by what occurs by your decision.

And I can tell you that Gary, Indiana, is a very strong city. I, like many citizens who actually looked at those, who have visited and have been a part of or wanted to be a part or tried to be a part of this exciting development, that we recognize that there are substantial

elements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there is a real promise in this community that in spite of the many concerns that we too often hear about in our community that fail to pay attention to the other part of our fabric which we see by the gathering of the citizens, by our rap sessions, if not by the big games that we had here with (Inaudible) a couple weeks ago where over 6,000 people were gathered in the state, but we see by this kind of involvement that the same kind of renaissance that we've seen in other communities coupled with those developments already under way or promised for our community can cause Gary, Indiana, to be lifted up through our work and through our investment where we want it to be. Again, thank you very much. We are

appreciative of your attention and your questions. (End of tape)

MR. KLINEMAN: Does anyone have any questions?

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: How do you suppose the confusion occurred on local investor requirements? I mean, in the back of my mind I thought these companies are just offering up like

you can make a hundred dollar investment,
something everybody can afford, and they can set
aside a certain amount.

MAYOR BARNES: Quite frankly, I do not believe there was any confusion in our agreements. It's written out, and the letters are there. In fact, I'm certain we've probably submitted that information to the Commission as well.

If you'll look at the December 30th agreement as well the January 5th agreement you'll see the very specific language in it that sets out the investment or equity ownership, and at no time has Senator Rogers -- and I was not here when apparently some comments were made that generated some of that confusion, but at no time have I ever been aware of anyone suggesting to anyone to give someone a free ride.

This is the first time, this is the first time in all this process that we've been involved in since June of last year, this is the very first time I've heard anyone indicate that that was a notion or anything else, that we required someone to be given stock or ownership.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, in a sense they

are because they're borrowing against future earnings of this investment.

MAYOR BARNES: Well, I think the one thing that if we did do, we may do, and I'm not sure if we would or not, maybe, maybe we might have set some standards for that. Maybe we might have, but I'll tell you I know there are probably a lot of other things that I would revisit about, but I am much more knowledgeable about the subject than I was then.

But I would certainly suggest that there are a lot of areas like that that could cause you to look at how you could tighten this up, how could you make this more specific so that you don't leave any room for question. But it's difficult to imagine how that confusion could have occurred. It's very difficult for me to even imagine that.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Did you feel that your two developers met this criteria in that regard?

MAYOR BARNES: At this point and from what I have seen, no. It's still a requirement. It's still a requirement, but, no, I do not feel it --

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Lots of these people

1 | aren't from Gary.

MAYOR BARNES: Yes.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: A lot of these so-called local investors are not from Gary.

MAYOR BARNES: Yes. Well, again, our requirements are very specific, and we've never -- we heard some recommendations relative to alternative ways of doing it, and even with those suggestions we have not altered our process of what our requirements are.

MR. HENSLEY: The investment in those foundations into stock meet your criteria?

MAYOR BARNES: I'm really not certain yet. I think those are the kinds of things that really need to be looked at very, very closely because I'm sure that -- and I wasn't here for all the other presentations, but I do know that the foundation is one I didn't really question.

MR. HENSLEY: In one instance, just as an example, there's 15 percent set aside with seven and a half percent going to investors, quote/unquote, and seven and a half percent going to community foundation.

The seven and a half percent that's going to investors is written on (Inaudible)

notes which in effect does become a gift, and I don't think that any of us up here would deny that you should have local investors. We would support that particular idea.

But as we looked at this and were trying to explain it to ourselves as to why one of these applicants would in effect literally give away seven and a half percent of their revenues, which in some cases is very substantial, we did begin to question it, and that's one of the reasons why we pursued it to the point we have.

MAYOR BARNES: I'm very appreciative that you did pursue it because in some of these instances I'm not sure if some of my staff were aware of some of the items that were set out in what was sent to you. I do know this, that there have been some items that we've seen during the course of these very valuable hearings that we had not seen before.

But we do know what we have agreed to, and we know what we've set out as our mandatory requirements. We know what we've also set out in our agreements that we have right now that we have prepared and that we have presented to our preferred developers for their review and their

acknowledgment, and the items that we set out do not contradict anything that I have indicated.

One area that we did have some communications on with one of our preferred developers was the notion of putting into our trust fund, our economic development trust fund, because we already have one. We've established one two or three years ago, and it's been quite a valuable tool for us, but we have not agreed to that proposal.

MR. HENSLEY: In these hearings that you have heard the last two days has there been anything in there that would cause you to change your mind as far as the recommended developers are concerned?

MAYOR BARNES: No, not to change my mind. I think the hearings have been very enlightening because, see, the good thing is that you're not acting on this yet. I'm very confident we're going to have additional communications to you.

But in this whole process and while we were going through the dynamics of choosing our preferred developers we saw strengths and we saw weaknesses, and in some instances, as you can see

from the fact that we started communicating with what I would guess was 30 or maybe 25 -- I would guess 30 or more developers have communicated with us.

And, believe me, we've had some very, very exciting aspects of proposals, but then when you look at other aspects or the financial capability or whether you just plain trust doing business with them then it raises questions in your mind.

So we did what we could to put all those things together, and then those four our committee in thier process and their deliberations said Hey, Mr. Mayor, these are some we can give you, and we don't think that we have any doubts about this based on all our analysis.

And, of course, then it was left to me to make probably more suggestive evaluations than anyone else, but certainly we felt that was small enough that the City of Gary would be protected.

MR. HENSLEY: Well, if it turns out that one or neither of your recommended developers were selected what sort of hardships would that impose as far as you're concerned?

MAYOR BARNES: Again, our first priority

in all of this process is the interest of the city, and we believe that in our review and in our analysis that the promises, if you will, that have been made to us, that they are good for us, that they tie into our development plans for the city.

As I indicated, one was very significant, the Union Station, and one of our developers has agreed to take on that project, that \$10 million project. The Sheraton, which another developer has agreed to take on, is another one of those \$10 million projects as well as the agreement to all the other aspects of it.

These things fit our economic development plan for the City of Gary, and we think, frankly, that with the agreement and with the commitment, with the legal commitment to all of these that it would make ours work.

There are some aspects I'm very concerned about and I certainly would have to revisit, and if they were not revised then I would have some problems, and that's in the area of local investment.

SENATOR ROGERS: One of the things we said going along with the steering committee is

that in this process that we're certain that unless there was new information that was forthcoming, unless there was information that you received that we did not receive, that we could not envision a situation in which there would be a difference between the applicants that we chose and the applicants that you chose. MAYOR BARNES: Well, that's what we think.

MR. HENSLEY: Well, in response to that we've learned some additional information, for example, this morning that the \$36 million additional investment in Sportopia from the Lakeside investment was not included in the numbers that were presented to us.

1.0

SENATOR ROGERS: That's exactly right.

MR. HENSLEY: So would that type of information help you change your mind?

SENATOR ROGERS: I would think that we would sort of want to take another look at that, but bottom line total in terms of the money that was being committed to this project was not the sole decision upon which we made our decision.

I think if you remember about that project and one of the negatives that that

particular developer had with the Commission was a hotel, and they refused at that time to say that they would build a hotel or saw a need for a hotel, and they said that very same thing today.

And I guess, you know, with so many people being from out of town these past few days if I were to ask the question how many people on the Commission and how many people in the audience who stayed in Gary raise their hand in a hotel, I think we would see that most hands would be raised so we see the need for a hotel.

In our consideration, in our deliberation, we certainly were cognizant of whether or not the developers took into consideration what we felt were our needs. I was born here and the Mayor came here (Inaudible), and so I've been an elected official for about 14 or 15 years all told. The Mayor has served in an elected capacity.

We've had interchanges with the people in this community, other people on the steering committee. I think we've got a pretty good handle on what this community needs and what people have said we need in this community so that for developers to come in and impose what

1 they think is needed upon us I think we have to question that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: Senator, we as a Commission long ago, a year or so ago, recognized that we wanted local input. The problem that we have, and maybe you can address this, when you read the statute you don't see the word local. You don't see recommended developers.

You don't see any of those words in the statute so we were faced with the situation where we felt exactly as you felt, that we wanted local input because this is not something we want to force on the people, but rather we still feel, however, when you read the statute it fit into our decision. And we are faced with that quandary not only here in Gary, but we're faced with it everywhere we're going to go.

It's been our position that we wanted the recommendation for the very reason that you say but that we felt it was our obligation under the statute to make an independent decision, and if it happens to coincide with yours so be it. If it happens not to be the same decision that you made we can fully, at least in our minds, satisfy the requirements.

And one other point, I believe that the mayor said a few minutes ago you people had brought these developers really to a point where it's real hard to tell them apart on what they're going to do for Gary, not only what they're going to do period but what they're going to do for Gary.

Sure, you can say maybe this one isn't going to do anything for the sheriff and that one isn't going to do anything for Union Station, but, you know, they're really close, and I want to credit you people with having done that because if we would have come to Gary without that we wouldn't have any idea of what was necessary. So, anyway, we're obligated to do our statutory job.

SENATOR ROGERS: Right, and we recognize

-- I mean, I know the statute. I helped to
write it and change it, but we recognize where
the authority lies, and that was done
intentionally. We felt that it should be a
committee outside the local community that made
that determination.

But we also felt that as city fathers and, as I corrected someone else, some of us are

city mothers, city fathers and city mothers, we have the responsibility to know who's coming into this community because we're going to be held ultimately accountable for it.

They're going to point to Senator Rogers as the person who brought this legislation into Indiana and Mayor Barnes that allowed these riverboats to be in Gary. They're going to point their fingers toward us and hold us responsible.

So we felt that we had -- in fact, I felt that I had responsibility for the community to at least try and sift through the developers that were coming through. We initially started out with some 26 developers wanting to come in, and, as I said before, there was no model for us to follow.

The only thing that we knew was that we wanted to have some time alone and some conversation with the people who wanted to come here and put up riverboats, and I think our process, as the mayor stated earlier, was we were able to do what you may not have been able to do at the Commission level which is to create an atmosphere of provocation so that people were competing for the preference by the city to draw

those numbers up so it ultimately means more
investment dollars into our community.

So I think that in hindsight we played a very valuable role in this, certainly a role that will mean a lot to the city of Gary, but we certainly recognize and we certainly support the fact that the final and ultimate decision lies with this Commission.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Senator, let me ask a question. I think I understand it, but maybe you can clear it up a little bit. Your steering committee recommended these four candidates to the mayor?

SENATOR ROGERS: That's right, so we're perfectly comfortable that these four can --

COMMISSION MEMBER: And these were people that you felt within your committee -- they came up with the four people we've listened to for the last two days?

SENATOR ROGERS: Not one of the four that you heard today. One of the four was the Riviera, and they ultimately I guess exited themselves out of the process because they didn't submit the proper papers to the Commission.

MR. THAR: Senator, it was kind of a

combined process, the application process. The application they filed had some misinformation, and plus in the background they did not follow up by the deadline date which disqualified them from further consideration for Gary. But there's no question they will apply for another location, but they are out of the process now.

SENATOR ROGERS: And so just three of them are here, and, again, when we looked at the lake site at that point in time when they came before our committee we had set a bottom line amount of \$100 million investment into the community, and at that time they were at 70 million so they have not made what we considered a minimum investment to the city so, yes, that has changed.

COMMISSION MEMBER: They made the leap of faith to the appropriate number I guess.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: As far as the fact of these local investors did you at any time recommend names of possible local investors to any of your applicants?

SENATOR ROGERS: No. What happened -- let me just speak personally. People, because they knew that I was on the steering committee

and I guess people think that you're on the inside, called and said Earline, I know you know.

- What I would say to them is look, if you are interested in investing into any of the casinos then you need to talk with the developers so that the most I ever did was to give them the names and the addresses of the developers so that they could contact them for an opportunity to invest.
- MS. BOCHNOWSKI: But at no time did you tell the developers --
- SENATOR ROGERS: At no time, at no time did I or did it ever come up in any of the committee meetings here is a list of people or here are some people. Let me just tell you, and I don't want to say which developer, but there was a representative of a developer that came to me and asked me whether or not I knew anybody that might want to invest in their operations, and I said no, I did not, and I did not want to submit any names to them.
- So I do know that there was some activity on the part of developers looking for, and I don't think that that was a negative. I

think what some of the -- since we had set aside
this 15 to 20 percent I think that the developers
were genuinely interested in making these
opportunities available.

I don't know where the confusion came over the fact that people wouldn't have to put in money. I think it was the first time I ever heard and I asked one of the developers what is sweat equity, and they explained to me that sweat equity was when you worked really hard for the casino then you're allowed a certain point because of the sweat you put into getting the project, you know, to a certain point.

And, you know, the Mayor and I have been involved since day one, and if we aren't deserving of any sweat equity I don't think anybody is deserving of what you categorize as sweat equity.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: You know, sweat equity doesn't even bother me as much as --

MAYOR BARNES: Let me help to respond to that question because I had a number of individuals who called me from time to time.

It's entirely possible that some of those names could have been communicated to the developers,

but in most cases suggested but not as a recommendation but as individuals who were interested.

But in most cases the individuals who communicated with me, just as Senator Rogers indicated, we gave them the names and the phone numbers or whatever we could give them for direct communication with the developers.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Mr. Mayor, I'd like to say that I commend your staff on the intent of the 15 percent. I think it's appropriate. I think it probably answers the question that I certainly had which is trying to figure out why the people of Gary didn't get the opportunity.

As you can see, some of these people, more than 50 percent of them, are not from the Gary area so I don't have a problem with that. I think it's admirable. I think it was good to give people the opportunity to invest in the program, and maybe down the road somebody will be able to invest I believe. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: I'd like to join in that remark. I agree. I have a couple questions.

One is about the harbor. Does the city really have a preferred harbor type development that

means something to them? I understand what the Sheraton and the Union Station mean to you, but is there a configuration that really means something to you?

section, group 404.

MAYOR BARNES: We have a concept, and
I'm not sure how to characterize that. I'm
sorry. How would you characterize that?
CITY REPRESENTATIVE: There's a Corps

MR. KLINEMAN: Now, group 404 I knew what it was, but my question is not where you stand on that application so much as whether or not the city really cares how the harbor is finally being made safe for these boats. Does it?

MAYOR BARNES: Well, we would like for the -- we have a concept of our site plan that was developed and that was submitted to the Corps for their review and for their approval, and we feel very confident that it's a site plan -- I heard one developer say they may not be 100 percent supportive, but I'm not sure what the difference would be.

But we believe that's workable, and we don't think it in and of itself would be anything

1 that anyone could not fit in with, but it does 2 not lock in the details of the development. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: That answers my 4 question. My next question is: Where are we on 5 the lake? I know there was a condemnation filed 6 indirectly with --SENATOR ROGERS: 7 That's correct. That 8 hearing has been scheduled. I'll let attorney 9 King respond to that. 10 MR. KING: As the Commission may know, a 11 condemnation has been filed. We have, of course, 12 people that have filed objections to gaming, and 13 we just recently received those documents so 14 we're working on them. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: Are they perfunctory 16 objections, or were they objections of the 17 purpose for which the state and the city --18 MR. KING: That was one of the 19 objections was the purpose, the company purpose, 20 which is certainly an issue we anticipated, and 21 we will be prepared to deal with that problem. 22 COMMISSION MEMBER: How long ago was 23 that filed? 24 MR. KING: I think it was three days

25

ago, two days ago.

MR. KLINEMAN: We've heard several times or a couple of people have said gee, we wish we could talk to the Lehigh Portland people. Is that not possible with the position the city is perceiving?

MR. KING: The input of the developers, whoever they may ultimately be, have to be part of the process, but the primary negotiators have been the City of Gary.

MR. KLINEMAN: Are you going to have somebody that says well, we've got real estate negotiators on our staff and if they were unleashed they could go do a deal?

MR. KING: That's what everybody says.

I can assure you that as late as yesterday

counsel for Lehigh has indicated to us that

they're still willing to talk to the City of Gary

so that is one of the realms of possibility.

MR. KLINEMAN: I'm personally concerned about the staff of Lehigh because I think this is such an opportunity, and if they really want to be a good corporate citizen I would think that they would reach out and cooperate.

They're going to be left with a substantial amount of property right there.

- 1 They're going to have an operation that will 2 still make them a citizen of Gary. It isn't as 3 if they're selling something and never coming 4 back. 5 I wish the word would go out to them 6 that I personally at least feel that they should 7 move this process along and not have us go 8 through the extent of litigation when it's so 9 important to the people of Gary. 10 MR. KING: I'll make sure that that word 11 gets to them. 12 COMMISSION MEMBER: Why was it not until 13 just a few days ago that the condemnation action 14 was filed? 15 MR. KING: Pardon me? 16 COMMISSION MEMBER: Were the 17 negotiations going on? Why in the past two days 18 have these condemnations been filed? 19 MR. KING: Negotiations were going on 20 extensively. We thought we were very, very close 21 to resolveing this issue. 22 COMMISSION MEMBER: Maybe the developers
- MR. KLINEMAN: I see Mr. Barden and Mr.

 Trump out in the audience. I'm sure they'd

will help you with that.

23

volunteer to go up and work on it.

MAYOR BARNES: Mr. Chairman, if I could just mention a couple of points that we talked about before just very briefly. Again, just dealing with the whole issue of the harbor design, and it's obvious that whatever we do we want to make sure that many dollars are going to be available for --

And, of course, I agree with the developers, and I think that all of the preferred developers -- well, I'm not sure with one, but basically where dollars would not fit into capital expenditures, that commitment, those dollars would go to the city so consequently we are concerned that whatever we do that it be effective, cost effective, and certainly least expensive in terms of cost.

And in terms of the harbor design our consultants, Floyd Daniels who has done as much as probably anyone in the world, feel the design we have is probably the least expensive and the most cost effective.

Then one other item, again, just as a little closure from my own point of view on the 15 percent issue, we feel that it is extremely

important that the 15 percent Gary resident
equity is a current requirement at least that we
made to those who are willing to sign agreements
with us, and we are certainly hopeful that it
will be one that everyone will abide by.

MR. KLINEMAN: Is that 15 percent individual, or did that also go into some sort of Gary foundation for part of that?

MAYOR BARNES: Well, the language is set out Gary residents, and I think that it certainly is something that could probably lead to discussion about negotiation as to how we deal with that so we could realize and take advantage of it.

But I do know that in some instances that number doesn't even remotely identify with that notion so that's the reason we felt that the very clear language would be something that no one would have a problem misinterpreting unless they wanted to, you know, not abide by that.

MR. KLINEMAN: Any other questions?

COMMISSION MEMBER: I think what you said this morning or what somebody said, maybe it wasn't you, is that they were very close to meeting your requirements on the commitments, and

there were just a couple things that they
wouldn't abide by that you designed.

MAYOR BARNES: You said one of the -- it was an applicant you said this morning? Oh, Lakeside?

COMMISSION MEMBER: Lakeside, I'm sorry.

MAYOR BARNES: I think as best I recall I think that Senator Rogers probably characterized their proposal and our negotiations best when she indicated they were probably about \$30 million less than what we had been able to get the others to.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Did you get a commitment that I believe I saw on the overhead projector from the preferred developers to pay a million dollars per month penalty after March 31st?

MAYOR BARNES: Yes. Well, from the date they originally had was the July date. They had a commitment to make the payment, you promise the boat's going to be ready and we've got everything ready, and then the boat's not here then we have a problem. But the first day was July the 31st.

In our currently proposed agreement,

development agreement, we put that at March 31st because this is what they indicated to us was possible to do and that they could deliver on so we made it March 31st as opposed to the July date.

COMMISSION MEMBER: And on the condemnation proceeding you'll keep it out of Superior Court and in Porter County?

MAYOR BARNES: You can count on that.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now, would you be extending that date if the Supreme Court decision --

MAYOR BARNES: Anything outside of our control, outside of the developers' control naturally, but that primarily dealt with the issue of the boat because we found that in our impression there were some who did -- we just thought the boat was important, and there are some who did a lot of due diligence on it, and there were some who came at the last day of our development and said we got a boat, a fax piece of paper showing -- (End of tape)

COMMISSION MEMBER: -- and not receiving the information from the city that they found necessary until last Friday. Can you respond to that?

1 MAYOR BARNES: Well, again, we filed our 2 Corps application some weeks ago, and, in fact, I 3 think this is probably something that -- Mark, 4 can you respond to that because I believe that 5 you have sent out additional copies. 6 CITY REPRESENTATIVE: The Corps of 7 Engineers permit was filed in June of this year, 8 and copies were distributed to all of the 9 developers at that time. 10 COMMISSION MEMBER: They were 11 distributed equally among the four --12 CITY REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, sir. 13 COMMISSION MEMBER: -- applicants? 14 CITY REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, sir. 1.5 COMMISSION MEMBER: Because the feeling 16 I got, the indication I got was that there was 17 preferential treatment towards the preferred 18 developers against Trump on that issue; is that 19 correct? 20 CITY REPRESENTATIVE: All of the copies 21 were distributed at the same time. If they did 22 not receive it we were not told of that. 23 COMMISSION MEMBER: That wasn't one of 24 the requests that came in? 25 CITY REPRESENTATIVE: (Inaudible).

MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Thar?

MR. THAR: Just a couple of questions.

I'll ask them quickly if I may. If I understand

Senator Rogers' explanation, her explanation for
the reason Lakeside was not endorsed was because
their project did not come up with \$100 million
at the time they were negotiating.

SENATOR ROGERS: That was one, and then the other one was the hotel issue.

MR. THAR: What was the reason the Trump organization was not one of the two preferred developers?

SENATOR ROGERS: I'm going to give that to the mayor. I've got some opinions of my own, but for the time -- the responsibility of the steering committee, as you remember, was to get to the point where there were four applicants that we felt could do the job for Gary, and those were the names of those four applicants that they turned over to the mayor at which time he selected the two so he's better able to answer the question on why the Trump organization was not selected.

MAYOR BARNES: In my deliberation I indicated some of those factors, and I also

indicated that some were characterized as subjective, some you could characterize as objective, but in my opinion the two that were preferred met what I saw as the key element, the capability of getting into a joint or a three-way relationship, the readiness in terms of the boat although one did not have a boat.

But there were other factors. The vision that we set out was one that we felt stronger about and more favorable to, but, again, we dealt with those. Trump as a part of that equation, we certainly felt that they were strong, but we simply felt the other two were better.

And we also felt that -- and we did, in fact, make a recommendation for the third license, and there was some indication originally that they would accept that, they would accept that without too much change.

SENATOR ROGERS: Let me just talk about when we discussed the Trump organization during the deliberations when we got down to the four one of the problems that we had as a steering committee was just being able to understand the complex nature of the financing for the Trump

organization.

Not only did we have those problems but our consultants had those problems. They're very complex, but we as the steering committee did not want that to be a factor in holding them outside of the four, but that was the reason. We were getting conflicting information as to the ability of Trump organization to sustain a project.

MR. THAR: If I may very quickly move on to another subject. What fits best with the city's economic development plan, the Sheraton hotel as an annex for city offices or as a residential location for senior citizens?

MAYOR BARNES: We've got -- in the City of Gary right now we probably have 30 percent excess senior citizens in our housing authority properties right now. That does not mean that we're not committed to improving the quality of residences for seniors.

In fact, at this very moment we're supporting a community development corporation that is going to renovate the Ambassador Hotel for some of those here, and I know that Dr. Ross would probably agree it's one of the finest apartment buildings in this entire city.

It's going to be done -- it's under way right now in terms of the planning and the investment as a senior citizens home so we did not see the Sheraton, and we do not at this point see that, as a suitable use of that particular property.

MR. THAR: What is the preferred use of that property?

MAYOR BARNES: Well, again, what we've looked at is as a municipal annex. It's entirely possible that even on some of the upper floors that we might have some mixed units in terms of some type of apartments or rooms, but our primary thrust is certainly not to have another structure that would be dedicated solely to senior citizens.

MR. THAR: Some of the agreements with regard to the developers call upon certain hiring of Gary residents, a certain percentage. Viewing the economic development analysis in order to follow the economic development impact of riverboat gaming up here we need to know what you mean by a Gary resident. Would you define that for us?

MAYOR BARNES: Well, I'm not sure. We

may have some other legal -- one of our accountants here may have some thoughts on that that may be more cogent than what I might suggest, but I'd simply say somebody that lives in Gary.

MR. KLINEMAN: That's why you're not a lawyer.

MR. KING: I think the connotation that the committee has always had and we thought was fairly (Inaudible) we would talk about a Gary residential requirement that would square with the voting requirement, and we know the landscape is changing on that, but certainly the residential requirements would be something the state would be able to live with as well as the City of Gary.

MR. THAR: Let me just explain some of our concern. If somebody hires 1,000 Gary residents that could be people that moved to Gary yesterday in which case the study of the impact on the schools and tax basis is substantially different than if they hire 1,000 people or 67 percent or 87 percent of 1,000 people who have lived here for ten years whose residences are already established so if we could get something

in writing on that after it's been thought out and worked through the committee.

MR. KING: We'll be glad to sharpen that up.

MR. THAR: One final question. It was brought to the attention of the Commission during a couple of the presentations by not endorsed applicants that they have had a very difficult time getting access to information from the city or from the land location interview, Buffington Harbor plan. Would you address that?

MAYOR BARNES: Well, I'm not sure. I'm certain we probably have records of the many instances that we deal with Buffington where we've had the developers communicate with us or we need to get out to the site, we need to do it this afternoon, we need to do it in the morning, whatever.

We had a set process whereby we would call I think it was Donald Hodson who was doing that, if not then he Clark Metz. We would contact an individual at Buffington, indicate to them that this organization or that organization wants access and make it available to them.

So we certainly attempted to do

everything that we possibly could to smooth that process out. The only area that in some cases we may have had some difficulties is if we had information that was more or less proprietary information that individuals were paid for.

We did not ask, and I don't know that someone asked others, to provide us with information that they had paid for with their money and they wanted for their own use, and we certainly did not feel that it was appropriate for us to do that.

But any information, public information, there's never a request that I am aware of that was not honored by us, and if we were slower than we should have been, of course, you know, that's a charge that's laid out to me a lot of times, and we try to improve on it as best we can.

But in all honesty, I'm not aware of any incident where we would have intentionally taken a different track relative to information that should have been available to everybody.

MR. THAR: My final observation is I'd like to on behalf of the staff and Commission thank you and your staff for helping us organize today the meetings up here as well as the

```
1
     organizations ability to see through this
2
     process.
 3
              MAYOR BARNES:
                             Thank you very much.
4
     Chairman, thank you.
5
              MR. KLINEMAN: I would certainly join in
     that sentiment as do all the Commissioners.
 6
 7
              MAYOR BARNES:
                             Thank you so much.
                                                  We're
8
     delighted to have you here.
 9
              MR. KLINEMAN: I think we'll now take a
10
     break.
11
              (At this time a short break in the
12
     proceedings was had.)
13
              MR. KLINEMAN: Captain Clay Potts from
14
     the United States Coast Guard has come down, and
15
     we certainly appreciate you coming here. We had
16
     a presentation from the Captain and his crew in
17
     Indianapolis some time ago so we certainly
18
     appreciate you coming down here again today so
19
     with that I will allow you to proceed.
20
              CAPTAIN POTTS:
                              I'd be happy to, Mr.
21
     Klineman.
                Mayor Barnes, Commissioners, I'm
22
     Captain Clay Pots, commanding officer of the U.S.
23
     Coast Guard marine safety offices in Chicago.
```

I'm the commanding officer of the branch

safety office in Chicago.

That's my military

24

25

title, but I also serve as the Captain of the port and the officer in charge of the marine inspections for the area that includes southern and eastern shores of Lake Michigan including all of northern Indiana.

As such my duties include local responsibility for accomplishing the Coast Guard's commercial vessel safety, port safety and security, waterways management, and marine environmental protection programs.

In general, that means that we're involved in many aspects of these new gambling ship operations, and it's in these capacities I'd like to address the Commission today.

I understand you had a long day today and yesterday so I'll make a short statement, and then I'll try to answer your questions. When I spoke at your April meeting in Indianapolis I concentrated on federal safety and inspection requirements for gaming vessels on Lake Michigan and (Inaudible) on the boat.

We discussed the increased risks, the associated higher problems and safety equipment required when passenger ships are operated in Lake Michigan or on Lake Michigan in winter. I

appreciate the fact that you considered these factors in developing your draft rules for excursions and at this point will not require the ships dock on the lake in the winter season.

I also briefly addressed the importance of careful site selection, risk analysis, and emergency planning to ensure that regardless of the route the excursions take the passengers will not be exposed to unacceptable hazards, and that's (Inaudible).

If I can expand on that, as typical emergency response teams we in the Coast Guard tend to think in terms of worst case scenarios. We try to be students of history, analyzing, remembering past accidents and tragedies, and we are trained in ways to avoid repeating past mistakes.

When it comes to maritime disasters we unfortunately have a lot of subject matter to study. One local example is the capsizing of the steamer Eastland in July 1915 in Chicago which resulted in the loss of 835 lives. This was the Great Lakes' worst accident ever, and it occurred inside a harbor.

Now, modern U.S. ship safety standards

are the strictest and most advanced in the world, and the liklihood of accidents is smaller than ever before. But we can't ignore Murphy's Law, and, therefore, we must be conscientious to both prevention efforts and in preparing to respond effectively when things go wrong.

We suggested identification of the hazards should be a first step, and we urged that in addition to economic and political factors you consider the complication of operating in a marine environment when you choose locations for gambling ships.

Washington D.C. headquarters is calling this a marathon site risk analysis. We think that these considerations should include studies of existing vessel traffic patterns, the new (Inaudible) restrictions that might be re-created, increased vessel traffic directly attributable to the gaming operation including customers arriving by private and commercial boats, available search and rescue and evacuation resources, capabilities of shore-based fire fighting and medical facilities, and towing vessel availability and capabilities.

Hopefully the risk analysis will

convince you that the proposed location of operation will not create an inherently safe situation, but I think you can expect that it will identify short falls in existing capabilities and equipment and even interagency problems.

The analysis could then serve as a great justification for you to require the appropriate parties to secure the needed equipment and take any actions necessary to reduce the risks.

Once your Commission decides that a safe operation can be run at a certain location the applicant should be required to submit a contingency plan which addresses exactly how they plan to respond to all emergencies including medical emergencies, fires, falls overboard, collisions, groundings, flooding, loss of propulsion, severe weather emergencies, and oil spills.

Training of the crews, including the casino employees, is vital to making the plans work. Regular coordinated exercises are extremely valuable and should also be required.

Now, I realize that you are already asking for emergency response plans which must be

approved for a permanent position. I haven't seen the specifications for these plans, but I would appreciate the opportunity to work with you during the review process.

We will try to assist you in any way we can to make sure that all bases are covered, and also local emergency response agencies have valuable input to provide, and I'm sure they will want to participate in it.

In closing, I want to thank you for inviting us today, and I'll take your questions.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you, Captain.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Have you seen the proposed breakwater set-up that they're talking about for Buffington Harbor?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Yes.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you think that this is adequate to protect that harbor?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Well, what I have seen is an engineering study, and what we've maintained all along is that we're going to pretty much going to have to see how it works before we can make a final decision.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So how it works meaning that it will have to be built before you can

actually see if it's going to work?

that looks good on paper with the exception of some minor details. One of the things that concerns us is maybe the addition of so much structure that it's going to be more difficult to maneuver inside the harbor.

But to tell you the truth, we in the Coast Guard are not qualified to make a ruling or evaluation, an engineering evaluation, of whether the wave heights are going to be attenuated sufficiently or not.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So what happens if, let's say, the Army Corps of Engineers says fine and then we do end up getting into a situation where it isn't fine? Can they -- I mean, I suppose they have to move it further or --

CAPTAIN POTTS: Well, the bottom line is the safety of the vessels that are operating in the harbor. If the vessels that are operating in the harbor are only of the type that can operate safely inside a protected harbor and the improvements to the harbor do not create a safe environment then we will have to make some changes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER: Are you saying that 1 2 you won't know until it's built in there? 3 CAPTAIN POTTS: Realistically, yes. 4 COMMISSION MEMBER: So you could go 5 ahead and designate whatever the specifications 6 are of the proposed plan? CAPTAIN POTTS: Well, the specifications 7 8 -- we do not have specifications for a protected 9 harbor. What it is is more of a performance 10 It's a general classification of a standard. 11 body of water, and we've said this body of water 12 is more like a harbor than it is like the whole 13 lake. 14 COMMISSION MEMBER: And have the 15 applicants, the ones that we've been talking to, 16 had any contact, or has the Coast Guard had any 17 contact with the four applicants that we've been 18 talking to the last two days? 19 CAPTAIN POTTS: I don't know about other 20 offices. My office has had contact with I 21 believe two of the people you've been talking 22 to. 23 COMMISSION MEMBER: And do you recall which ones? 24

CAPTAIN POTTS:

Monarch and President.

25

MR. KLINEMAN: You issue certificates for carrying passengers in open areas, and then you have a lesser certificate. Could you kind of run through those for us, explain those?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Well, the certificate of inspection specifies a route that the vessel is allowed to operate on, and that route is dependent upon primarily the stability, water tight integrity, and subdivision of the vessel, in other words, primarily structural determinations.

The plans for the vessel are reviewed by our technical staff in Washington, and a document called a stability letter is issued to the vessel after the calculations are run and in some cases a physical test on the vessel has been conducted where they incline the vessel and do engineering studies with the measures that they take.

If a vessel can get what we call an unlimited Great Lakes stability letter for the exposed waters of the Great Lakes then a certificate of inspection is issued by my office. We would not have limitations on the route that the vessel can operate under as far as stability goes.

As we said in April, the life saving equipment requirements and so forth and the manning requirements change depending on where they operate and what time of year they operate.

As far as stability is concerned, which is the thing that is covered by the design of the vessel, if you can get an exposed water stability letter then the route could be anywhere in the Great Lakes.

If a vessel is limited by its design parameters to operating only on certain bodies of waters, such as rivers, protected waters, semiprotected waters, then when we get the stability letter we have to make the determination as to what body of water in my area of jurisdiction the vessel's qualified to operate on.

In the case of Buffington Harbor we were approached by some people who wanted to know whether they had vessels of that type that they could operate in Buffington Harbor without any improvements being made.

The information that we were able to collect from the people who had historically operated in Buffington Harbor looked at some

weather data to know what to expect and indicated fairly clearly that the face of the dock where the vessels would have to tie up in Buffington Harbor was not well protected in many ways, ones that you could routinely expect to see.

So that's why I made a determination months ago that in its present condition

Buffington Harbor would have to be considered exposed waters. It's more like being out on Lake Michigan than it is being in really a protected harbor.

MR. KLINEMAN: There seems to be some indication that you might certify boats to operate outside of the harbor itself with some restricted distances. Is that your opinion not knowing what Lake Michigan looks like outside the harbor?

that the Great Lakes in the summer season between the 15th of May and the 15th of September, the waters of Lake Michigan and of all the Great Lakes approximately out to 20 miles are indeed considered partially protected subject to modification by the local officer in charge of marine inspection.

And this is where we have had to make some local determinations based on what we know about the weather conditions in Lake Michigan water, the way the water acts up when the weather changes and so forth, so, yes, we have been talking about vessels with protected water stability letters, partially protected water stability letters, being able to operate in the summer season out three miles.

MR. KLINEMAN: Any other questions? Mr. Thar?

MR. THAR: Just one question, Captain.

You indicated that local emergency response organizations play an important role. I was just wondering what some of the organizations are that would be in this area.

CAPTAIN POTTS: Particularly local fire departments. One of the contingencies that I feel should be planned for that should be the most dangerous situation would be a fire on a vessel that doesn't occur at a dock with a full load of passengers.

The local fire departments, municipal fire departments, generally do not have training necessary for fighting a fire on a ship. That's

a generalization but one that I think most firefighters would agree with because, just as with all forms of emergency response, specialized training is necessary.

The city has never had a need to get marine firefighting training, and most likely they don't have it. Marine firefighting schools are available if they can get the people and the money accomplished.

But firefighting definitely needs to involve fire chiefs, county, local emergency planning crews who could deal with (Inaudible) matters such as you might have to break the barrier if you had to evacuate a lot of people from the vessel, people who are versed in how to get a hold of a lot of buses in a hurry, how to get more medical personnel, how to initiate major disaster response.

Certainly all those people will be and will want to be involved because, as you know, at any given time we're only talking about two vessels in this case, but we could have several thousand people at risk or involved in an incident that occurs.

Police departments as well as local

ambulance normally would be involved if any full scale evacuation is going to happen. You have to have control of the situation and everything else.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now, I know that, for example, you have like an inspection crew signing documents for fire safety. Is there a special kind of fire inspection that has to be done on a ship, or is that the normal run of the mill inspection?

these Coast Guard certificate vessels all of the fire inspections are going to be done by my office, the U.S. Coast Guard. The structural fire protection, in other words, the way the vessel is built to stop the spread of fire, control it and so forth, is all built in and required in the design and construction phase.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And once they make that how often is it renewed?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Every year. On these large passenger vessels we do quarterly reinspections on board, and this is the key is that the Coast Guard will take care of pretty much what happens on board and what the

performance of the crew required by the Coast
Guard is.

But when it comes to the response that's going to take place off ship with the local fire department, with locating vessels and trying to evacuate the ship, we can't control that. That's the part that we hope you, the local community, and the casino will control.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So in a sense some of these ships, I take it, may already have some certification, but in a sense you have to recertify them based on their crew, and so if they don't pass inspection they won't be operating, correct?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Correct.

MR. KLINEMAN: Is it up to us to ask the developers to prepare the emergency response program or something they might submit to us, or do we submit it directly to you and ask for your approval, or is that something we don't get involved in?

CAPTAIN POTTS: There is no federal requirement right now to have an emergency response plan like this. Under my general authority as Captain of the port for the safety

of the port in Buffington, Gary, Chicago,
whatever, in my area of jurisdiction I can
require just about anything I feel is necessary.

But there is no written federal requirement for a response plan. There is some thought in Washington about drafting regulations and going through the regulatory process to try to get to that point, but right now the state regulatory agencies, the state gaming commissions will make that a condition on the issuing of the permit.

MR. KLINEMAN: Make it a condition if they get an emergency response plan approved by you?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Approved by you and then, as I say, we've offered to work with you.

MR. KLINEMAN: And part of that plan in generalities I presume we can get some idea what we should be putting into those regulations through your office?

CAPTAIN POTTS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSION MEMBER: The work, it would be safe to set it up with the community in an effort to help the City of Gary and all the cities (Inaudible) and it all be equal with the

1 city? 2 CAPTAIN POTTS: Yes. 3 COMMISSION MEMBER: And have you had any 4 contact with the city? 5 CAPTAIN POTTS: Not yet, not with the 6 City of Gary. 7 COMMISSION MEMBER: Do you think you 8 probably will? 9 CAPTAIN POTTS: We're going to talk more 10 about that. We have talked to the city candidly 11 as far as service people, and, in fact, we had a 12 meeting a few months ago, month and a half ago, 13 with the emergency response team and then with 14 the county and some of the state emergency 15 planning agencies too, law enforcement, 16 firefighting, and so forth so they are -- it was 17 initiated by the city. But you're right --18 COMMISSION MEMBER: You're saying they 19 had this exercise in order to certify the boat? 20 CAPTAIN POTTS: (Inaudible). But you're 21 right, this means that my present staff which was 22 never really dying to -- we're dealing with 23 Joliet and Aurora and Elgin right now. We've 24 already been there, and soon it will be Chicago,

Hammond, and Gary, but that's something that we

25

1 feel is important, that we have to try to 2 increase our manpower. Anything further, Mr. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: Thar? 4 5 No, sir. MR. THAR: MR. KLINEMAN: Well, thank you, Captain, 6 7 for coming. CAPTAIN POTTS: Thank you very much. 8 9 I think we're now going MR. KLINEMAN: 10 to go into the phase where we're trying to kind 11 of clean up, and I don't know how it's going to 12 work, but we just asked by the agenda that all 13 four developers be available to answer any 14 additional questions that the Commissioners might 15 come up with so I quess there's no structure. 16 We just need to have the gentlemen from 17 before, and everybody can't have their whole 18 entourage, but maybe a few of the key people can 19 come up, and we can certainly introduce some of 20 those people who have never met each other. 21

This has been a very arduous time for all of you I'm sure. I know it's been for the Commission. Without your cooperation we could not have had, in my judgment, the meaningful

think that's the first thing we're going to do.

22

23

24

25

hearings that we've had in the last couple of
days.

I want to personally on behalf of all the Commissioners thank you all, and you have our word that we will make a fair decision. You've made it awfully tough for us. Your presentations were outstanding, and the programs are everything we might have hoped for. They're wonderful programs, and all of you deserve licenses, but the legislation can only give us two in Gary.

Do any of the Commissioners have any questions?

MR. HENSLEY: I'd like to ask (Inaudible) to provide the same information on the shareholders.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We'd be happy to.

MR. HENSLEY: Do you know what it is to address estimating that (Inaudible) market contribution?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. In fact, there was some misinformation communicated yesterday concerning that subject. I'd be happy to tell you now or put it in writing.

MR. HENSLEY: That's okay. If we could

1 | just have that written.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Next question is to Mr. Trump. Mr. Trump, just briefly there has been reference to a lawsuit of yours. Revco is the Defendant. It's my understanding that the suit was filed by Revco in Orange County Court. Could you just briefly (Inaudible)?

MR. TRUMP: Yes. It would be the case on the Grand Hyatt Hotel. This is the one hotel I don't manage. We haven't been very successful in the hotel, and we aren't as happy because we've had difficulty with the manager which was the Hyatt Corporation.

It is turning out that there was one issue that probably won't be resolved. We've won every aspect of the lawsuit to this point, and, in fact, there are right now representatives there right now, and settlement negotiations are going on. It had to do with we were unhappy with the way and manner and quality of which the hotel was being managed.

COMMISSION MEMBER: What was the basis of the countersuit?

MR. TRUMP: The basis of the countersuit was that they wanted to continue as the manager

of that hotel, and we've prevailed in the courts. We've won in the courts. In fact, we won in the highest court in the state of New York by a vote of five to nine, and we are now in settlement negotiations which based on our victory we are in settlement negotiations, and probably that will be taken care of. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: If you have any other questions if you could propound whatever questions you have now to any of these qentlemen.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I just had a couple for Lakeside, which I apologize for referring to as Lakeview. The question to Lakeside that I would have is: If we paired you up with another company that had not planned to build a hotel would Lakeside be inclined to build a hotel?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: I think one of the things that we have to look at in this particular case is we're talking a joint development proposal. I think that Lakeside is pretty clear, and certain joint development proposals will have to be put together between all the parties, both licensees and the City of Gary as our partner as well the state of

Indiana. So I guess all parties would be at the table, and full joint development will have to be finally resolved.

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: I would just like to add that when you're talking about how to spend 220 or 230 million dollars there should be a way to get a hotel. That's a lot of money.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I have another quick question, and if you could pardon my memory, there was a discussion about you having a temporary boat before your permanent boat?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: No.

COMMISSION MEMBER: Is there any problem that you know of that may delay the retrofiting of your boat taking it past the time you've promised it?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: No.

COMMISSION MEMBER: I don't have any more questions. I think everybody has done a fine job, and I hope the people who are selected can work together and with the city and all send Christmas cards to each other. I think if you want to do this you need to be reasonable and meet the requirements the city laid out, and I thank you all for your time and your effort.

1 | Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: I just wanted to clear up a couple things about the boats first. Does your boat meet the test that it can operate in unprotected waters, partially protected waters? Where is your boat in the scheme of things? And I'll probably ask the question down the line.

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: At this time we have not intended to make our boat meet the criteria for unprotected waters. I believe that we could make the vessel meet the criteria for unprotected waters although in conversations and discussions that we've had with Captain Potts and the Coast Guard in Chicago and continuing discussions with him and discussions with Coast Guard headquarters in Washington D.C. in addition to working with the Corps of Engineers and continual research on what this marina's going to turn out like and what the harbor's going to turn out like I feel convinced that -- I said yesterday safety's a primary concern so that boat can meet whatever requirements are required for this site.

MR. KLINEMAN: Is that a yes?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: That's a yes.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Our boat will 2 meet the maximum requirements, yes. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: Next boat? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We'll introduce 4 5 Jack Gilbert, marine architect. Jack will 6 respond specifically to the question. 7 MR. GILBERT: The vessel for will meet 8 the requirements for the stability and so forth 9 for exposed waters. (End of tape) 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd also like to 11 add to that that Jack has been in contact with 12 the Chicago Coast Guard office I believe. 13 been in contact with the Chicago Coast Guard. 14 MR. KLINEMAN: Monarch? 15 MR. BOB FARAHI: Mr. Chairman, as was 16 said yesterday (Inaudible) and the boat has been 17 designed, the contract has been signed with the 18 shipyard, and the boat will be in Gary in March 19 of this year, and also the boat has been designed 20 for open waters because we were not sure as to 21 when the harbor can be protected. Thank you. 22 MR. KLINEMAN: I think that's all I've 23 got. 24 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Something that came out 25 during the Trump presentation, I'd kind of like

to hear from you who you think your market is
going to be. For example, when we heard from
(Inaudible) I got the impression they were
talking about more of an upper-middle-class type
person or whatever, and if you're selected would
you be able to work with somebody that's
marketing to a different type of customer?

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: We can work together.

MR. ELLERS: President's market is traditionally and historically a lower market. We do not cater to high rollers. We do not --when I think of high rollers I think of million dollar players and things of that nature. I'm talking about people who wager reasonable amounts of money.

We're a low market operation. We draw from regional markets. We believe that that fits our market in Davenport, our market in Biloxi, our market in St. Louis. We believe that is the appropriate market for these operations.

If you look at what is happening in Chicago, what is happening to the riverboat operations throughout, these are basically regional attractions drawing from a regional

base. There is some tourism. There are buses
coming in. We have very active bus programs
going on, as I said yesterday. We even bus

people from South Bend to Davenport, Iowa.

At this stage -- I'm not saying what could happen five years from now, but at this stage we do business with a regional middle market operation. That is traditionally where we've been successful.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Will you be able to work with somebody who has a different concept of what kind of customers?

MR. ELLERS: As good as we think we are we don't think we know it all so we believe that probably we could benefit and learn from other people, and certainly things have to be compatible, but the people do not have to do exactly what we do in order for us to be able to work with them.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: You know, I think we've pretty much covered that. That's what comes to mind.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anything else, Ann?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, I think we could certainly work with anybody to the extent

that the two operations are working in the same area and do not overlap is probably beneficial for the entire operation. I think that the fact of the matter is that most of the people that come to any casino, including riverboat casinos, are middle market people.

There are a number of high rollers that come to riverboats. By definition our market is a middle market, and that's just what it is as far as population. That's where most of your customers end of being.

MR. BOB FARAHI: We have a vision that because we have three boats in the area to make it really a destination resort it would take to market to probably the middle American, and the things that we propose are such that we have to get long-term marketing and investment for this project and we feel that overall it's going to be headed to middle America.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Would your hotel be affordable for that market?

MR. BOB FARAHI: Absolutely. Let me say that in the casino industry hotel package room rates are much lower for the same quality of room than a hotel that does not have a casino because

for casino profits it's very important to keep those rooms filled. Because you want to keep those rooms filled you usually run a much lower average room rate than in a comparable hotel that

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Thank you.

does not have a casino.

MR. HENSLEY: I'd just like to comment first that one of the reporters told me a while ago that I was not nearly as hard on the people today as I was on the ones yesterday. I think he was drawing some inference that I must be getting tired or bothered or something.

But the fact of the matter is in some cases we have more information than we do in others, and that sometimes stimulates the questions. I did want to ask, however, that, as we pointed out, there's a great disparity between the applicant with the most expected annual attendance, which is the Trump organization, and the applicant with the least expected attendance, which is Lakeside.

Do you feel that if the Trump organization, for example, were one of the applicants, if they were able to pull that off would that change your estimates any on the basis

that you made those projections? In other words,

if you're going to have 2,300,000 people come

next door to you are you going to have any of

4 | those riding your boat as well as theirs?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: We would be more than happy to be next door to them and to have us be wrong and to have two and a half million people.

MR. HENSLEY: What do you think the spillover really is?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: What, sir?

MR. HENSLEY: What do you think the spillover really is? They're not just coming there to ride one boat.

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: No. The fact of the matter is I think that's one of the advantages that Gary will have in having two boats, sort of a multiplayer type effect in that there's more traffic. People have an alternative as opposed to getting stuck on one boat.

We've always thought the concept of two boats together including, you know, one next door to us, that the fact is critical mass so we think that's a real advantage for other operations in the area.

MR. HENSLEY: Do you have an opinion what percentage that might be?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We think it would be enhanced. We've got one of the best CEO's in the business. He's as clever in figuring out how to get traffic through the boats as anybody else, and we'll be looking to his expertise, and also Pat Kennedy is running a very successful operation at this time in East St. Louis so we're the lightweights when it comes to figuring out how to get people to our boats.

On the other hand, when we create a set of numbers those are numbers we take to negotiate your coverage, your benchmarks off of that and everything.

MR. HENSLEY: But it's just that you would be able to attract not what you would consider (Inaudible).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, when we did this we did a lengthy analysis. People could stand up here for the next several hours and tell you how we arrived at these traffic numbers and also the effect from Chicago, but we took into consideration that so many boats would get so many market shares.

MR. HENSLEY: I'm not trying to challenge your estimates. What I'm saying is that when we're looking at this we're looking at, say, a two-boat venture and so something says to me the level of activity that we can expect that happens to be I think mainly the main draw that you have in that location so if that main draw in that location happens to be twice as many visitors as you have projected once they get there what's the logic that they're going to ride your boat too?

1.3

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think it ought to have a tremendous positive impact on our numbers.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: It appears that the City of Gary was not aware of the extent of the development of Sportopia. Is this because you just chose not to tell them about that, or is it because it's a relatively new concept?

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: No. The concept was presented at the time, but, again, that was over a year ago when we made the presentation, and the concept of Sportopia was not nearly as developed and not as involved as it is now, especially in terms of the amount of

1 | dollars we'd be investing in that project.

So that information is, in fact, new in terms of the dollars involved in the Sportopia. We had a concept that that was a reasonably new concept at the time so we did not discuss with Gary the magnitude of the investment.

MR. THAR: I have a couple more questions, if I may. I would ask all the applicants with regard to the information on the local investors to include this in your package.

The city has advised that they did not suggest local investors should be given a stake so with regard to each applicant why did you structure the local investments such that local investors did not actually have to put up any of their own cash?

Secondly, under each of your setups do local investors have a voice in the governing of the operation as a regular shareholder would, or are local investors' shares nonvoting shares?

You don't have to answer that. Just include that in your package.

Secondly, with regard to the Trump organization the Riverside South project was described as a \$2 million project, a joint

venture with some Asian investors. What risks
are the Gary projects exposed to if the Riverside
South project gets off to a slow start?

2.3

MR. TRUMP: We have no liability on that project. As a result of an unusual transaction that I was very fortunate to have made we had no liability on that transaction, no financial at all, no financial liability at all. The money will be funded, but we have no liability.

MR. THAR: So the answer to my question would be zero risk for Gary?

MR. TRUMP: Zero risk for Gary, yes.

MR. THAR: If I may, just starting with Barden/PRC if each of you could answer this question, what type of commitment will you make to use purveyors of goods and services first from Gary and then from Lake County?

BARDEN/PRC REPRESENTATIVE: I think we've made a very firm commitment that I think exceeds the city's requirement regarding goods and services and employment, utilizing minority firms first in Gary and then the area.

MR. THAR: Before you pass the mike let me say that the ten percent minority business enterprise and five percent women business

enterprise as the statutory goal would be anticipated by this Commission to be probably exceeded in Gary so --

MR. BARDEN: Absolutely, sure, especially in our case, especially with my involvement. I have been a strong advocate of that my entire career. We had a goal in Detroit when I built the cable system of 30 percent. We exceeded 55 percent. I even help people create businesses to service us so we have a history of that, and that's really a lot of what Don Barden is about.

TRUMP REPRESENTATIVE: As for the Trump organization's commitment obviously we have not only promised, if you take a look at our record in Atlantic City their statutory requirements we far exceed and are in the lead as a company both as to women and minority businesses, as to our affirmative action plans.

And I sit as chairman of three properties, and I can assure you that not only would we comply with statutory requirements, regulatory requirements, we intend to hire a pool of employees and a pool of vendors and purveyors in the greater Gary area, Gary and the greater

Gary area, as we do in Atlantic City.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, we fully support the program for affirmative action or hiring business enterprises, and our experience has been extremely positive, and we would certainly expect to exceed the goals that they've set.

MR. BOB FARAHI: All I can say, based on our experience in Reno we use about 90 percent of our supplies locally both for construction and for operation, and we are fully intending of doing so in here to the extent that one of these three men here on the panel are going to be here through the construction of the project to make sure we are getting all of our supplies locally and make sure it is done right.

MR. THAR: Mr. Ellers, we had had a discussion over some numbers during the course of your presentation. I believe in a follow-up conversation that I had with you we may have determined the numbers you were looking at during the course of the discussion were not the same numbers that were in your application and used in our analysis. Does that seem to be an accurate statement of our conversation?

1 MR. ELLERS: That's correct, Mr. Thar.

MR. THAR: Could you have the

organization submit those figures you were

looking at to us between the time of the

completion of this hearing and the next hearing

6 to our office?

MR. ELLERS: We will have that to you certainly no later than Tuesday. I apologize to the Commission. It appears that our numbers, our application, was not updated. My marketing people were looking at it yesterday.

The reason there was confusion is because I'm looking at one set of numbers and Mr. Thar and Mr. Hensley are asking about other numbers, and those don't sound like the numbers. They are the numbers you have, but they were not numbers that I have, and we will correct that forthwith.

MR. THAR: And, Mr. Brown, I believe you had indicated or started to indicate that the Commission may have some misinformation with regard to the local investor situation as it pertains to Dunes. Would you include your position on that in your local investor information?

1 MR. BROWN: Certainly. Thank you. 2 MR. THAR: That would conclude my 3 questions. MR. KLINEMAN: Anything further? Well, 5 I did want to thank you in the routine and the way you've handled it. We are now going to, 7 again, take the information we've requested, and 8 we will have a public hearing tomorrow where we 9 welcome input from Indiana. 10 After that we will await the Supreme 11 Court decision that we can go ahead then we will 12 probably get one more session, the length of 13 which I do not know. You've already presented a 14 lot of information already. How much more 15 information we'll need I'm not sure, but it will 16 all depend on where the Supreme Court rules, and 17 I, again, thank you all. 18 (At this time the proceedings were 19 adjourned.) 20 21 22 23

2 4 2 5 STATE OF INDIANA)

SS:
COUNTY OF MARION)

I, Maria W. Collier, Notary Public, do
hereby certify that on the 31st day of January,
1995, I transcribed verbatim from audio tape the
proceedings of the Indiana Gaming Commission
Public Meeting.

I further certify that annexed hereto is a full, true and correct transcript of the prodeedings as carefully transcribed from my original stenographic notes.

MARIA W. COLLIER

Maria W. Collin

My Commission Expires:

August 25, 1995